Zone Change Application For Wheeler Family Properties

Date: June 2017

Submitted to:City of Oregon City
Planning Division

221 Molalla Avenue, Suite 200

Oregon City, OR 97045

Owners: Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust

Donald W. & Roxanne O. Wheeler



Table of Contents

I.	Executive Summary	
	Site Description / Setting	
	Applicable Review Criteria	
9	CITY OF OREGON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE	3
	Chapter 17: ZONING	3
	Chapter 17.68: ZONE CHANGES AND AMENDMENT	3
	17.68.020 Criteria	3
IV.	Conclusion	7

Exhibits

Exhibit A: Preliminary Plans

Exhibit B: City Land Use Application Forms and Checklist

Exhibit C: Property Title Information

Exhibit D: Type I Natural Resource Overlay District Verification (NR 17-03)

Exhibit E: Transportation Impact Study

Exhibit F: Public Facilities Memorandum

Exhibit G: Neighborhood Meeting Documentation

Exhibit H: City Pre-Application Conference Summary

Exhibit I: Clackamas County Assessor's Maps

Exhibit J: Mailing Labels

Zone Change Application For Wheeler Family Properties

Submitted to: City of Oregon City

Planning Division

221 Molalla Avenue, Suite 200

Oregon City, OR 97045

Owners: 32E07C Tax Lot 1001 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

32E07C Tax Lots 1100, 1180 (David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust)
32E07C Tax Lot 1291 (Donald W. & Roxanne O. Wheeler)
31E12D Tax Lots 1700, 1790 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

Applicant: Rian Park Development, Inc.

P.O. Box 2559

Oregon City, OR 97045

Applicant's Consultant: AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC

12965 SW Herman Road, Suite 100

Tualatin, OR 97062

Contact: Monty Hurley (<u>monty@aks-eng.com</u>)

Chris Goodell (chrisg@aks-eng.com)

Phone: (503) 563-6151 Fax: (503) 563-6152

Clackamas County

Assessor's Information: Tax Map: 32E07C, Tax Lots: 1001, 1100, 1180, & 1291

Tax Map: 31E12D, Tax Lots: 1700 & 1790

Site Size: ±22.56 Acres

Current Zoning Designation: LR – Low Density Residential / R-10

Planned Zoning Designation: LR – Low Density Residential / R-8

I. Executive Summary

This application is for a zone change to change the existing R-10 zoning designation of the Wheeler family's six tax lots to an R-8 zoning designation. The Wheeler Family properties are located in an area that is redeveloping. Surrounding residential projects such as Payson Farms No. 2, Highland Park, Central Point Crossing, and Ed's Orchard have all annexed into the City, and successfully changed the zoning from R-10 to R-8.

The Wheeler Family has owned and operated a Christmas tree and hazelnut farm on the subject properties for over 50 years. As development of surrounding properties encroached the farm, new streets and utility infrastructure were extended to the farm's boundary to facilitate future development of the property and complete the intended network for the area. This zone change application is the first step in fulfilling Dave Wheeler Sr.'s vision of passing the farm onto his children for the future subdivision of the property and construction of single-family homes.

The submittal materials include the required findings and other documentation necessary to establish compliance with all applicable approval criteria.


II. Site Description / Setting

The Wheeler Family owns approximately ±22.56 acres of land that is situated south of the Ed's Orchard Subdivision, west of the Hazel Creek Farms Subdivision, east of the Highland Park Subdivision, and north of the Urban Growth Boundary. The Wheeler Family properties have frontage on Orchard Grove Drive, Larence Lane, Skellenger Way, and Tolstrup Drive. These streets are considered public streets that have recently been constructed as the surrounding area has developed.



Aerial Photo

Zoning Map



III. Applicable Review Criteria

City staff's pre-application conference notes outline the review criteria that are relevant to this application. Therefore, those criteria are addressed below.

CITY OF OREGON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE

Chapter 17: ZONING

Chapter 17.68: ZONE CHANGES AND AMENDMENT

17.68.020 Criteria

The criteria for a zone change are set forth as follows:

A. The proposal shall be consistent with the goals and policies of the comprehensive plan.

The planned zone change meets the following applicable goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan:

Goal 1: Citizen Involvement

Goal 1.2: Ensure that citizens, neighborhood groups and affected property owners are involved in all phases of the comprehensive planning program.

Response:

The Oregon City Comprehensive Plan and Municipal Code include provisions to ensure citizens, neighborhood groups, and affected property owners have an opportunity to participate in the land use process. The City Comprehensive Plan is acknowledged by the State of Oregon as compliant with the Oregon Statewide Planning Goals, including Goal

1. For this application, citizens were able to attend and participate in the South End Neighborhood Association meeting held on May 18, 2017, that was open to the public. In addition to the neighborhood association meeting, citizens have the opportunity to attend and participate in public hearings before the Oregon City Planning Commission and the Oregon City Commission. Future applications involving the subject properties involve additional public processes. Therefore, the application is consistent with this Goal.

Goal 2: Land Use

Goal 2.1: Ensure that property planned for residential, commercial, office and industrial uses is used efficiently and that land is developed following principles of sustainable development.

Response:

This application involves a zone change from the R-10 zoning designation to the R-8 zoning designation. This represents an increase in density while still remaining in a single-family zone. Densities corresponding to the R-8 zone represent sustainable development in a more compact form that is able to capitalize on public infrastructure investment within the existing City limits, which eases external pressures to expand and sprawl beyond the current urban growth boundary, which abuts the subject properties to the south. Therefore, the application is consistent with this Goal.

Goal 2.7: Maintain the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan Land-Use Map as the official long-range planning guide for land-use development of the city by type, density and location.

Response:

The subject properties are designated Low Density Residential (LR) by the City's Comprehensive Plan. The LR designation includes R-10, R-8, and R-6 zoning districts. This application involves a zone change from the R-10 zoning designation to the R-8 zoning designation. A change to the Comprehensive Plan designation of the site is not necessary. The subject properties are adjacent to other properties within the Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan designation (R-8 and R-10). Therefore, the R-8 zoning designation is consistent with and maintains the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan Land-Use Map as the official long-range planning guide for land-use development. The application is consistent with this Goal.

Goal 5: Natural Resources

Policy 5.4.4: Consider natural resources and their contribution to quality of life as a key community value when planning, evaluating and assessing costs of City actions.

Response:

According to City maps, A Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD) extends onto a portion of three of the six tax lots included in this application (Tax Lots 1180, 1790, and 1700). A Natural Resource Assessment (NRA), was prepared and included in an application for a Type I NROD Verification which was approved by the City on May 19, 2017 (NR 17-03), verifying that no natural resources exist on the Wheeler family properties and that these properties are exempt from further NROD review. A copy of the City's NROD Verification Decision that includes the project's NRA are planned to be included with any future development application(s) associated with the subject properties as documentation for the verification as is typical and appropriate. Therefore, the application is consistent with this Goal.

Goal 6: Quality of Air, Water and Land Resources

Goal 6.1.1: Promote land-use patterns that reduce the need for distance travel by single-occupancy vehicles and increase opportunities for walking, biking and/or transit to destinations such as places of employment, shopping and education.

Response:

The planned R-8 zoning designation promotes a compact land use pattern that reduces the amount of land dedicated to public streets, and other public infrastructure per dwelling unit. Compact land use patterns reduce travel distance by single-occupancy vehicles and increases opportunities for alternative modes of transportation including walking, biking, and transit.

The properties represented in this application are located approximately one (± 1) mile from John McLoughlin Elementary School and approximately one and a half $(\pm 1\%)$ miles from lands being considered for Neighborhood Commercial designations (along South End Road) in the South End Concept Plan. Thus, the R-8 zoning for these properties strategically increases opportunities for greater populations to walk and bike to places of education, shopping, and employment. Therefore, the R-8 zoning designation is consistent with this Goal.

Policy 6.2.1: Prevent erosion and restrict the discharge of sediments into surface and groundwater by requiring erosion prevention measures and sediment control practices.

Response:

This application does not involve any physical disturbance to land or property. In the future, applications may be submitted that involve physical changes to the property. Those types of applications are subject to City grading, drainage, and erosion control standards. Therefore, those applications are planned to include preliminary plans that ensure erosion and sedimentation control standards are satisfied. To the extent this Goal is relevant to the application, it is satisfied.

Goal 10: Housing

Goal 10.1.3: Designate residential land for a balanced variety of densities and types of housing, such as single-family attached and detached, and a range of multi-family densities and types, including mixed-use development.

Response:

The R-8 zoning will preserve the property's existing Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan designation while also maintaining the single-family residential nature of the area, albeit in a more compact form. The R-8 density is most conducive to single-family detached development patterns rather than multi-family or single-family attached, and this is indicative as those uses are not permitted in the R-8 zone. Those types of uses would require a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment, which is not included in this application. It is clear that R-8 densities will allow for a greater number of residential units on the site, thereby increasing the number and variety of housing choices in the area. Therefore, the application is consistent with this Goal.

Goal 11: Public Facilities

Goal 11.1: Serve the health, safety, education, welfare and recreational needs of all Oregon City residents through the planning and provision of adequate public facilities.

Response:

The applicant met with City and School District staff in a pre-application conference and discussed the zone change. At the pre-application conference and in subsequent correspondence with City staff, no deficiencies in terms of the adequacy of public facilities (water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, streets) were identified. This is in part because a change from R-10 to R-8 is a shift within the Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan Map designation and these impacts have been previously evaluated with the adoption of the City's Comprehensive Plan. School District staff did not identify concerns with this zone change application due to the small size of the subject property. Please also refer to the memorandum from a professional engineer included in the application materials discussing the adequacy of public facilities for further information.

In addition, as part of any future application (including subdivision review), detailed preliminary plans are planned to be submitted for review by the City, Clackamas Fire District No.1, as well as the School District. The applicant will be required to sign a Non-Remonstrance Agreement for the purpose of ensuring sanitary sewer, storm drainage, water, and/or street improvements are extended in the future that benefit the project site. This Goal is met.

Goal 12: Transportation

Goal 12.6: Develop and maintain a transportation system that has enough capacity of meet users' needs.

Response:

A Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) analysis has been included in the attached Transportation Impact Study (TIS), prepared by Lancaster Engineering based upon a scope of work provided by the City's traffic engineering consultant. The TIS includes trip generation estimates for the existing R-10 zone and the planned R-8 zone, traffic count data, trip distribution and assignments, operational analysis, crash data analysis, and capacity analysis for the 20-year planning horizon consistent with the requirements of the State Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-012-060).

Written findings are contained within the TPR analysis that demonstrate that the TPR is satisfied by the application. Therefore, the application is consistent with this Goal.

B. That public facilities and services (water, sewer, storm drainage, transportation, schools, police and fire protection) are presently capable of supporting the uses allowed by the zone, or can be made available prior to issuing a certificate of occupancy. Service shall be sufficient to support the range of uses and development allowed by the zone.

Response:

As detailed above in the response to Goal 11.1, the applicant met with City and School District staff in a pre-application conference and discussed the zone change from R-10 to R-8. At the pre-application conference and in subsequent correspondence with City staff, no deficiencies in terms of the adequacy of public facilities (sanitary sewer, storm drainage, water, and streets) were identified. This is in part because a change from R-10 to R-8 is a shift within the Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan Map designation and these impacts have been previously evaluated with the adoption of the City's



Comprehensive Plan. School District staff did not identify concerns with this zone change application due to the small size of the subject property. Please refer to the memorandum from a professional engineer discussing the adequacy of public facilities for further information.

In addition, as part of any future application (including subdivision review), detailed preliminary plans are planned to be submitted for review by the City, Clackamas Fire District No.1, as well as the School District. The applicant will be required to sign a Non-Remonstrance Agreement for the purpose of ensuring sanitary sewer, storm drainage, water, and/or street improvements are extended in the future that benefit the project site. This Goal is met.

C. The land uses authorized by the proposal are consistent with the existing or planned function, capacity and level of service of the transportation system serving the proposed zoning district.

Response:

A TPR analysis has been prepared by a registered professional traffic engineer and included in the project's TIS based upon a scope of work provided by the City's traffic engineering consultant. The TIS includes trip generation estimates for the existing R-10 zone and the planned R-8 zone, traffic count data, trip distribution and assignments, operational analysis, crash data analysis, and capacity analysis for the 20-year planning horizon consistent with the requirements of the State Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-012-060).

Written findings are contained within the TIS that demonstrate that the TPR is satisfied by the application. Therefore, the application is consistent with this standard.

D. Statewide planning goals shall be addressed if the comprehensive plan does not contain specific policies or provisions which control the amendment.

Response:

The Oregon City Comprehensive Plan is acknowledged by LCDC and contains specific policies and provisions that address zone change applications. These criteria are listed above and as described in this written statement are satisfied by the application. Therefore, this criterion is met.

IV. Conclusion


The above listed findings and accompanying documentation demonstrate that the planned zone change application complies with all applicable approval criteria found in the Oregon City Municipal Code, including consistency with relevant provisions of the City's Comprehensive Plan and availability of adequate public facilities, services, and transportation systems. The evidence in the record is substantial and supports approval of the zone change to the R-8 zoning district. The City can rely upon this information in its approval of the application.



Exhibit A: Preliminary Plans


WHEELER FAMILY PROPERTIES

ZONE CHANGE APPLICATION PLANS



VICINITY MAP

SCALE: NTS



SITE MAP

SCALE: 1"=200'

APPLICANT:

RIAN PARK DEVELOPMENT, INC. PO BOX 2559 OREGON CITY,

OR 97045

OWNERS:

WHEELER FAMILY ENTERPRISES, LLC (TAX LOT 1001, 1700, & 1790) (32E07C & 31E12D) DAVID H. WHEELER SR. TRUST (TAX LOT 1100 & 1180) (32E07C)

DONALD W. & ROXANNE O. WHEELER (TAX LOT 1291) (32E07C)

CONSULTING FIRM:

AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC.

MONTY HURLEY (MONTY@AKS-ENG.COM) CHRIS GOODELL (CHRISG@AKS-ENG.COM) 12965 SW HERMAN ROAD, SUITE 100

TUALATIN, OR 97062 PH: 503-563-6151 FAX: 503-563-6152

PROJECT LOCATION:

19566 CENTRAL POINT ROAD OREGON CITY, OREGON 97045

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: TAX LOTS 1700 & 1790 OF

CLACKAMAS COUNTY TAX MAP 3S-1E-12D, LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 1 EAST, SECTION 12; AND TAX LOT 1001, 1291, 1100 & 1180 OF TAX MAP 3S-2E-07C, LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 2 EAST, SECTION 7, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON.

EXISTING LAND USE:

EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND ACCESSORY BUILDINGS WITH

FARMLAND /FIELD.

PROJECT PURPOSE:

ZONE CHANGE FROM R-10 LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO R-8 LOW DENSITY

RESIDENTIAL.

OREGON

FAMILY PROPERTIE WHEELER

CITY EGON

~ 0 SITE MAPS MIH

SHEET **VICINITY AND** COVER

CHECKED BY:

AS NOTED



JOB NUMBER

5621

SHEET

- 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
- 3 AERIAL PHOTO AND CONCEPTUAL SHADOW PLAT

0



REVISIONS

JOB NUMBER **5621**

SHEET 2

OREGON X MAPS 3S2E07C. 3S1F120 WHEELER FAMILY
PROPERTIES

OREGON CITY
OREGO

TAX LOIS 1700, 1100, 1291, 1001, 1390, 1180

CACKAMAS CO. TAX MAPS 325207C, 3

AERIAL PHOTO AND CONCEPTUAL SHADOW PLAT



JOB NUMBER

5621

SHEET 3



Exhibit B: City Land Use Application Forms and Checklist



Type I (OCMC 17.50.030.A)

Community Development - Planning

Type III / IV (OCMC 17.50.030.C)

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

LAND USE APPLICATION FORM

Type II (OCMC 17.50.030.B)

□ Compatibility Review □ Lot Line Adjustment □ Non-Conforming Use Review □ Natural Resource (NROD) Verification □ Site Plan and Design Review	□ Extension □ Detailed Development Review □ Geotechnical Hazards □ Minor Partition (<4 lots) □ Minor Site Plan & Design Review □ Non-Conforming Use Review □ Site Plan and Design Review □ Subdivision (4+ lots) □ Minor Variance □ Natural Resource (NROD) Review	□ Annexation □ Code Interpretation / Similar Use □ Concept Development Plan □ Conditional Use □ Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Text/Map) □ Detailed Development Plan □ Historic Review □ Municipal Code Amendment □ Variance □ Zone Change
File Number(s): PA 16-54 (Pre	-Application Conference)	
		amily properties within the Low Density Residential
Plan Designation (from R-10 to R-8).		
Project Name: <u>Wheeler Family Pro</u>	perties Number of	of Lots Proposed (If Applicable):N/A
Physical Address of Site: 19566 S	Central Point Road	
Clackamas County Map and Tax L	ot Number(s): Map: 32E07C Tax Lots: 1	001, 1100, 1180, & 1291
Applicant(s): Applicant(s) Signature:	Map: 31E12D Tax Lots: 1	
Applicant(s) Name Printed: Rian	Park Development, Inc.	Date:
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2559, O	regon City, OR 97045	
Phone: Contact Applicant's Consulta	ant Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultant	t Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
	David H. Wheoler Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC (Tax Lots: 10	
Mailing Address:		×
	ant Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultan	
Representative(s): Representative(s) Signature:	,	
Representative (s) Name Printed:	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC (Monty	Hurley) Date: May 22, 2017
Mailing Address: <u>12965 SW Herma</u>	n Road, Suite 100, Tualatin, OR 97062	
Phone: <u>503-563-6151</u>	Fax: <u>503-563-6152</u>	Email: monty@aks-eng.com



Community Development - Planning

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

LAND USE APPLICATION FORM

Type I (OCMC 17.50.030.A)	Type II (OCMC 17.50.030.B)	Type III / IV (OCMC 17.50.030.C)
☐ Compatibility Review	□ Extension	Annexation
☐ Lot Line Adjustment	☐ Detailed Development Review	☐ Code Interpretation / Similar Use
☐ Non-Conforming Use Review	☐ Geotechnical Hazards	☐ Concept Development Plan
☐ Natural Resource (NROD)	☐ Minor Partition (<4 lots)	☐ Conditional Use
Verification	☐ Minor Site Plan & Design Review	☐ Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Text/Map)
☐ Site Plan and Design Review	☐ Non-Conforming Use Review	☐ Detailed Development Plan
	☐ Site Plan and Design Review	☐ Historic Review
	☐ Subdivision (4+ lots)	☐ Municipal Code Amendment
	☐ Minor Variance	□ Variance
	☐ Natural Resource (NROD) Review	Zone Change
		a zene enenge
File Number(s): PA 16-54 (Pre-A	Application Conference)	
Proposed Land Use or Activity: <u>Zor</u>	ne Change Application for the Wheeler fa	mily properties within the Low Density Residential
Plan Designation (from R-10 to R-8).		
Project Name: Wheeler Family Prop	erties Number o	f Lots Proposed (If Applicable):N/A
Physical Address of Site: <u>19566 S C</u>	entral Point Road	
Clackamas County Map and Tax Lo	Number(s): <u>Map: 32E07C Tax Lots: 10</u>	001, 1100, 1180, & 1291
	Map: 31E12D Tax Lots: 1	700 & 1790
Applicant(s):		
Applicant(s) Signature:		
Applicant(s) Name Printed: Rian Pa	irk Development, Inc.	Date:
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2559, Ore	gon City, OR 97045	
Phone: Contact Applicant's Consultan	t Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultant	Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
Property Owner(s): Property Owner(s) Signature:	David H. Wheeler	De.
Property Owner(s) Name Printed: _	David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust (Tax Lots: 110	00, 1180) Date: 6/15/17
Mailing Address:		
Phone: Contact Applicant's Consultan	t Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultant	Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
Representative(s):		
Representative(s) Signature:	tyng B Huly	
Representative (s) Name Printed: _/	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC (Monty I	Hurley) Date: _May 22, 2017
Mailing Address: 12965 SW Herman	Road, Suite 100, Tualatin, OR 97062	
Phone: <u>503-563-6151</u>	Fax: 503-563-6152	Email: monty@aks-eng.com



Type I (OCMC 17.50.030.A)

Community Development - Planning

Type III / IV (OCMC 17.50.030.C)

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

LAND USE APPLICATION FORM

Type II (OCMC 17.50.030.B)

☐ Compatibility Review ☐ Lot Line Adjustment ☐ Non-Conforming Use Review ☐ Natural Resource (NROD) Verification ☐ Site Plan and Design Review	□ Extension □ Detailed Development Review □ Geotechnical Hazards □ Minor Partition (<4 lots) □ Minor Site Plan & Design Review □ Non-Conforming Use Review □ Site Plan and Design Review □ Subdivision (4+ lots) □ Minor Variance □ Natural Resource (NROD) Review	□ Annexation □ Code Interpretation / Similar Use □ Concept Development Plan □ Conditional Use □ Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Text/Map) □ Detailed Development Plan □ Historic Review □ Municipal Code Amendment □ Variance □ Zone Change
File Number(s): PA 16-54 (Pre	2-Application Conference)	
Proposed Land Use or Activity: _Z	one Change Application for the Wheeler f	amily properties within the Low Density Residential
Plan Designation (from R-10 to R-8).		
Project Name: Wheeler Family Pro	perties Number of	of Lots Proposed (If Applicable):N/A
Physical Address of Site: <u>19566 S</u>	Central Point Road	
Clackamas County Map and Tax L	ot Number(s): <u>Map: 32E07C Tax Lots: 1</u>	001, 1100, 1180, & 1291
Applicant(s): Applicant(s) Signature:	Map: 31E12D Tax Lots: 1	1700 & 1790
Applicant(s) Name Printed: Rian	Park Development, Inc.	Date:
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2559, O	regon City, OR 97045	
Phone: Contact Applicant's Consulta	ant Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultan	Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
Property Owner(s): Property Owner(s) Signature:		
Property Owner(s) Name Printed	Donald W. Wheeler and Roxanne O. Wheele	r (Tax Lot: 1291) Date: 6/15/17
Mailing Address: 19898 S White Lan	e, Oregon City, OR 97045	
Phone: Contact Applicant's Consult	ant Fax: Contact Applicant's Consultan	t Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
Representative(s): Representative(s) Signature:	witgay B Huly	
Representative (s) Name Printed:	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC (Monty	Hurley) Date: May 22, 2017
Mailing Address: 12965 SW Herma	n Road, Suite 100, Tualatin, OR 97062	
Phone: <u>503-563-6151</u>	Fax: <u>503-563-6152</u>	Email: _monty@aks-eng.com



Type I (OCMC 17.50.030.A)

☐ Compatibility Review

Community Development - Planning

Type III / IV (OCMC 17.50.030.C)

Annexation

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

LAND USE APPLICATION FORM

Type II (OCMC 17.50.030.B)

■ Extension

□ Lot Line Adjustment □ Non-Conforming Use Review □ Natural Resource (NROD) Verification □ Site Plan and Design Review	☐ Detailed Development Review ☐ Geotechnical Hazards ☐ Minor Partition (<4 lots) ☐ Minor Site Plan & Design Review ☐ Non-Conforming Use Review ☐ Site Plan and Design Review ☐ Subdivision (4+ lots) ☐ Minor Variance ☐ Natural Resource (NROD) Review	☐ Code Interpretation / Similar Use ☐ Concept Development Plan ☐ Conditional Use ☐ Comprehensive Plan Amendment (Text/Map) ☐ Detailed Development Plan ☐ Historic Review ☐ Municipal Code Amendment ☐ Variance ☐ Zone Change
File Number(s): PA 16-54 (Pre	e-Application Conference)	
Proposed Land Use or Activity: $\frac{2}{2}$	one Change Application for the Wheeler f	amily properties within the Low Density Residential
Plan Designation (from R-10 to R-8).		
Project Name: Wheeler Family Pro	perties Number	of Lots Proposed (If Applicable):N/A
Physical Address of Site: 19566 S	Central Point Road	
Applicant(s): Applicant(s) Signature: Applicant(s) Name Printed: Rian Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2559, O Phone: Contact Applicant's Consult Property Owner(s):		Date: 4/13/17 t Email: Contact Applicant's Consultant
Property Owner(s) Name Printed		Date:
Mailing Address:		
Phone:	Fax:	Email:
Representative (s) Name Printed: Mailing Address: <u>12965 SW Herma</u>	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC (Monty in Road, Suite 100, Tualatin, OR 97062	Hurley) Date: May 22, 2017
Phone: <u>503-563-6151</u>	Fax: 503-563-6152	Email: monty@aks-eng.com



221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

Comprehensive Plan Amendment / Zone Change Checklist

The following information is required for a complete Zone Change application. Incomplete applications will be rejected.







Narrative

A complete and detailed narrative description of the proposed development and an explanation addressing all applicable approval criteria. A template is provided by the City at the Pre-Application Conference.



Pre-Application Conference Summary Sheet



Traffic Study or Analysis Letter



Neighborhood Association Meeting Documentation

- a. A sign-in sheet of meeting attendees
- b. A summary of issues discussed, and
- **c.** A letter from the neighborhood association or citizen involvement committee indicating that a neighborhood meeting was held. If the applicant held a separately noticed meeting, the applicant shall submit a copy of the meeting flyer, a sign in sheet of attendees and a summary of issues discussed.
- 6. N/A Annexation Agreement, if Applicable
- 7. A Current Preliminary Title Report or Trio for the Subject Property(ies)
- 8. Mailing Labels for Owners Within 300 Feet of the Subject Site

The names and addresses of property owners within 300 feet of the site indicated on the most recent property tax rolls. The Planning Division can produce the mailing labels for a fee.

Copies

Three (3) copies of all information, reports, and drawings (full-sized and 8.5" by 11") pertaining to this application. Additional copies may be required during the review process.

10. Electronic Version of All Application Materials

11. **All R**

All Required Application Fees

12.

Additional Information or Reports



Exhibit C: Property Title Information



Property Profile Report

Address Not Available

Ownership Information

Owner Name: Please see attached vesting deed for current ownership.

Mailing Address: 19566 CENTRAL POINT RD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Property Description

County: Clackamas Map / Tax Lot: 32E07C/01001

Account Num: 00862748 Owner Occ.: No

Land Use: 540- Census:

Map Grid: 717-B5

Subdivision:

Legal Description: Section 07 Township 3S Range 2E Quarter C TAX LOT 01001

Property Characteristics

Property Type: AGRICULTURAL Building SF: Pool: No

House Style: Living Area SF: Deck SF: Year Built: Square Feet: Deck Desc: Bedrooms: 1st Floor SF: Patio SF: Bathrooms: 2nd Floor SF: Patio Desc: Heat: 3rd Floor SF: Foundation: Cooling: Attic SF: Exterior: Lot Size: 188,065 Bsmnt SF: Ext. Finish: Acres: 4.21 Fin Bsmt SF: Interior: Garage Type: Garage SF: Roof Style: Fireplaces: **Bsmnt Type:** Roof Cover:

Assessment Information

 Real Market Value:
 \$ 557,432
 Land Value:
 \$ 557,432
 Imp. Value:
 \$ 0


 Total Assessed Value:
 \$ 3,406
 Levy Code:
 062064
 M-5 Rate:
 .0182

Taxes: \$61.88 Tax Year: 15-16

Previous Sale Information

Sale Amount: Sale Date: Document Num:

Transaction	History				
		HPI	Document	Reception	
Sale Date	Sale Amount	Sale Amount	Туре	Num	Book/Page
3/8/2013	\$ 0		S	2013-016299	/
5/21/2007	\$ 1		S	2007-043768	/



STATUTORY BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTORS

TO

Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTEE

After recording, return to:

Jaylin Palacio

Tyler Smith

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

181 N Grant St. STE 212

Canby, OR 97013

Clackamas County Official Records Sherry Hall, County Clerk

2013-016299

01662995201200462000020020

\$58.00

03/08/2013 1:39:52 PM

D-D Cnt=1 Stn=2 TINAJAR \$15.00 \$10.00 \$16.00 \$17.00

Until a change is requested, all tax statements shall be sent to:

Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

STATUTORY BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

The Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership, **GRANTOR**, hereby conveys to Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC, as **GRANTEE**, the following described real property:

Tract 1 being fully described in the attached Exhibit A.

No monetary consideration for this conveyance exchange was all or part of the consideration.

BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON TRANSFERRING FEE TITLE SHOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THE PERSON'S RIGHTS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009. THIS INSTRUMENT DOES NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE LAND USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE CITY OR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY THAT THE UNIT OF LAND BEING TRANSFERRED IS A LAWFULLY ESTABLISHED LOT OR PARCEL, AS DEFINED IN ORS 92.010 OR 215.010, TO VERIFY THE APPROVED USES OF THE LOT OR PARCEL, TO DETERMINE ANY LIMITS ON LAWSUITS AGAINST FARMING OR FOREST PRACTICES, AS DEFINED IN ORS 30.930, AND TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009

Dated: March 8, 2013, 2013.

David H. Wheeler Sr., General Partner, The Wheeler

Family Investments Limited Partnership


STATE OF OREGON,)

County of Clackamas) ss.

This instrument was signed or acknowledged before me on March 8

, 2013.

By:



Notary Public for State of Oregon

My commission expires: May 2, 2015

Delivered to and accepted by:

David H. Wheeler Sr., Member, Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC

ENGINEERING PLANNING FORESTRY

13910 S.W. Galbreath Dr., Suite 100 Sherwood, Oregon 97140 Phone: (503) 925-8799

Fax: (503) 925-8969

AKS JOB No. 2142



LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SURVEYING

AKS Group of Companies: SHERWOOD, OREGON SALEM, OREGON VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON www.aks-eng.com

EXHIBIT A

Tract 1
City of Oregon City Planning File No. LL 12-01

A tract of land located in the Southwest One-Quarter of Section 7, Township 3 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, Oregon, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a 5/8 inch iron rod with a red plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." at the southerly corner of Lot 75 of the plat "Hazel Creek Farms"; thence along the southwest line of said Lot 75, North 46°03'51" West 38.92 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." and the Point of Beginning; thence along the northwest line of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler Jr. and Carol A. Wheeler in Document Number 2004-103478 and clarified in Boundary Line Agreement Document Number 2012-039420, Clackamas County Deed Records, South 45°09'43" West 483.16 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR."; thence leaving said northwest line, North 46°03'35" West 511.77 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." on the southeast line of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler, Sr. Trustee of the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust per Document Number 2002-068860, Clackamas County Deed Records; thence along said southeast line, North 44°04'18" East 317.83 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." on the southwest line of Lot 44 of the plat "Hazel Creek Farms"; thence along the westerly southwest line of "Hazel Creek Farms", South 46°05'25" East 400.00 feet to a 12 inch by 18 inch stone with a "X" scribed on top on the southeast right-of-way line of Orchard Grove Drive (26.00 feet from centerline); thence along said southeast right-of-way line, North 43°54'18" East 165.00 feet to the westerly corner of said Lot 75; thence along the westerly line of said Lot 75, South 46°03'51" East 121.45 feet to the Point of Beginning.

The above described tract of land contains 4.21 acres, more or less.

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR

> OREGON JANUARY 11, 2005 ROBERT D. RETTIG 60124LS

RENEWS: 12/31/12



Property Profile Report

Address Not Available

Ownership Information

Owner Name: Please see attached vesting deed for current ownership.

Mailing Address: 19566 CENTRAL POINT RD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Property Description

County: Clackamas Map / Tax Lot: 32E07C/01100

Account Num: 00862828 Owner Occ.: No

Land Use: 541- Census:

Map Grid: 717-B5

Subdivision:

Legal Description: Township 3S Range 2E Section 07 Quarter C TAX LOT 01100 SEE SPLIT CODE ACCT 01180

Property Characteristics

Property Type: SINGLE FAMILY Building SF: 1,712 Pool: No

House Style: Living Area SF: 1,712 Deck SF: Year Built: 1926 Square Feet: 1,712 Deck Desc: Bedrooms: 1st Floor SF: Patio SF: Bathrooms: 1.50 2nd Floor SF: Patio Desc: Heat: 3rd Floor SF: Foundation: Cooling: Attic SF: Exterior: Lot Size: 96,907 Bsmnt SF: Ext. Finish: Acres: 2.13 Fin Bsmt SF: Interior:

Garage Type: Garage SF: Roof Style: Fireplaces: Bsmnt Type: Roof Cover:

Assessment Information


Real Market Value: \$ 271,977 Land Value: \$ 179,617 Imp. Value: \$ 92,360 Total Assessed Value: \$ 132,652 Levy Code: 062064 M-5 Rate: .0182

Taxes: \$2,409.93 Tax Year: 15-16

Previous Sale Information

Sale Amount: Sale Date: Document Num:

•	Transaction History						
			HPI	Document	Reception		
	Sale Date	Sale Amount	Sale Amount	Туре	Num	Book/Page	
	5/21/2007	\$ 0	\$ 0	Х	2007-043769	/	
	11/9/2004	\$ 1	\$ 0	Χ	2004-103476	/	



STATUTORY QUIT CLAIM DEED

David H. Wheeler Jr.

19588 S Central Point Rd.

Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTOR

TO

David H. Wheeler Sr. as Trustee

David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust

19566 S Central Point Rd.

Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTEE

After recording, return to:

Jaylin Palacio Tyler Smith & Associates P.C. 181 N Grant St. STE 212 Canby, OR 97013

AdaMS

Clackamas County Official Records Sherry Hall, County Clerk

2013-007511



\$58.00

02/01/2013 10:15:48 AM

Cnt=1 Stn=1 JANISKEL \$15.00 \$10.00 \$16.00 \$17.00

Until a change is requested, all tax statements shall be sent to:

The David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

STATUTORY QUITCLAIM DEED

David H. Wheeler Jr., GRANTOR, hereby releases and quitclaim to the David H. Wheeler Sr. as Trustee of the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust, as **GRANTEE**, all right, title, and interest in and to the Property described as:

All real property, if any, BETWEEN: the northwestern boundary of the lot conveyed to David H Wheeler Jr. and Carol Wheeler, with the established legal description in the recorded Document Number 2004-103478, and furthermore with a legal description of said Boundary Line being described in the Boundary Line Agreement recorded in the real property records of Clackamas County as document number 2012-039420;

AND

the southeastern boundary line of the adjacent lot to the northwest, with said adjacent lot (also known as Tract 2) being recorded as Clackamas County record number 2004-103477 as amended by Property Line Adjustment Oregon City Planning File 12-01 and shown Survey Number SN2012-080, with said survey recorded as Clackamas County record 2012-058983. The full legal description of the entire Tract 2 is described in Attachment A.

No monetary consideration for this conveyance was all or part of the consideration.

BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON TRANSFERRING FEE TITLE SHOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THE PERSON'S RIGHTS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009. THIS INSTRUMENT DOES NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE LAND USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE CITY OR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY THAT THE UNIT OF LAND BEING TRANSFERRED IS A LAWFULLY ESTABLISHED LOT OR PARCEL, AS DEFINED IN ORS 92.010 OR 215.010, TO VERIFY THE APPROVED USES OF THE LOT OR PARCEL, TO DETERMINE ANY LIMITS ON LAWSUITS AGAINST FARMING OR FOREST PRACTICES, AS DEFINED IN ORS 30.930, AND TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009

Dated: January 30, 2013.

David H. Wheeler Jr., Grantor

STATE OF OREGON, County of Clackamas) ss.

This instrument was signed or acknowledged before me on January 30

, 2013.

Bv:

OFFICIAL SEAL
JAYLIN D PALACIO
NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON
COMMISSION NO. 458146
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 02, 2015

Notary Public for State of Oregon

My commission expires:

2015

Delivered to and accepted by:

David H. Wheeler Sr., Trustee, the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust

ENGINEERING PLANNING FORESTRY

13910 S.W. Galbreath Dr., Suite 100 Sherwood, Oregon 97140 Phone: (503) 925-8799

Fax: (503) 925-8969

AKS JOB No. 2142



LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SURVEYING

AKS Group of Companies: SHERWOOD, OREGON SALEM, OREGON VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON www.aks-eng.com

EXHIBIT A

Tract 2 City of Oregon City Planning File No. LL 12-01

A tract of land located in the Southwest One-Quarter of Section 7, Township 3 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, Oregon, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a 5/8 inch iron rod with a red plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." at the southerly corner of Lot 75 of the plat "Hazel Creek Farms"; thence along the southwest line of said Lot 75, North 46°03'51" West 38.92 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR."; thence along the northwest line of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler Jr. and Carol A. Wheeler in Document Number 2004-103478 and clarified in Boundary Line Agreement Document Number 2012-039420, Clackamas County Deed Records, South 45°09'43" West 483.16 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." and the Point of Beginning; thence South 44°01'49" West 182.39 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of that tract of land conveyed to The Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership, an Oregon Limited Partnership in Document Number 2007-043768, Clackamas County Deed Records; thence along said northeasterly line and the northeasterly line, North 46°02'08" West 108.30 feet to a 6 inch by 6 inch stone with a "X" at the northerly corner thereof, thence along the northeasterly line of that tract of land conveyed to Edwin M. Tolstrup and Reitha M. Tolstrup in Document Number 2001-067656, Clackamas County Deed Records, North 46°03'35" West 400.00 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." at the southerly corner of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust per Document Number 2002-068860, Clackamas County Deed Records; thence along the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust tract, North 44°04'18" East 182.30 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR."; thence South 46°03'35" East 511.77 feet to the Point of Beginning.

The above described tract of land contains 2.13 acres, more or less.

REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL
LAND SURVEYOR

OREGON
JANUARY 11, 2005
ROBERT D. RETTIG

06-25-12

60124LS RENEWS: 12/31/12



Property Profile Report

Address Not Available

Ownership Information

Owner Name: Please see attached vesting deed for current ownership.

