



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: PC 18-120 **Version:** 1 **Name:** LEG-18-00001
Type: Land Use Item **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 9/3/2018 **In control:** Planning Commission
On agenda: 9/10/2018 **Final action:**
Title: LEG-18-00001: Development Code Amendments including Equitable Housing - Work Session #6
Sponsors: Pete Walter
Indexes:
Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Commission Report, 2. Parking Alternatives Memo, 3. Summary of Major Amendments, 4. Draft Amendments Dated September 4, 2018, 5. Project Website and Amendments, 6. Replinger and Associates Memorandum on Transportation Impacts, 7. Wallace Engineering Memorandum on Utility Impact, 8. Equitable Housing Project Advisory Team (PAT) Recommendation, 9. Open House #1 Responses, 10. Public Comments Received before August 9th, 11. April Survey Results, 12. May Survey Results, 13. Public Workshop Summary

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

LEG-18-00001: Development Code Amendments including Equitable Housing - Work Session #6

RECOMMENDED ACTION (Motion):

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission provide direction on remaining items.

BACKGROUND:

Planning Division staff and consultants have been working with the community to provide updates to the development standards within the Oregon City Municipal Code. The standards include a variety of topics such as options for additional housing opportunities as well as other changes which were not reviewed by the equitable housing advisory committees. The Planning Commission has reviewed the amendments and provided direction to staff at five work sessions and two hearings. Based on the Planning Commission's direction, this work session is intended to include a more detailed discussion on the following remaining items:

- Whether to remove owner-occupancy requirement for ADUs (PAT and staff recommendation).
- Which parking option to support for ADUs: no parking, retain existing requirement for one ADU space, or require two total spaces.
- Which parking option to support for internal conversions: no parking, require one space for new units, or require one space for all units.
- Which parking option to support for 3-4 flexes: no parking, or require one space per unit.
- Whether to support limiting lot averaging in new subdivisions to only SF detached houses, and/or wish to see additional revisions to the standards.
- Which is the preferred size threshold for requiring a master plan PUD: 200 units, 100 units, or other, possibly no requirement. Please be aware that if master plan PUDs become mandatory, the city would need to develop clear and objective standards for their review to comply with state law. Currently, with the threshold proposed it would be the applicant's choice to develop a larger project

rather than several small ones.

- Maximum allowed MUD heights within 100 feet of residences and abutting McLoughlin Boulevard.

BUDGET IMPACT:

Amount:

FY(s):

Funding Source: