Technical Memorandum

To: Mark Handris, Icon Construction & Development

From: Daniel Stumpf, EI

Todd Mobley, PE

Date: April 9, 2018

Subject: Park Place Annexation:

Transportation Impact Study Addendum #2



LANCASTER ENGINEERING

321 SW 4th Ave., Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 phone: 503.248.0313 fax: 503.248.9251

lancasterengineering.com

Introduction

This memorandum is written as an addendum to the original *Park Place Annexation Transportation Impact Study* (TIS), dated August 2nd, 2017. Comments on the TIS were received from Clackamas County dated April 3, 2018. Lancaster Engineering then issued a response dated April 5, 2018, which precipitated updated comments from the County dated April 6, 2018. For reference, all three of those documents are attached to this addendum.

There is one outstanding item requested by Clackamas County as a result of these comments and responses, and that is analysis at the intersection of Redland Road and Anchor Way. That analysis is provided in this addendum.

Traffic Volumes

Manual turning movement counts during the morning and evening peak hours were conducted at the intersection from 7:00 to 9:00 AM on Thursday, April 5th and from 4:00 to 6:00 PM on Wednesday, April 5th. A growth rate was then applied to these volumes to estimate 2035 conditions without the proposed annexation using the same methodology for future volume forecasting that was implemented in the original TIS. Site trips were then added to the 2035 traffic volumes to show conditions with the annexation area at full build out.

Updated figures showing existing volumes, the assignment of site trips, and 2035 conditions both with and without the annexation area are attached to this memorandum.

Planned Intersection Improvements

The City of Oregon City Transportation System Plan (TSP) calls for the installation of a traffic signal at this location. Because warrants are clearly satisfied for a left-turn lane at this location even without the proposed annexation, to achieve safe operation, a future improvement project at this location would have to either A)



install a left-turn lane and a traffic signal, or B) install a three-phase traffic signal with a separate exclusive phase for each leg of the intersection. With this configuration, a left-turn lane would not be necessary.

Operational Analysis

An examination of left-turn lane warrants and traffic signal warrants was done to determine when these improvements would be necessary. Details are included in the attached to this addendum, but the analysis shows that a left-turn lane is presently warranted during both the morning and evening peak hours for existing conditions. By 2035 a traffic signal will be warranted even without the proposed annexation. The table below shows a summary of when warrants are satisfied for a left-turn lane and for a traffic signal. As shown in the table, improvements are needed at the intersection, even without the proposed annexation.

Table 1: Left-Turn Lane & Traffic Signal Warrant Summary

		Left-Turn L	ane Needed?	
		AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	Traffic Signal Needed?
A.	Redland Road at Anchor Way			
	Existing Conditions	Yes	Yes	No
	2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips)	Yes	Yes	Yes
	2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips)	Yes	Yes	Yes

In addition, a capacity analysis was conducted to determine the level of service, delay, and volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio for all the scenarios examined. Detailed capacity analysis output is attached to this addendum, but the analysis shows that the intersection currently meets Clackamas County operational standards, but experiences long delays on the stop-controlled Anchor Way approach during the evening peak hour.

By 2035 the intersection will fail during both peak hours, even without trips from the annexation area. The addition of a traffic signal and a left-turn lane on Redland Road will result in acceptable operation at the planning horizon with the annexation area at full build out.

A summary of the results of the capacity analysis are shown in the following table.



Table 2: Capacity Analysis Summary

		Morn	ing Peak	Hour	Eveni	ing Peak	Hour
		LOS	Delay	v/c	LOS	Delay	v/c
A.	Redland Road at Anchor Way						
	Existing Conditions	D	33	0.54	F	89	0.95
	2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips)	F	>99	0.98	F	>99	1.65
	2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips)	F	>99	1.56	F	>99	>2
	2035 w/ Annexation, w/ left-turn lane & signal	В	13	0.81	D	40	0.96

BOLDED results exceed Clackamas County operational standard

Transportation Planning Rule

This analysis shows that the infrastructure considered in the TSP will provide acceptable operation at the planning horizon with the annexation area in place. As such, the Transportation Planning Rule is satisfied, provided the improvement is reasonably likely to be constructed. It is recommended that this intersection be monitored during the Master Plan stage for projects within the Park Place Concept Plan area to determine when mitigation is necessary.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUILDING

150 Beavercreek Road Oregon City, OR 97045

Date: April 3, 2018

To: Pete Walter, City of Oregon City

From: Christian Snuffin, P.E., PTOE, Clackamas County

Rick Nys, P.E., Clackamas County

Subject: AN 17-0004 / ZC 17-0005: Park Place Annexation and Rezoning of 92 acres

Mr. Walter,

We have the following comments about this project:

- Clackamas County has jurisdiction over several of the study intersections and roadways
 including a portion of Redland Road, Livesay Road, a portion of Holcomb Boulevard, and
 Holly Lane.
- The County was not contacted by the applicant to participate in the traffic impact analysis (TIA) scoping process.
- The zone change has a significant effect on the Redland Road/Holly Lane intersection per the TIA. The proposed mitigation suggested by Replinger & Associates for a proportional share contribution is agreeable to Clackamas County. We question the assumption that both the Holly Lane extension and the Redland Road/Holly Lane intersection improvement projects can be considered as planned per the Transportation Planning Rule without a more defined funding plan. We have concerns about the Redland Road/Holly Lane operations without the provision of a roundabout or other intersection improvement when the Holly Lane extension is constructed. Alternatively, additional study should be conducted that establishes compliance with the Transportation Planning Rule as well as compliance with County safety criteria to determine the appropriate intersection improvement at the Redland Road/Holly Lane intersection with the construction of the extension. This can be accomplished as part of a Master Plan TIA.
- Either with or without a connection to Redland Road via a Holly Lane extension, the County has concerns about the impact to Livesay Road and its intersection with Redland Road, which would directly serve a future development. The TIA assumes no site traffic on Livesay Road, which we think is not realistic without further analysis. The Oregon City Transportation System Plan assumes no planned improvements for the Redland Road/Livesay Road intersection. The TIA should evaluate the Redland Road/Livesay Road intersection and the need for a westbound left turn lane. This analysis should be conducted prior to approval of the zone change.
- The intersection of Redland Road/Anchor Way should be analyzed as part of a revised TIA. With the Holly Lane connection, this intersection will experience a substantial increase in traffic. That evaluation should include evaluating the need for a westbound left turn lane on Redland Road. This analysis should be conducted prior to approval of the zone change.

Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Christian Snuffin at 503-742-4716.

Technical Memorandum

LANCASTER

321 SW 4th Ave., Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 phone: 503.248.0313 fax: 503.248.9251 lancasterengineering.com

To: Christian Snuffin, PE, PTOE & Rick Nys, PE

Clackamas County Department of Transportation & Development

From: Todd Mobley, PE

Date: April 5, 2018

Subject: Park Place Annexation in Oregon City, AN 17-0004/ZC 17-0005

Introduction

This memorandum is written in response to comments on the subject application received from Clackamas County, dated April 3, 2018. Our response focuses on the last three bulleted items in the County comments.

Redland Road at Holly Lane & Holly Lane Extension

The Holly Lane extension and any necessary intersection improvements are planned in the City of Oregon City Transportation System Plan (TSP) and development within the Park Place area will rely on these improvements. Development on the subject site will be consistent with that considered in the Concept Plan, and thereby the TSP. Therefore, the future Holly Lane extension and the intersection with Redland Road considered in the TSP will be sufficient to serve the Park Place area, including the subject site.

Still, as noted in the third bullet of the County comments, specific intersection designs that will be needed to support development and to satisfy the standards that will be applicable can be considered at the time of the Transportation Impact Study (TIS) for the future Master Plan application.

Livesay Road Traffic Impacts

The intent of development in the annexation area is not to rely on Livesay Road west of the project site. The roadway is generally narrow and unimproved. For this reason, the original TIS did not assign trips to the roadway or rely on it for access. The Park Place Concept Plan considers a functional upgrade to Livesay Road to a Neighborhood Collector classification between the planned Swan Avenue and Holly Lane extensions. This is shown in Figure 1 below, which is the Functional Classification Map from the Concept Plan. Consistent with the Concept Plan, development on the site is intended to rely on streets other than Livesay Road.

Traffic impacts to Livesay Road should be carefully monitored and controlled during the Master Plan process. This can be accomplished through the thoughtful timing and configuration of new street connections to development in the Park Place area, which can be done strategically to emphasize the use of new higher-classification facilities such as the Swan Avenue and Holly Lane extensions.



For these reasons, the evaluation of the intersection of Livesay Road and Redland Road is not necessary at this time. Rather, impacts to Livesay Road should be carefully examined and minimized as development occurs through the Master Plan process.




Figure 1 - Functional Classification Map from Park Place Concept Plan



Redland Road at Anchor Way

The intersection of Redland Road at Anchor Way was not included in the study since only three percent of the site traffic is expected to use Anchor Way. While through traffic will be added, trips to and from Anchor Way will be minor. In fact, the trip assignment shows that only 11 morning peak hour trips and 8 evening peak hour trips will be added to the westbound left-turning movement that was raised as a concern in the County comments.

Further, the intersection is planned for signalization in the TSP. This intersection could be selected for further study as part of future Master Plan applications for development within the Park Place area but based on the trip generation and distribution analysis in this report, minor-street impacts will be very small.

If you have any questions regarding the responses and information in this memo, please don't hesitate to contact me directly.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUILDING

150 BEAVERCREEK ROAD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Date: April 6, 2018

To: Pete Walter, City of Oregon City

From: Christian Snuffin, P.E., PTOE, Clackamas County

Rick Nys, P.E., Clackamas County

Subject: AN 17-0004 / ZC 17-0005: Park Place Annexation and Rezoning of 92 acres


Mr. Walter,

We've reviewed the April 5, 2018 memorandum from Lancaster Engineering. We have the following updated comments about this project:

- We are satisfied with the assertion that the intent of the development is not to rely on Livesay Road to the west of the project site, and that planned improvements to the eastern portion of Livesay, as well as the Swan Avenue connector, will address future transportation needs.
- In our previous memo, dated April 3, 2018, we asked for additional analysis at the Redland Road/Anchor Way intersection. Mr. Mobley's memo does describe the additional site trips on Redland Rd, and it indicates that the number of additional site trips are not significant. However, a capacity analysis that addresses requirements of the Transportation Planning Rule and a westbound left turn lane analysis is still needed. This analysis should be conducted prior to approval of the zone change.

Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Christian Snuffin at 503-742-4716.

*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



Thayer

- COLLECTOR ROADWAY

Fir

- LOCAL ROADWAY


-- FUTURE ROADWAY





Inbound

*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



LEGEND

PERCENT OF PROJECT TRIPS


NOTE: OUTBOUND PERCENTAGES ARE *





ASSIGNMENT SITE TRIP

*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



Thayer

Holmes

LEGEND

PERCENT OF PROJECT TRIPS

348

OUT TOTAL 530


NET ADDITIONAL TRIP GENERATION IN

182



ASSIGNMENT SITE TRIP

*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



PERCENT OF PROJECT TRIPS

263

OUT TOTAL

668


NET ADDITIONAL TRIP GENERATION IN

405














 \rightarrow


*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP








*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



507 ↑ 443 → 21 →




Thayer

Livesay

213

Redland



Abernethy

Division

Eluria

Pearl

Warner-Milne

Holmes







 \bigcirc

FIGURE

Annexation

plus

Horizon

2035

Year

Planning Horiza PM Peak F

Hour

VOLUMES

TRAFFIC

Total Vehicle Summary




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

Redland Rd & S Anchor Way

Thursday, April 05, 2018 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval		Northk		South				Easth			Westk					Pedes		
Start		Redla		 Redla				S Anch			 S Anch	or Way		Interval	l I	Cross		
Time	L	T	Bikes	Т	R	Bikes	L		R	Bikes			Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	1	43	0	11	7	0	11		4	0			0	67	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	60	0	 10	9	0	6		3	0			0	88	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	1	38	0	 13	4	0	5		3	0			0	64	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	6	60	0	 17	11	0	4		4	0			0	102	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	3	52	0	9	7	0	13		6	0			0	90	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	6	73	0	18	13	0	8		4	0			0	122	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM		43	0	 19	14	0	8		3	0			0	95	0	0	0	0
7:35 AM	6	54	0	 13	5	0	17		3	0			0	98	0	0	0	0
7:40 AM	7	68	0	 12	8	0	8	ļ	2	0			0	105	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	7	48	0	18	18	0	7		2	0			0	100	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	9	58	0	14	17	0	12		3	0			0	113	0	0	0	0
7:55 AM	4	44	0	 18	23	0	7		11	0			0	97	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	3	56	0	14	20	0	6		4	0			0	103	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	5	49	0	24	17	0	6	İ	4	0			0	105	0	0	0	0
8:10 AM	5	52	0	15	15	0	9		2	0			0	98	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	3	38	0	15	11	0	4		3	0			0	74	0	0	0	0
8:20 AM	3	51	0	14	13	0	4	<u> </u>	2	0			0	87	0	0	0	0
8:25 AM	6	37	0	20	12	0	4		7	0			0	86	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	4	41	0	25	12	0	7		2	0			0	91	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	8	41	0	26	14	0	5		7	0			0	101	0	0	0	0
8:40 AM	8	53	0	22	19	0	5		3	0			0	110	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	8	57	0	17	16	0	8		2	0			0	108	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	3	56	0	17	8	0	13		0	0			0	97	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	3	37	0	17	14	0	5		1	0			0	77	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	117	1,209	0	398	307	0	172		75	0			0	2,278	0	0	0	0

15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound		bound			Eastbo				bound				trians	
Start		Redla	nd Rd	Redla	nd Rd			S Ancho	r Way		S Anch	or Way	Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	Т	Bikes	Т	R	Bikes	L		R	Bikes		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	2	141	0	34	20	0	12		10	0		0	219	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	15	185	0	44	31	0	25		14	0		0	314	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	21	165	0	44	27	0	33		8	0		0	298	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	20	150	0	50	58	0	26		6	0		0	310	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	13	157	0	53	52	0	21		10	0		0	306	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	12	126	0	49	36	0	12		12	0		0	247	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	20	135	0	73	45	0	17		12	0		0	302	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	14	150	0	51	38	0	26		3	0		0	282	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	117	1,209	0	398	307	0	172		75	0		0	2,278	0	0	0	0

Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

	-		-															
	Bv		North	bound			South	bound			Eastk	ound			Westl	oound		
۸۰۰	,		Redla	nd Rd			Redla	nd Rd			S Anch	or Way			S Anch	or Way		Total
AL	proach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	
V	olume '	726	229	955	0	359	762	1,121	0	143	237	380	0	0	0	0	0	1,228
	%HV		3.	2%			4.:	2%			0.	7%			0.0	0%		3.2%
	DHE		0	01			0	77			0	83			0	00		0.97

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
0	0	0	0

By Movement		Northl Redla					bound nd Rd				oound or Way			West! S Anch	oound or Way		Total
Movement	١	Т		Total		Т	R	Total	L		R	Total				Total	ļ
Volume	69	657		726		191	168	359	105		38	143				0	1,228
%HV	4.3%	3.0%	NA	3.2%	NA	6.8%	1.2%	4.2%	0.0%	NA	2.6%	0.7%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	3.2%
PHF	0.75	0.89		0.91		0.85	0.70	0.77	0.80		0.68	0.83				0.00	0.97

Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

	Interval		North	bound		South	bound			Easth	ound		Westl	oound				Pe
	Start		Redla	ind Rd		Redla	nd Rd			S Anch	or Way		S Anch	or Way		Interval		С
١	Time	L	Т	E	Bikes	 Т	R	Bikes	L	l	R	Bikes			Bikes	Total	North	Soi
ſ	7:00 AM	58	641		0	172	136	0	96		38	0			0	1,141	0	(
	7:15 AM	69	657		0	191	168	0	105		38	0			0	1,228	0	C
ı	7:30 AM	66	598		0	196	173	0	92		36	0			0	1,161	0	C
ı	7:45 AM	65	568		0	225	191	0	76	l	40	0			0	1,165	0	C
ĺ	8:00 AM	59	568		0	226	171	0	76		37	0			0	1,137	0	C

П		Dodos	trians											
	Crosswalk													
	0	0	0	0										
	0	0	0	0										
	0	0	0	0										
	0	0	0	0										
	0	0	0	0										

Heavy Vehicle Summary



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

Redland Rd & S Anchor Way

Thursday, April 05, 2018 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM Out 5

ln 1

Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start			bound and Rd		bound nd Rd				oound or Way			bound or Way		Interval
Time	L	T	Total	 T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	 7 (110)	loi vvay	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	4	4	1	0	1	0		1	1			0	6
7:05 AM	0	1	1	 1	0	1	0	·	1	1	 		0	3
7:10 AM	0	4	4	 2	0	2	0		0	0			0	6
7:15 AM	0	3	3	 0	0	0	0		0	0			0	3
7:20 AM	0	1	1	 1	0	1	0	İ	0	0		i	0	2
7:25 AM	1	3	4	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	5
7:30 AM	0	0	0	 1	0	1	0		0	0			0	1
7:35 AM	1	1	2	 2	0	2	0		0	0			0	4
7:40 AM	0	2	2	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	2
7:45 AM	0	1	1	 0	0	0	0	1	0	0			0	1
7:50 AM	0	0	0	1	2	3	0		0	0			0	3
7:55 AM	0	2	2	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	3
8:00 AM	0	2	2	. 1	0	1	0	I	1	. 1		I	0	4
8:05 AM	1	4	5	5	0	5	0		0	0			0	10
8:10 AM	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	I	0	0			0	1
8:15 AM	0	2	2	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	3
8:20 AM	0	1	1	1	1	2	0		0	0		ĺ	0	3
8:25 AM	0	1	1	3	0	3	0	I	1	1			0	5
8:30 AM	0	4	4	3	0	3	0		1	1			0	8
8:35 AM	0	3	3	 2	0	2	0		0	0			0	5
8:40 AM	0	1	1	2	2	4	0		1	1			0	6
8:45 AM	0	0	0	2	0	2	0		0	0			0	2
8:50 AM	0	2	2	0	1	1	0		0	0			0	3
8:55 AM	0	0	0	1	2	3	0		0	0		I	0	3
Total Survey	3	43	46	32	8	40	0		6	6			0	92

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		Northbo Redland			bound nd Rd			Eastbound S Anchor Way		Westbound S Anchor Wa		Interval
Time	L	T	Total	Т	R	Total	L	R	Total		Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	9	9	4	0	4	0	2	2		0	15
7:15 AM	1	7	8	2	0	2	0	0	0		0	10
7:30 AM	1	3	4	3	0	3	0	0	0		0	7
7:45 AM	0	3	3	2	2	4	0	0	0		0	7
8:00 AM	1	7	8	6	0	6	0	1	1		0	15
8:15 AM	0	4	4	5	1	6	0	1	1		0	11
8:30 AM	0	8	8	7	2	9	0	2	2		0	19
8:45 AM	0	2	2	3	3	6	0	0	0		0	8
Total Survey	3	43	46	32	8	40	0	6	6		0	92

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

By			bound nd Rd			bound ind Rd			oound or Way			bound nor Way	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	23	14	37	15	20	35	1	5	6	0	0	0	39
PHF	0.64			0.54			0.25			0.00			0.57

By Movement			bound ind Rd			bound nd Rd			Eastbo S Ancho				bound or Way		Total
Wovernerit	L	Т		Total	 Т	R	Total	L		R	Total	 		Total	
Volume	3	20		23	13	2	15	0		1	1			0	39
PHF	0.38	0.63		0.64	 0.46	0.25	0.54	0.00		0.25	0.25		l	0.00	0.57

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		North	bound	Sout	hbound			Eastbound		Westl	bound	
Start		Redla	ınd Rd	Redl	and Rd			S Anchor Wa	y	S Anch	or Way	Interval
Time	L	Т	Total	T	R	Total	L	R	Total		Total	Total
7:00 AM	2	22	24	11	2	13	0	2	2		0	39
7:15 AM	3	20	23	13	2	15	0	1	1		0	39
7:30 AM	2	17	19	16	3	19	0	2	2		0	40
7:45 AM	1	22	23	20	5	25	0	4	4		0	52
8:00 AM	1	21	22	21	6	27	0	4	4		0	53

Peak Hour Summary All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Redland Rd & S Anchor Way 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM Thursday, April 05, 2018 Redland Rd **Bikes** 0 359 762 168 191 Ľ Ψ Peds 0 S Anchor Way Bikes 0 237 Peds 0 0 105 7 143 38 4 Bikes 0 Peds 0 ♠ **K** 69 657 Redland Rd 229 726 **Bikes** HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.83 0.7% 143 WB 0.00 0.0% 0 726 NB 0.91 3.2% SB 0.77 4.2% 359 Intersection 0.97 3.2% 1,228

Count Period: 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Total Vehicle Summary




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

Redland Rd & S Anchor Way

Wednesday, April 04, 2018 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval		North	bound		South	ound			Eastk	ound		Westk	oound				Pedes	trians	
Start		Redla	nd Rd		Redla	nd Rd			S Anch	or Way		S Anch	or Way		Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	T		Bikes	T	R	Bikes	L		R	Bikes			Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	5	31		0	64	22	0	10		6	0			0	138	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	3	33		0	51	16	0	15		6	0			0	124	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	5	20		0	39	9	0	15		7	1			0	95	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	19		0	44	10	0	9		3	0			0	85	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	5	24		0	57	12	0	11		1	0			0	110	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	5	25		0	 42	13	0	15	l	5	0		L	0	105	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	2	21		0	 53	14	0	13		1	0			0	104	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	2	30		0	 52	11	0	13		5	0			0	113	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	1	30		0	29	15	0	15		3	0			0	93	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	2	28		0	 45	11	0	14	İ	4	0			0	104	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	5	22		0	 57	15	0	10		5	0			0	114	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	1	23		0	47	12	0	16		3	0			0	102	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	2	22		0	59	15	0	14		4	0			0	116	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	0	23		0	47	20	0	19		6	0			0	115	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	8	21		0	 54	5	0	18		2	0			0	108	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	1	24		0	 70	9	0	16		5	0			0	125	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	2	15		0	 58	13	0	17	<u> </u>	4	0			0	109	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	1	22		0	 46	14	0	9		5	0			0	97	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	2	23		0	57	9	0	13		2	0			0	106	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	2	20		0	50	8	0	6		4	0			0	90	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	2	21		0	69	16	0	5		3	0			0	116	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	7	15		0	48	14	0	5		6	0			0	95	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	4	23		0	58	14	0	8		4	0			0	111	0	0	0	0
5:55 PM	3	19		0	42	6	0	5		2	0			0	77	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	70	554		0	1,238	303	0	291		96	1			0	2,552	0	0	0	0

15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northb Redla		South Redla				Eastbound S Anchor Way	,	Westboun S Anchor W		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	Bikes	T	R	Bikes	L	R	Bikes		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	13	84	0	154	47	0	40	19	1		0	357	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	10	68	0	143	35	0	35	9	0		0	300	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	5	81	0	134	40	0	41	9	0		0	310	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	8	73	0	149	38	0	40	12	0		0	320	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	10	66	0	160	40	0	51	12	0		0	339	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	4	61	0	174	36	0	42	14	0		0	331	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	6	64	0	176	33	0	24	9	0		0	312	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	14	57	0	148	34	0	18	12	0		0	283	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	70	554	0	1,238	303	0	291	96	1		0	2,552	0	0	0	0

Peak Hour Summary 4:20 PM to 5:20 PM

	Ву			bound nd Rd				bound nd Rd			Easth S Anch	ound or Way			Westl S Anch	oound or Way		Total
	Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
ı	Volume	327	656	983	0	764	467	1,231	0	218	186	404	0	0	0	0	0	1,309
	%HV		4.6	5%			1.8%				0.5	5%			0.0	0%		2.3%
	PHF		0.	88			0.	93			0.	83			0.	00		0.94

	North	South	East	West
	0	0	0	0
٦				

Pedestrians Crosswalk

By Movement		Northi Redla	bound nd Rd				bound nd Rd				oound or Way			West! S Anch	oound or Way		Total
Wovement	١	T		Total		Т	R	Total	L		R	Total				Total	
Volume	34	293		327		612	152	764	174		44	218				0	1,309
%HV	0.0%	5.1%	NA	4.6%	NA	2.0%	1.3%	1.8%	0.6%	NA	0.0%	0.5%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	2.3%
PHF	0.71	0.83		0.88		0.89	0.81	0.93	0.82		0.85	0.83				0.00	0.94

Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northi Redia			bound and Rd			Eastbe S Ancho			Westb Ancho	ound or Way		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	Bikes	T	R	Bikes	L		R	Bikes	I		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	36	306	0	580	160	0	156		49	1			0	1,287	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	33	288	0	586	153	0	167		42	0			0	1,269	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	27	281	0	617	154	0	174		47	0			0	1,300	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	28	264	0	659	147	0	157		47	0			0	1,302	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	34	248	0	658	143	0	135		47	0			0	1,265	0	0	0	0

Heavy Vehicle Summary



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

Redland Rd & S Anchor Way

Wednesday, April 04, 2018 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM 0 15
Out In
12 15