Mailing Address: 19566 CENTRAL POINT RD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Property Description

County: Clackamas Map / Tax Lot: 32E07C/01180

Account Num: 00862837 Owner Occ.: No

Land Use: 680- Census:

Map Grid: 717-B5

Subdivision:

Legal Description: Township 3S Range 2E Section 07 Quarter C TAX LOT 01180 SEE SPLIT CODE ACCT 01100

Property Characteristics

Property Type: OTHER Building SF: Pool: No

House Style: Living Area SF: Deck SF: Year Built: Square Feet: Deck Desc: Bedrooms: 1st Floor SF: Patio SF: Bathrooms: 2nd Floor SF: Patio Desc: Heat: 3rd Floor SF: Foundation: Cooling: Attic SF: Exterior: Lot Size: 140,812 Bsmnt SF: Ext. Finish: Acres: 2.63 Fin Bsmt SF: Interior: Garage Type: Garage SF: Roof Style: Fireplaces: **Bsmnt Type:** Roof Cover:

Assessment Information

 Real Market Value:
 \$ 236,355
 Land Value:
 \$ 236,355
 Imp. Value:
 \$ 0


 Total Assessed Value:
 \$ 1,914
 Levy Code:
 062002
 M-5 Rate:
 .0182

Taxes: \$ 34.78 Tax Year: 15-16

Previous Sale Information

Sale Amount: Sale Date: Document Num:

Transacti	Transaction History						
		HPI	Document	Reception			
Sale Dat	e Sale Amount	Sale Amount	Туре	Num	Book/Page		
5/21/200	7 \$ 0		M	2007-043769	/		
11/9/200	4 \$ 1		M	2004-103476	/		



STATUTORY QUIT CLAIM DEED

David H. Wheeler Jr.

19588 S Central Point Rd.

Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTOR

TO

David H. Wheeler Sr. as Trustee

David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust

19566 S Central Point Rd.

Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTEE

After recording, return to:

Jaylin Palacio Tyler Smith & Associates P.C. 181 N Grant St. STE 212 Canby, OR 97013

AdaMS

Clackamas County Official Records Sherry Hall, County Clerk

2013-007511



\$58.00

02/01/2013 10:15:48 AM

Cnt=1 Stn=1 JANISKEL \$15.00 \$10.00 \$16.00 \$17.00

Until a change is requested, all tax statements shall be sent to:

The David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

STATUTORY QUITCLAIM DEED

David H. Wheeler Jr., GRANTOR, hereby releases and quitclaim to the David H. Wheeler Sr. as Trustee of the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust, as **GRANTEE**, all right, title, and interest in and to the Property described as:

All real property, if any, BETWEEN: the northwestern boundary of the lot conveyed to David H Wheeler Jr. and Carol Wheeler, with the established legal description in the recorded Document Number 2004-103478, and furthermore with a legal description of said Boundary Line being described in the Boundary Line Agreement recorded in the real property records of Clackamas County as document number 2012-039420;

AND

the southeastern boundary line of the adjacent lot to the northwest, with said adjacent lot (also known as Tract 2) being recorded as Clackamas County record number 2004-103477 as amended by Property Line Adjustment Oregon City Planning File 12-01 and shown Survey Number SN2012-080, with said survey recorded as Clackamas County record 2012-058983. The full legal description of the entire Tract 2 is described in Attachment A.

No monetary consideration for this conveyance was all or part of the consideration.

BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON TRANSFERRING FEE TITLE SHOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THE PERSON'S RIGHTS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009. THIS INSTRUMENT DOES NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE LAND USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE CITY OR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY THAT THE UNIT OF LAND BEING TRANSFERRED IS A LAWFULLY ESTABLISHED LOT OR PARCEL, AS DEFINED IN ORS 92.010 OR 215.010, TO VERIFY THE APPROVED USES OF THE LOT OR PARCEL, TO DETERMINE ANY LIMITS ON LAWSUITS AGAINST FARMING OR FOREST PRACTICES, AS DEFINED IN ORS 30.930, AND TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009

Dated: January 30, 2013.

David H. Wheeler Jr., Grantor

STATE OF OREGON, County of Clackamas) ss.

This instrument was signed or acknowledged before me on January 30

, 2013.

Bv:

OFFICIAL SEAL
JAYLIN D PALACIO
NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON
COMMISSION NO. 458146
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 02, 2015

Notary Public for State of Oregon

My commission expires:

2015

Delivered to and accepted by:

David H. Wheeler Sr., Trustee, the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust

ENGINEERING PLANNING FORESTRY

13910 S.W. Galbreath Dr., Suite 100 Sherwood, Oregon 97140 Phone: (503) 925-8799

Fax: (503) 925-8969

AKS JOB No. 2142



LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE SURVEYING

AKS Group of Companies: SHERWOOD, OREGON SALEM, OREGON VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON www.aks-eng.com

EXHIBIT A

Tract 2 City of Oregon City Planning File No. LL 12-01

A tract of land located in the Southwest One-Quarter of Section 7, Township 3 South, Range 2 East, Willamette Meridian, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, Oregon, being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a 5/8 inch iron rod with a red plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." at the southerly corner of Lot 75 of the plat "Hazel Creek Farms"; thence along the southwest line of said Lot 75, North 46°03'51" West 38.92 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR."; thence along the northwest line of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler Jr. and Carol A. Wheeler in Document Number 2004-103478 and clarified in Boundary Line Agreement Document Number 2012-039420, Clackamas County Deed Records, South 45°09'43" West 483.16 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." and the Point of Beginning; thence South 44°01'49" West 182.39 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of that tract of land conveyed to The Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership, an Oregon Limited Partnership in Document Number 2007-043768, Clackamas County Deed Records; thence along said northeasterly line and the northeasterly line, North 46°02'08" West 108.30 feet to a 6 inch by 6 inch stone with a "X" at the northerly corner thereof, thence along the northeasterly line of that tract of land conveyed to Edwin M. Tolstrup and Reitha M. Tolstrup in Document Number 2001-067656, Clackamas County Deed Records, North 46°03'35" West 400.00 feet to a 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR." at the southerly corner of that tract of land conveyed to David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust per Document Number 2002-068860, Clackamas County Deed Records; thence along the David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust tract, North 44°04'18" East 182.30 feet to a set 5/8 inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap inscribed "AKS ENGR."; thence South 46°03'35" East 511.77 feet to the Point of Beginning.

The above described tract of land contains 2.13 acres, more or less.

REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL
LAND SURVEYOR

OREGON
JANUARY 11, 2005
ROBERT D. RETTIG

06-25-12

60124LS RENEWS: 12/31/12

) ^{MA}	RADGAIN	AND SALE DEED -STA	ATUTORY FORM	[2]			
	DAKOAM	INDIVIDUAL GRANTOR		्र			
David H, W			. husband and wi	fe			
conveys to Dona			heeler, husband	and wife			
```	Gentee	the following seal pro-	perty situated in .Clack	amas			
County, Oregon, to-		, the following real proj	perty andured in ususammin	774			
Northerly co Rotter as do County, Ored 42º16'28" We Theuce South	orner of a tract escribed in Vol. gon; Thence Sout est 25.00 Feet; a 42015' West 7.	of land conve 133 Pg. 629 i h 47°36'06" Ea Thence North 4	'East 57.36 Fee yed to Frank Rote n the deed record st 443.46 Feet; '7036'06" West 41' e North 47030' We e point of begin	ter and Anna ds for Clackama Thence South 7.45 Feet;			
	IIF SPACE INSUFI	ICIENT, CONTINUE DESCRIPTI	ON ON REVERSE SIDES				
	on for this conveyance is	s ** O (He	ere comply with the require				
		187 157. 777					
	** Lot Line Adjustment						
THIS INSTRUMENT WILL NOT INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THE TO THE PROPERTY SHOUPLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VALUE OF LAWSUITS AGAINS	duy of	CRIBED IN THIS DAVID REGULATIONS ACQUIRING FEE WIRL ETERMINE ANY	T. Wheeler	relet			
ORS 30.930.			ez (				
			fore me on	19 19.94,			
SUSJ NOTARY COMMI	FICIAL SEAL NR. SEAWARD PUBLIC-OREGON ISSION HO. 015780 KPIRES MAY 31. 1996	Dus	an l' Fea	tary Public for Oregon			
		<del></del>					
	fred L. Wheeler						
Taxable grammer turn annual consultance to a consultance of the consul	anne G. Wheefer	555		134			
	GRANTEE	Sound	20	~ 3 mg			
After recording return for	eil erbangs	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Ö	773 \$ 8			
A. Gregory 1600 Willam	ette Falls Driv	Clerk. for fy that the cording in	2 2				
West Linn,	OR 97068	DN kamas n. County neby cert	# C				
Until a change is requested, shall be sent to the following Donald W. Whe Roxanne O. Wh	address: eler	STATE OF OREGON Gounty of Caccamas J. John Kaufman. County C. Caccamas, do hereby certify wanting was received for reco	76 ×	Witness by partial Management of the Control of Carifical Control of Carifical Office of the Control of Carifical Office of the Carifical Office of th			
19898 S. Whit Oregon City,	e Lane OR 97045	STA STA Clac		₹ V 28			

94 34241



#### Property Profile Report

#### Address Not Available

## Ownership Information

Owner Name: Please see attached vesting deed for current ownership.

Mailing Address: 19566 CENTRAL POINT RD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

## **Property Description**

County: Clackamas Map / Tax Lot: 31E12D/01700

Account Num: 00763276 Owner Occ.: No

Land Use: 540- Census:

Map Grid: 717-B5

Subdivision:

Legal Description: Section 12 Township 3S Range 1E Quarter D TAX LOT 01700 SEE SPLIT CODE ACCT 01790

#### **Property Characteristics**

Property Type: AGRICULTURAL Building SF: Pool: No

House Style: Living Area SF: Deck SF: Year Built: Square Feet: Deck Desc: Bedrooms: 1st Floor SF: Patio SF: Bathrooms: 2nd Floor SF: Patio Desc: Heat: 3rd Floor SF: Foundation: Cooling: Attic SF: Exterior: Lot Size: 190,697 Bsmnt SF: Ext. Finish: Acres: 4.62 Fin Bsmt SF: Interior: Garage Type: Garage SF: Roof Style: Fireplaces: Bsmnt Type: Roof Cover:

## Assessment Information

 Real Market Value:
 \$ 270,495
 Land Value:
 \$ 270,495
 Imp. Value:
 \$ 0


 Total Assessed Value:
 \$ 3,738
 Levy Code:
 062064
 M-5 Rate:
 .0182

Taxes: \$ 67.91 Tax Year: 15-16

#### Previous Sale Information

Sale Amount: Sale Date: Document Num:

Transaction History									
		HPI	Document	Reception					
Sale Date	Sale Amount	Sale Amount	Туре	Num	Book/Page				
10/8/2013	\$ 0		S	2013-070116	/				
7/1/1993	\$ 0			1993-047696	/				



# **BARGAIN AND SALE DEED**

Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

**GRANTORS** 

TO

Wheeler Family, Enterprises LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

GRANTEE

After recording, return to:

Tyler Smith
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
181 N Grant St. STE 212

Canby, OR 97013

Clackamas County Official Records Sherry Hall, County Clerk

2013-070116



\$58.00

10/08/2013 01:29:11 PM

D-D Cnt=1 Stn=9 COUNTER1 \$15.00 \$10.00 \$16.00 \$17.00

Until a change is requested, all tax statements shall be sent to:

Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

#### STATUTORY BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership, **GRANTOR**, herby conveys to Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC, as **GRANTEE**, the following described real property:

See attachment Exhibit A, (lot to be conveyed commonly known as Tax Lot 1700, T3S R1E Section 12D).

No monetary consideration for this conveyance was all or part of the consideration.

BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON TRANSFERRING FEE TITLE SHOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THE PERSON'S RIGHTS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009. THIS INSTRUMENT DOES NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE LAND USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE CITY OR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY THAT THE

UNIT OF LAND BEING TRANSFERRED IS A LAWFULLY ESTABLISHED LOT OR PARCEL, AS DEFINED IN ORS 92.010 OR 215.010, TO VERIFY THE APPROVED USES OF THE LOT OR PARCEL, TO DETERMINE ANY LIMITS ON LAWSUITS AGAINST FARMING OR FOREST PRACTICES, AS DEFINED IN ORS 30.930, AND TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009

Dated: October 2013.

David H. Wheeler Sr., General Partner Wheeler Family

**Investments Limited Partnership** 

STATE OF OREGON,)

County of Clackamas ) ss.

This instrument was signed or acknowledged by Wheeler, before me on October 8, 2013.

Or A

OFFICIAL SEAL
SHERRY B SMITH
NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON
COMMISSION NO. 469538
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 14, 2016

Notary Public for State of Oregon

My commission expires: July 14, 2016

Delivered to and accepted by:

David H. Wheeler Sr., Member Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC

## **EXHIBIT A**

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of that tract of land described in Recorders Fee 93-47696, Clackamas County Deed Records, located in the S.E. 1/4 of Section 12, T.3S., R.1E., and the S.W. 1/4 of Section 7, T.3S., R.2E., W.M., Clackamas County, Oregon, said point being S42°41′31″W 65.05 feet and S47°45′11″E 677.68 feet from a 5/8 inch iron rod marking the most northerly curve point of Lot 1, "Filbert Orchard"; thence, leaving said northeasterly line, S42° 15′00″W 329.95 feet to the southwesterly line of said tract; thence, on the southwesterly line S47°45′48″E 610.41 feet to the most southerly corner of said track; thence, on the southeasterly line of said tract, N42°15′00″E 329.85 feet to the most easterly corner of said tract; thence, on the northeasterly line of said tract N47°45′11″W 610.41 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

The tract known as tax lot 1700 conveyed herein contains 4.62 acres, more or less.

Subject to any easements of record.



#### Property Profile Report

## Address Not Available

#### Ownership Information

Owner Name: Please see attached vesting deed for current ownership.

Mailing Address: 19566 CENTRAL POINT RD OREGON CITY, OR 97045

#### **Property Description**

County: Clackamas Map / Tax Lot: 31E12D/01790

Account Num: 01655729 Owner Occ.: No

Land Use: 640- Census:

Map Grid: 717-A6

Subdivision:

Legal Description: Section 12 Township 3S Range 1E Quarter D TAX LOT 01790 SEE SPLIT CODE ACCT 01700

#### **Property Characteristics**

Property Type: VACANT LAND Building SF: Pool: No

House Style: Living Area SF: Deck SF: Year Built: Square Feet: Deck Desc: Bedrooms: 1st Floor SF: Patio SF: Bathrooms: 2nd Floor SF: Patio Desc: Heat: 3rd Floor SF: Foundation: Cooling: Attic SF: Exterior: Lot Size: 346,764 Bsmnt SF: Ext. Finish: Acres: 8.48 Fin Bsmt SF: Interior: Garage Type: Garage SF: Roof Style: Fireplaces: Bsmnt Type: Roof Cover:

## Assessment Information

 Real Market Value:
 \$ 376,049
 Land Value:
 \$ 376,049
 Imp. Value:
 \$ 0


 Total Assessed Value:
 \$ 2,985
 Levy Code:
 062002
 M-5 Rate:
 .0182

Taxes: \$54.23 Tax Year: 15-16

#### Previous Sale Information

Sale Amount: Sale Date: Document Num:

Transaction I	History				
		HPI	Document	Reception	
Sale Date	Sale Amount	Sale Amount	Туре	Num	Book/Page
10/8/2013	\$ 0		S	2013-070117	/



# **BARGAIN AND SALE DEED**

Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

**GRANTORS** 

TO

Wheeler Family, Enterprises LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

**GRANTEE** 

After recording, return to:

Tyler Smith
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
181 N Grant St. STE 212
Canby, OR 97013

Clackamas County Official Records Sherry Hall, County Clerk

\$58.00



10/08/2013 01:29:11 PM

2013-070117

D-D Cnt=1 Stn=9 COUNTER1 \$15.00 \$10.00 \$16.00 \$17.00

Until a change is requested, all tax statements shall be sent to:

Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC 19566 S Central Point Rd. Oregon City, OR 97045

#### STATUTORY BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

Wheeler Family Investment Limited Partnership, **GRANTOR**, herby conveys to Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC, as **GRANTEE**, the following described real property:

See attachment Exhibit A, (lot to be conveyed commonly known as Tax Lot 1790, T3S R1E Section 12D).

No monetary consideration for this conveyance was all or part of the consideration.

BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON TRANSFERRING FEE TITLE SHOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THE PERSON'S RIGHTS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195.300, 195.301 AND 195.305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009. THIS INSTRUMENT DOES NOT ALLOW USE OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS INSTRUMENT IN VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE LAND USE LAWS AND REGULATIONS. BEFORE SIGNING OR ACCEPTING THIS INSTRUMENT, THE PERSON ACQUIRING FEE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY SHOULD CHECK WITH THE APPROPRIATE CITY OR COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TO VERIFY THAT THE

UNIT OF LAND BEING TRANSFERRED IS A LAWFULLY ESTABLISHED LOT OR PARCEL, AS DEFINED IN ORS 92.010 OR 215.010, TO VERIFY THE APPROVED USES OF THE LOT OR PARCEL, TO DETERMINE ANY LIMITS ON LAWSUITS AGAINST FARMING OR FOREST PRACTICES, AS DEFINED IN ORS 30.930, AND TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, IF ANY, UNDER ORS 195,300, 195,301 AND 195,305 TO 195.336 AND SECTIONS 5 TO 11, CHAPTER 424, OREGON LAWS 2007, AND SECTIONS 2 TO 9 AND 17, CHAPTER 855, OREGON LAWS 2009

Dated: October _ \$\int \, 2013.

David H. Wheeler Sr., General Partner Wheeler Family

Investments Limited Partnership

STATE OF OREGON,)

County of Clackamas ) ss.

This instrument was signed or acknowledged by **David Wheeler**, before me on **Chober 8**, 2013.

OFFICIAL SEAL SHERRY B SMITH NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON COMMISSION NO. 469538 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 14, 2016

Notary Public for State of Oregon
My commission expires: July 14, 20/6

Delivered to and accepted by:

heeler Sr., Member, Wheeler Enterprises LLC

## **EXHIBIT A**

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of that tract of land described in Recorders Fee 93-47696, Clackamas County Deed Records, located in the S.E. 1/4 of Section 12, T.3S., R.1E., and the S.W. 1/4 of Section 7, T.3S., R.2E., W.M., Clackamas County, Oregon, said point being S42 41'31"W 65.05 feet and S47 45'11" E 677.68 feet from a 5/8 inch rod marking the most northerly curve point of Lot 1, "Filbert Orchard"; thence, leaving said northeasterly line, S42 150' 0"W 329.95 feet to the southwesterly line of said tract; thence, on said southwesterly line S47°45' 48"E 610.41 feet to the most southerly corner of said tract, said corner being on the northwesterly line of that tract of land described in Recorders Fee No. 83-11698, Clackamas County deed Records; thence, on said northwesterly line S42°15' 00" W 764.79 feet to the most westerly corner of said tract; thence, on the southwesterly line of said tract S47°36' 06"E 443.45 feet; thence N42° 16' 28"E 580.62 feet; thence, N00°57'22"E 672.35 feet to the northwesterly line of said tract (Fee 83-11698); thence, on said northwesterly line N42°15'00"E 10.00 feet to the most easterly corner of said tract (Fee No. 93-47696); thence, on the northeasterly line of said tract N47°45'11"W 610.41 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