Peak Hour Summary
4:20 PM to 5:20 PM

Out 2

ln 1

Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval			bound and Rd		bound nd Rd			Eastb S Ancho				bound nor Way		lasta musel
Start								5 Anch			 5 Ancr	or way	r	Interval
Time	L	T	Total	T	R	Total	L		R	Total			Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	2	2	4	0	4	0		0	0			0	6
4:05 PM	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	<u> </u>	0	0		<u> </u>	0	3
4:10 PM	0	1	1	1	0	1	0		0	0		l	0	2
4:15 PM	0	0	0	4	0	4	1		0	1			0	5
4:20 PM	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	1
4:25 PM	0	0	0	2	0	2	0		0	0		l	0	2
4:30 PM	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	1
4:35 PM	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	1
4:40 PM	0	2	2	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	2
4:45 PM	0	2	2	2	0	2	0		0	0			0	4
4:50 PM	0	0	0	3	0	3	0		0	0			0	3
4:55 PM	0	3	3	1	0	1	1		0	1			0	5
5:00 PM	0	0	0	 1	2	3	0	I I	0	0		I	0	3
5:05 PM	0	1	1	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	2
5:10 PM	0	0	0	 1	0	1	0		0	0		I	0	1
5:15 PM	0	5	5	 0	0	0	0		0	0			0	5
5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	0
5:25 PM	0	1	1	 1	0	1	0		0	0		T	0	2
5:30 PM	0	3	3	0	0	0	0		0	0			0	3
5:35 PM	0	1	1	 1	0	1	0		0	0			0	2
5:40 PM	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		0	0			0	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	2	0	2	0		0	0			0	2
5:50 PM	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0		I	0	1
5:55 PM	0	0	0	 3	0	3	0		0	0			0	3
Total Survey	0	24	24	32	2	34	2		0	2			0	60

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northb Redlan			bound nd Rd			Eastbound S Anchor Way	,	Westbound S Anchor Wa		Interval
Time	L	Т	Total	T	R	Total	L	R	Total		Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	3	3	8	0	8	0	0	0		0	11
4:15 PM	0	1	1	6	0	6	1	0	1		0	8
4:30 PM	0	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	0		0	4
4:45 PM	0	5	5	6	0	6	1	0	1		0	12
5:00 PM	0	1	1	3	2	5	0	0	0		0	6
5:15 PM	0	6	6	1	0	1	0	0	0		0	7
5:30 PM	0	4	4	2	0	2	0	0	0		0	6
5:45 PM	0	1	1	5	0	5	0	0	0		0	6
Total Survey	0	24	24	32	2	34	2	0	2		0	60

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:20 PM to 5:20 PM

By			bound nd Rd			bound nd Rd			oound or Way			oound or Way	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	15	12	27	14	16	30	1	2	3	0	0	0	30
PHF	0.63			0.50			0.25			0.00			0.63

By Movement			bound ind Rd			bound nd Rd			Eastb S Anch			Westl S Anch	oound or Way		Total
Movement	L	Т		Total	 Т	R	Total	L		R	Total			Total	
Volume	0	15		15	12	2	14	1		0	1			0	30
PHF	0.00	0.63		0.63	 0.50	0.25	0.50	0.25		0.00	0.25			0.00	0.63

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northb Redlar			hbound land Rd			Eastbound S Anchor Way		Westbo S Ancho		Interval
Time	L	Т	Total	T	R	Total	L	R	Total		Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	12	12	21	0	21	2	0	2		0	35
4:15 PM	0	10	10	16	2	18	2	0	2		0	30
4:30 PM	0	15	15	11	2	13	1	0	1		0	29
4:45 PM	0	16	16	12	2	14	1	0	1		0	31
5:00 PM	0	12	12	11	2	13	0	0	0		0	25

Peak Hour Summary All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Redland Rd & S Anchor Way 4:20 PM to 5:20 PM Wednesday, April 04, 2018 Redland Rd **Bikes** 0 764 467 152 612 Ľ Ψ Peds 0 S Anchor Way Bikes 0 186 Peds 0 0 174 7 218 44 4 Bikes 0 Peds 0 ♠ **K** 34 293 Redland Rd 656 327 **Bikes** HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.83 0.5% 218 WB 0.00 0.0% 0 327 NB 0.88 4.6% SB 0.93 1.8% 764 Intersection 0.94 2.3% 1,309

Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

le

Project: 17038 - Park Place Annexation Intersection: S Redland Road at S Anchor Way

Date: 4/9/2018

Scenario: Existing Conditions - AM Peak Hour


2-lane roadway (English)

INPUT

Variable	Value
85 th percentile speed, mph:	45
Number of left-turns in advancing volume (V_A) , veh/h:	69
Advancing volume (V _A), veh/h:	726
Opposing volume (V _O), veh/h:	359

OUTPUT

Variable	Value
Limiting advancing volume (V _A), veh/h:	368
Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay	y:
Left-turn treatment warranted.	



CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

Variable	Value
Average time for making left-turn, s:	3.0
Critical headway, s:	5.0
Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s:	1.9

Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: 17038 - Park Place Annexation
Intersection: S Redland Road at S Anchor Way

Date: 4/9/2018

Scenario: Existing Conditions - PM Peak Hour


2-lane roadway (English)

INPUT

Variable	Value
85 th percentile speed, mph:	45
Number of left-turns in advancing volume (V _A), veh/h:	34
Advancing volume (V _A), veh/h:	327
Opposing volume (V _O), veh/h:	764

OUTPUT

Variable	Value
Limiting advancing volume (V _A), veh/h:	233
Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay	y:
Left-turn treatment warranted.	



CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

Variable	Value
Average time for making left-turn, s:	3.0
Critical headway, s:	5.0
Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s:	1.9



Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Project: 17038 - Park Place Annexation

Date: 4/9/2018

Scenario: Year 2035 Planning Horizon

Major Street: S Redland Road Minor Street: S Anchor Way

Number of Lanes: 1 Number of Lanes: 1

PM Peak PM Peak

Hour Volumes: 1289 Hour Volumes: 276

Warrant Used:

X 100 percent of standard warrants used
70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population less than 10,000.

	f Lanes for Moving		Major St.		Minor St.
Traffic or	n Each Approach:	(total of both	approaches)	(higher-volur	ne approach)
WARRANT 1, CO	ONDITION A	100%	70%	100%	70%
Major St.	Minor St.	<u>Warrants</u>	<u>Warrants</u>	<u>Warrants</u>	<u>Warrants</u>
1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500
WARRANT 1, CO	ONDITION B				
1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is 5.6% of the daily volume

	Approach	Minimum	Is Signal
	Volumes	Volumes	Warrant Met?
Warrant 1			
Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volun	ne		
Major Street	12,890	8,850	
Minor Street*	2,760	2,650	Yes
Condition B: Interruption of Continuous	s Traffic		
Major Street	12,890	13,300	
Minor Street*	2,760	1,350	No
Combination Warrant			
Major Street	12,890	10,640	
Minor Street*	2,760	2,120	Yes

^{*} Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by 25%.



	•	•	•	†	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			सी	f)	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	105	38	69	657	191	168
Future Volume (Veh/h)	105	38	69	657	191	168
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Hourly flow rate (vph)	108	39	71	677	197	173
Pedestrians	100	33	<i>i</i> 1	311	131	173
Lane Width (ft)						
. ,						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)				Mans	Mana	
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1102	284	370			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1102	284	370			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	51	95	94			
cM capacity (veh/h)	221	758	1183			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	147	748	370			
Volume Left	108	71	0			
Volume Right	39	0	173			
cSH	272	1183	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.54	0.06	0.22			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	74	5	0.22			
	32.8	1.5	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	52.0 D		0.0			
Lane LOS		A	0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	32.8	1.5	0.0			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		76.9%	IC	CU Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. ,						

	۶	•	•	†	 	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	1 >	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	174	44	34	293	612	152
Future Volume (Veh/h)	174	44	34	293	612	152
Sign Control	Stop		01	Free	Free	102
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Hourly flow rate (vph)	185	47	36	312	651	162
Pedestrians	100	71	30	J 12	001	102
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked	1116	732	813			
vC, conflicting volume	1116	132	013			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	1116	720	042			
vCu, unblocked vol	1116	732	813			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)	2.5	2.2	0.0			
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	16	89	96			
cM capacity (veh/h)	220	423	801			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	232	348	813			
Volume Left	185	36	0			
Volume Right	47	0	162			
cSH	244	801	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.95	0.04	0.48			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	215	4	0			
Control Delay (s)	88.9	1.5	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	Α				
Approach Delay (s)	88.9	1.5	0.0			
Approach LOS	F					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			15.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		62.8%	IC	CU Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)	1011		15		20 201010	1 001 1100
Allarysis i criod (IIIIII)			10			

	٠	•	4	†	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	f	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	147	53	97	712	214	235
Future Volume (Veh/h)	147	53	97	712	214	235
Sign Control	Stop		•	Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Hourly flow rate (vph)	152	55	100	734	221	242
Pedestrians	102	00	100	704	221	272
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)				Ma:	Na	
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked	4070	0.40	400			
vC, conflicting volume	1276	342	463			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1276	342	463			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	9	92	91			
cM capacity (veh/h)	168	703	1093			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	207	834	463			
Volume Left	152	100	0			
Volume Right	55	0	242			
cSH	211	1093	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.98	0.09	0.27			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	215	8	0			
Control Delay (s)	105.4	2.3	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	Α.	0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	105.4	2.3	0.0			
Approach LOS	F	2.0	0.0			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			15.8			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		89.9%	ıc	CU Level c	f Service
Analysis Period (min)	IIIOH		15	IC	O Level C	i Seivice
Analysis Feliou (IIIIII)			15			

	۶	•	•	†	 	√
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			सी	f _a	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	229	62	48	328	720	193
Future Volume (Veh/h)	229	62	48	328	720	193
Sign Control	Stop	<u> </u>		Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (vph)	241	65	51	345	758	203
Pedestrians	4 -11	00	01	0-10	700	200
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
				None	ivone	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked	4000	000	004			
vC, conflicting volume	1306	860	961			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	1000		004			
vCu, unblocked vol	1306	860	961			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	0	82	93			
cM capacity (veh/h)	164	357	704			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	306	396	961			
Volume Left	241	51	0			
Volume Right	65	0	203			
cSH	186	704	1700			
Volume to Capacity	1.65	0.07	0.57			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	515	6	0			
Control Delay (s)	359.2	2.2	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	A				
Approach Delay (s)	359.2	2.2	0.0			
Approach LOS	F		0.0			
Intersection Summary						
			66.6			
			80.9%	IC	CU Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	20 201010	. 551 1100
Analysis Feriou (min)			10			