(Above description includes both tax lot 1700 and 1790 as recorded and described in the boundary line agreement recorded in Clackamas County Records document number 2003-085041, Exhibit C).

EXCLUDING that portion of above description known as tax lot 1700 which is being conveyed by separate document, with full description of excluded portion being:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of that tract of land described in Recorders Fee 93-47696, Clackamas County Deed Records, located in the S.E. 1/4 of Section 12, T.3S., R.1E., and the S.W. 1/4 of Section 7, T.3S., R.2E., W.M., Clackamas County, Oregon, said point being S42°41'31"W 65.05 feet and S47°45'11"E 677.68 feet from a 5/8 inch iron rod marking the most northerly curve point of Lot 1, "Filbert Orchard"; thence, leaving said northeasterly line, S42° 15'00"W 329.95 feet to the southwesterly line of said tract; thence, on the southwesterly line S47°45'48"E 610.41 feet to the most southerly corner of said track; thence, on the southeasterly line of said tract, N42°15'00"E 329.85 feet to the most easterly corner of said tract; thence, on the northeasterly line of said tract N47°45'45'11"W 610.41 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. (The tract known as tax lot 1700 contains 4.62 acres, more or less).

With the parcel being conveyed under this document consisting of 8.48 acres more or less.

Subject to any easements of record.



# Exhibit D: Type I Natural Resource Overlay District Verification (NR 17-03)



# **Community Development - Planning**

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

#### TYPE I NATURAL RESOURCE OVERLAY DISTRICT VERIFICATION

May 19, 2017

FILE NUMBER: NR 17-03: Type I Natural Resource Overlay District Verification

**APPLICANT:** Rian Park Development, Inc.

P.O. Box 2559

Oregon City, OR 97045

OWNERS: 32E07C Tax Lot 1001 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

32E07C Tax Lots 1100, 1180 (David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust) 32E07C Tax Lot 1291 (Donald W. & Roxanne O. Wheeler) 31E12D Tax Lots 1700, 1790 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

**CONSULTANT:** AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC

12965 SW Herman Road, Suite 100

Tualatin, OR 97062

**REQUEST:** The applicant submitted a request for a Type I Natural Resource Overlay

District Verification with a professionally prepared assessment to demonstrate

that the subject site is not within the Natural Resource Overlay District.

LOCATION: NO SITUS ADDRESS: Clackamas County Tax Map: 32E07C,

Tax Lots: 1001, 1180, & 1291 / 31E12D, Tax Lots: 1700 & 1790;

and 19566 Central Point Rd, Oregon City, OR 97045, Clackamas County Tax Map: 32E07C, Tax Lot 1100

**ZONING:** "R-10", Single Family Residential District

**DECISION:** Approval

**REVIEWER:** Pete Walter, AICP, Planner

**CRITERIA:** OCMC Chapter 17.49 – Natural Resource Overlay District

OCMC Chapter 17.50 – Administration and Procedures


Type I decisions do not require interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment in evaluating approval criteria and include lot line adjustments, zone changes upon annexation as provided in Section 17.06.050 for which there is no discretion provided, final plats, and final planned unit development plans where there are no material deviations from the approved preliminary plans. Because no discretion is involved, Type I decisions do not qualify as a land use, or limited land use, decision. The decision-making process requires no notice to any party other than the applicant. One representative from each of the city-recognized neighborhood associations, who has been identified by the neighborhood coordinator, will be distributed a monthly compilation of all Type I activities. The Community Development Director's decision is final and not appealable by any party through the normal city land use process. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS APPLICATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE PLANNING DIVISION OFFICE AT (503) 722.3789.


#### I. BACKGROUND

The subject properties are located southeast of Central Point Road on the southern boundary of the City. The area was historically pastureland, Christmas trees and orchard land that was brought into the Metro Urban Growth Boundary in 2001 or earlier in 1979. The lands in question were annexed to Oregon City in 2006 (File AN 16-02) and have a zoning designation of R-10 Single Family Residential. There has been a lot of recent subdivision and home construction in the area over the last 10 years abutting the site to the northwest, and it is anticipated that this land will be used in the same manner.

As shown in Figure 1, the subject site is partially located within the mapped Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD), and is thus subject to review by the City of Oregon City to ensure adequate protection of nearby water features and associated vegetated corridors.

The Oregon City Municipal Code protects degradation of water features enforcing a vegetated corridor consisting of native plantings adjacent to the identified feature (e.g. stream or wetland) to improve water quality and functions. The applicant has requested an exemption from the Natural Resource Overlay District. Approval of this verification application would exempt the property from further NROD review pursuant with Chapter 17.49 of the Oregon City Municipal Code.








Figure 2: Existing Conditions Map from Applicant's Natural Resources Assessment

#### II. ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

#### **CHAPTER 17.49 NATURAL RESOURCE OVERLAY DISTRICT**

17.49.250 Verification of NROD Boundary

The NROD boundary may have to be verified occasionally to determine the true location of a resource and its functional values on a site. This may through a site specific environmental survey or, in those cases where existing information demonstrates that the NROD significance rating does not apply to a site-specific area. Applications for development on a site located in the NROD area may request a determination that the subject site is not in an NROD area and therefore is not subject to the standards of Section 17.49.100. Verifications shall be processed as either a Type I or Type II process.

**Finding: Applicable.** The City of Oregon City's maps show a perennial stream that originates off-site to the west and flows into the center of the subject site. A Natural Resource Assessment dated April 3, 2017 has been prepared concluding that no potentially jurisdictional Title 3 wetlands or waters, or associated vegetated corridors were documented on-site and that the stream identified in the City's mapping is not present on the subject property. No development is associated with this application and it has been determined that a Type I NROD Verification application can accomplish the requested concurrence that the subject property is not in an NROD area.

17.49.255 - Type I verification.

A. Applicants for a determination under this section shall submit a site plan meeting the requirements of Section 17.49.220, as applicable.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The applicant submitted a Natural Resource Assessment prepared by Lindsey Obermiller, Natural Resource Specialist, including site plans in accordance with Section 17.49.220, as applicable. The Natural Resource Assessment included in the application materials includes site plans and conclusions that no evidence of the characteristics found in criteria B.1. – B.6. exist on the subject site.

- B. Alternatively, an applicant may request a Type I Verification determination by the community development director by making an application therefore and paying to the city a fee as set by resolution of the city commission. Such requests may be approved provided that there is evidence substantiating that all the requirements of this chapter relative to the proposed use are satisfied and demonstrates that the property also satisfies the following criteria, as applicable:
- 1. No soil, vegetation, hydrologic features have been disturbed;
- 2. No hydrologic features have been changed;

**Finding: Complies as proposed.** The applicant has not requested that the Community Development Director make this determination. The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant provides the necessary evidence that the criteria for exemption are met.

3 There are no man-made drainage features, water marks, swash lines, drift lines present on trees or shrubs, sediment deposits on plants, or any other evidence of sustained inundation.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant identify that the site did not have any observable water marks, swash lines, drift lines on trees or shrubs, sediment deposits on plants, or any other evidence of sustained inundation in the vicinity of the steel building onsite.

4. The property does not contain a wetland as identified by the city's local wetland inventory or water quality and flood management areas map.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The City's local wetland inventory and NROD map do not identify a wetland at the property. The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Delineation Data Forms submitted by the applicant also conclude that the site and adjacent locations do not possess any jurisdictional Title 3 wetlands or waters, or associated vegetated corridors.

5. There is no evidence of a perennial or intermittent stream system or other protected water feature. This does not include established irrigation ditches currently under active farm use, canals or manmade storm or surface water runoff structures or artificial water collection devices.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant identify that the site did not contain evidence of perennial or intermittent stream or other protected water features.

6. Evidence of prior land use approvals that conform to the City's existing Water Quality Resource Area Overlay District.

There is an existing physical barrier between the site and a protected water feature, including:

a. Streets, driveways, alleys, parking lots or other approved impervious areas wider than fifteen feet and which includes drainage improvements that are connected to the city storm sewer system, as approved by the city. b. Walls, buildings, drainages, culverts or other structures and which form a physical barrier between the site and the protected water features, as approved by the city.

**Finding: Complies as proposed.** The Highland Park subdivision uphill and abutting the property received land use approval which included a prior NROD exemption regarding the subject mapped resource (Planning File TP 15-01, NR 14-08).

C. If a the city is not able to clearly determine, through the Type I verification process that the applicable criteria subsection B.1.—B.6. above are met the verification application shall be denied. An applicant may then opt to apply for a verification through the Type II process defined below.

**Finding: Not Applicable.** The applicant's submittal adequately demonstrates that a protected feature and associated vegetated buffer are not present onsite, and that the criteria in subsections B.1-B.6 are met. A Type II verification is not required.

17.49.260. Type II Verification

Finding: Not Applicable. The application does not include a Type II Verification request.

#### 17.49.265 - Corrections to violations.

qualified environmental professional;

For correcting violations, the violator shall submit a remediation plan that meets all of the applicable standards of the NROD. The remediation plan shall be prepared by one or more qualified professionals with experience and credentials in natural resource areas, including wildlife biology, ecology, hydrology and forestry. If one or more of these standards cannot be met then the applicant's remediation plan shall demonstrate that there will be:

A. No permanent loss of any type of resource or functional value listed in Section 17.49.10, as determined by a