	۶	•	•	†	 	1
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	147	59	108	904	315	235
Future Volume (Veh/h)	147	59	108	904	315	235
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
Hourly flow rate (vph)	152	61	111	932	325	242
Pedestrians	102	01		302	020	272
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
				ivone	ivone	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked	4000	440	507			
vC, conflicting volume	1600	446	567			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1600	446	567			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	0	90	89			
cM capacity (veh/h)	104	614	1000			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	213	1043	567			
Volume Left	152	111	0			
Volume Right	61	0	242			
cSH	137	1000	1700			
Volume to Capacity	1.56	0.11	0.33			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	372	9	0			
Control Delay (s)	341.3	2.9	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	Α				
Approach Delay (s)	341.3	2.9	0.0			
Approach LOS	F	v	0.0			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay 41.6						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 106.2%				IC	CU Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15		. 5 _5.0.0	
Analysis Fellou (IIIIII)			10			

	٠	`	•	†	 	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	229	74	56	476	946	193
Future Volume (Veh/h)	229	74	56	476	946	193
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (vph)	241	78	59	501	996	203
Pedestrians	4 T I	70	03	001	330	200
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)				INOHE	INOHE	
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1716	1098	1199			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	17 10	1090	1199			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol	1716	1000	1100			
•	1716	1098 6.2	1199			
tC, single (s)	6.4	0.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)	2.5	2.2	0.0			
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	0	70	90			
cM capacity (veh/h)	89	260	572			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	319	560	1199			
Volume Left	241	59	0			
Volume Right	78	0	203			
cSH	106	572	1700			
Volume to Capacity	3.00	0.10	0.71			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	Err	9	0			
Control Delay (s)	Err	2.8	0.0			
Lane LOS	F	Α				
Approach Delay (s)	Err	2.8	0.0			
Approach LOS	F					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay 1535.7						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 95.8%			IC	CU Level c	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	20 20 20 10	001 1100
Analysis Feriou (min)			10			

	•	\rightarrow	•	†	ţ	✓		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	W		ሻ	†	∱•			
Traffic Volume (vph)	147	59	108	904	315	235		
Future Volume (vph)	147	59	108	904	315	235		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.96		1.00	1.00	0.94			
Flt Protected	0.97		0.95	1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1746		1752	1845	1722			
Flt Permitted	0.97		0.26	1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1746		474	1845	1722			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97		
Adj. Flow (vph)	152	61	111	932	325	242		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	25	0	0	0	38	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	188	0	111	932	529	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	4%		
Turn Type	Prot		pm+pt	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4		5	2	6			
Permitted Phases			2					
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.3		35.0	35.0	26.4			
Effective Green, g (s)	11.3		35.0	35.0	26.4			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20		0.63	0.63	0.48			
Clearance Time (s)	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	356		394	1167	822			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.11		0.02	c0.51	0.31			
v/s Ratio Perm			0.16					
v/c Ratio	0.53		0.28	0.80	0.64			
Uniform Delay, d1	19.6		5.8	7.5	10.9			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	1.4		0.4	3.9	1.7			
Delay (s)	21.0		6.2	11.4	12.6			
Level of Service	С		Α	В	В			
Approach Delay (s)	21.0			10.9	12.6			
Approach LOS	С			В	В			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay		12.6	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	В		
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio			0.81					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			55.3		um of lost		13.5	
Intersection Capacity Utilization			66.8%	IC	U Level o	f Service	С	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
c Critical Lane Group								

	•	•	4	†	ļ	✓		
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	¥		ሻ	†	î,			
Traffic Volume (vph)	229	74	56	476	946	193		
Future Volume (vph)	229	74	56	476	946	193		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Total Lost time (s)	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Frpb, ped/bikes	0.99		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Frt	0.97		1.00	1.00	0.98			
Flt Protected	0.96		0.95	1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1743		1719	1810	1820			
FIt Permitted	0.96		0.05	1.00	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1743		87	1810	1820			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Adj. Flow (vph)	241	78	59	501	996	203		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	10	0	0	0	6	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	309	0	59	501	1193	0		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%		
Turn Type	Prot		pm+pt	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	4		5	2	6			
Permitted Phases			2					
Actuated Green, G (s)	21.6		86.9	86.9	78.3			
Effective Green, g (s)	21.6		86.9	86.9	78.3			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18		0.74	0.74	0.67			
Clearance Time (s)	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	320		121	1338	1212			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.18		0.02	c0.28	c0.66			
v/s Ratio Perm			0.34					
v/c Ratio	0.97		0.49	0.37	0.98			
Uniform Delay, d1	47.6		31.2	5.5	19.0			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	40.9		3.1	0.2	22.0			
Delay (s)	88.4		34.2	5.7	41.0			
Level of Service	F		С	Α	D			
Approach Delay (s)	88.4			8.7	41.0			
Approach LOS	F			Α	D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			39.6	H	CM 2000	Level of Service	D	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio			0.96					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			117.5	S	um of lost	time (s)	13.5	
Intersection Capacity Utilization			86.2%		U Level c		E	
Analysis Period (min)			15					
0.10 - 11 - 0								

c Critical Lane Group