- B. A significant improvement of at least one functional value listed in section 17.49.10, as determined by a qualified environmental professional; and
- C. There will be minimal loss of resources and functional values during the remediation action until it is fully established.

**Finding: Not Applicable.** No violations have been reported. Therefore, a remediation plan for the violation is not required.

#### **CHAPTER 17.50 - ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURES**

17.50.030 Summary of the City's Decision-Making Processes.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resource Overlay District verification application is being reviewed pursuant to the Type I process.

#### III. CONCLUSION AND DECISION

Based on the analysis and findings presented in this report, and the substantial evidence in the application materials, the properties identified are exempt from further review under Chapter 17.49 of the Oregon City Municipal Code. Though the site is exempt from further NROD review, portions of the property also fall within the Geologic Hazards Overlay District and development is subject to compliance with OCMC Chapter 17.44, *Geologic Hazards* at the time of land division application.

#### **EXHIBITS**

- 1. Vicinity Map (On File)
- 2. Map of the Site and Natural Resources Overlay District (On File)
- 2. Applicant's Submittal (On File)

12965 SW HERMAN Rd., SUITE 100 . TUALATIN, OR 97062

## **Natural Resource Assessment**

**DATE:** April 3, 2017

**TO:** Oregon City Planning Department, Oregon

FROM: Kayla Katkin, Natural Resource Specialist – AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC

**SUBJECT:** Type 1 Verification - Natural Resource Assessment

**PROJECT:** Wheeler Farms

#### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Rian Park Development contracted AKS Engineering and Forestry, LLC (AKS) to conduct a map verification at 19566 South Central Point Road in Oregon City, Clackamas County, Oregon (Tax Lots 1001, 1291, 1180, and 1100 of Tax Map 3 2E 7C and Tax Lots 1700 and 1790 of Tax Map 3 1E 12D) as seen on the attached Figures 1 and 2A and 2B. The Oregon City Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD) Map shows a perennial stream that originates off site to the west and flows through the project area (Figure 5). Our site visit determined this resource is not present on the site or immediately off-site to the west.

This memo has been prepared to meet the Oregon City Code of Ordinances application requirements listed under Chapter 17.49.250 Type 1 Verification, to request a determination that the project area does not include an NROD area and is therefore not subject to the NROD standards.

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The methodology used to determine the presence of wetlands followed the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) *Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual*: *Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0)* (Corps 2010), used by both the Corps and the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL). Kayla Katkin and Lindsey Obermiller documented the site conditions on March 15, 2017. They recorded soils, vegetation, and indicators of hydrology at two sample plot locations to document representative site conditions.

A single-family home, detached shop, and outbuildings are on Tax Lot 1100 on Tax Map 3 2E 7C. Tax Lots 1700 and half of Tax Lot 1001 are used as a filbert orchard. Tax Lot 1790 is primarily used as a Christmas tree farm, and Tax Lot 1291 contains a driveway. The southern portion of Tax Lot 1180 is dominated by big-leaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), red alder (*Alnus rubra*), beaked hazelnut (*Corylus cornuta*), and pineland sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), and slopes steeply (>25%) to the south toward an off-site drainage. Topography on remaining portions of the site have a gentle (less than 3 percent) southeasterly slope.

According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Clackamas County, Oregon Area soil survey map and the Clackamas County hydric soils list, non-hydric Bornstedt silt loam with 0 to 8% slopes (Unit 8B) is mapped in the northern portion of the site and non-hydric soils Jory stony silt loam with 3-8% slopes (Unit 46B) and Xerochrepts and Haploxerolls, very steep slopes (Unit 92F) are mapped in the southern portion of

the site (Figure 3). There are no wetlands or waters mapped on the site according to the DSL approved 1999 Oregon City Local Wetland Inventory (LWI) map (Figure 4A and 4B).

Plots 1 and 2 document the conditions within the on-site mapped NROD. Plot 1 is at the lowest point within the planted Christmas tree farm in the vicinity of the mapped NROD. Plot 2 is also located in a low topographic area within the mapped NROD and upslope of a small diameter culvert under a gravel driveway along the southern property line of Tax Lot 1180. There was no defined channel upslope or downslope of the culvert. No defined channel was present upstream or downstream of the culvert.

Planted Douglas-fir dominated the vegetation at both plots. Soils were a dark reddish brown silt loam (with chromas of 3 and 4) and did not meet hydric soil indicators. Both plots lacked wetland hydrology indicators. Therefore, the area was determined to be upland.

No man-made drainage features, water marks, swash lines, drift lines on trees or shrubs, sediment deposits on plants, or any other evidence of sustained inundation was observed on the site. The approximate location of sample Plots 1 and 2 are shown on attached Figure 6, Existing Conditions Map. The wetland determination data sheets and representative site photos are also attached (Attachments A and B).

#### **SUMMARY**

No potentially jurisdictional Title 3 wetlands or waters, or associated vegetated corridors were documented within the project area. Therefore, we request that Oregon City update the online geographic information system (GIS) mapping to reflect current site conditions and the absence of any on-site streams or buffers.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions concerning the proposed project.

Kayla Katkin

K. Katkin

Natural Resource Specialist

Field work and report preparation

Stacey Reed, PWS

Stacey Reed

Senior Wetland Scientist

Report review

**List of Attached Figures** 

Figure 1. Vicinity Map

Figure 2. Tax Lot Map

Figure 3. Soils Map


Figure 4. Oregon City Local Wetland Inventory Map


Figure 5. Oregon City GIS NROD Map


Figure 6. Existing Conditions Map

**List of Attachments** 

**Attachment A:** Wetland Determination Data Sheets **Attachment B:** Representative Site Photographs









MAP UNIT SYMBOL	MAP UNIT NAME
8B	BORNSTEDT SILT LOAM, 0% - 8% SLOPES; NON-HYDRIC
46B	JORY STONY SILT LOAM, 3% - 8% SLOPES; NON-HYDRIC
92F	XEROCHREPTS AND HAPLOXEROLLS, VERY STEEP; NON-HYDRIC

NRCS WEB SOIL SURVEY FOR CLACKAMAS COUNTY

100 150 200 250 PHONE: 503.563.6151

DATE: 03/20/2017

FIGURE

3

DRWN: KMK

CHKD: SAR

AKS JOB: 5621

SOIL SURVEY MAP
WHEELER FARMS NATURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT


AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC 12965 SW HERMAN RD SUIT TUALATIN, OR 97062 www.aks-en


SUITE 100 www.aks-eng.com FAX: 503.563.6152


DWG: 5621 REPORT FIGURES | FIGURE 3


250

SCALE: 1"= 250 FEET










PLOT LOCATIONS SHOWN WERE DETERMINED BY AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC ON 3/15/2017. PLOT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE BASED ON FIELD OBSERVATIONS.



DATE: 03/20/2017

FIGURE

6

AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC 12965 SW HERMAN RD SU TUALATIN, OR 97062 www.aks-e

PHONE: 503.563.6151

www.aks-eng.com FAX: 503.563.6152

SUITE 100

<u> AKS</u>

DRWN: KMK
CHKD: SAR
AKS JOB:
5621

SCALE: 1"= 250 FEET

100 150 200 250

250



# **Community Development - Planning**

221 Molalla Ave. Suite 200 | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 722-3789 | Fax (503) 722-3880

#### TYPE I NATURAL RESOURCE OVERLAY DISTRICT VERIFICATION

May 19, 2017

FILE NUMBER: NR 17-03: Type I Natural Resource Overlay District Verification

**APPLICANT:** Rian Park Development, Inc.

P.O. Box 2559

Oregon City, OR 97045

OWNERS: 32E07C Tax Lot 1001 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

32E07C Tax Lots 1100, 1180 (David H. Wheeler Sr. Trust) 32E07C Tax Lot 1291 (Donald W. & Roxanne O. Wheeler) 31E12D Tax Lots 1700, 1790 (Wheeler Family Enterprises, LLC)

**CONSULTANT:** AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC

12965 SW Herman Road, Suite 100

Tualatin, OR 97062

**REQUEST:** The applicant submitted a request for a Type I Natural Resource Overlay

District Verification with a professionally prepared assessment to demonstrate

that the subject site is not within the Natural Resource Overlay District.

LOCATION: NO SITUS ADDRESS: Clackamas County Tax Map: 32E07C,

Tax Lots: 1001, 1180, & 1291 / 31E12D, Tax Lots: 1700 & 1790;

and 19566 Central Point Rd, Oregon City, OR 97045, Clackamas County Tax Map: 32E07C, Tax Lot 1100

**ZONING:** "R-10", Single Family Residential District

**DECISION:** Approval

**REVIEWER:** Pete Walter, AICP, Planner

**CRITERIA:** OCMC Chapter 17.49 – Natural Resource Overlay District

OCMC Chapter 17.50 – Administration and Procedures


Type I decisions do not require interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment in evaluating approval criteria and include lot line adjustments, zone changes upon annexation as provided in Section 17.06.050 for which there is no discretion provided, final plats, and final planned unit development plans where there are no material deviations from the approved preliminary plans. Because no discretion is involved, Type I decisions do not qualify as a land use, or limited land use, decision. The decision-making process requires no notice to any party other than the applicant. One representative from each of the city-recognized neighborhood associations, who has been identified by the neighborhood coordinator, will be distributed a monthly compilation of all Type I activities. The Community Development Director's decision is final and not appealable by any party through the normal city land use process. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS APPLICATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE PLANNING DIVISION OFFICE AT (503) 722.3789.


#### I. BACKGROUND

The subject properties are located southeast of Central Point Road on the southern boundary of the City. The area was historically pastureland, Christmas trees and orchard land that was brought into the Metro Urban Growth Boundary in 2001 or earlier in 1979. The lands in question were annexed to Oregon City in 2006 (File AN 16-02) and have a zoning designation of R-10 Single Family Residential. There has been a lot of recent subdivision and home construction in the area over the last 10 years abutting the site to the northwest, and it is anticipated that this land will be used in the same manner.

As shown in Figure 1, the subject site is partially located within the mapped Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD), and is thus subject to review by the City of Oregon City to ensure adequate protection of nearby water features and associated vegetated corridors.

The Oregon City Municipal Code protects degradation of water features enforcing a vegetated corridor consisting of native plantings adjacent to the identified feature (e.g. stream or wetland) to improve water quality and functions. The applicant has requested an exemption from the Natural Resource Overlay District. Approval of this verification application would exempt the property from further NROD review pursuant with Chapter 17.49 of the Oregon City Municipal Code.








Figure 2: Existing Conditions Map from Applicant's Natural Resources Assessment

#### II. ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

#### **CHAPTER 17.49 NATURAL RESOURCE OVERLAY DISTRICT**

17.49.250 Verification of NROD Boundary

The NROD boundary may have to be verified occasionally to determine the true location of a resource and its functional values on a site. This may through a site specific environmental survey or, in those cases where existing information demonstrates that the NROD significance rating does not apply to a site-specific area. Applications for development on a site located in the NROD area may request a determination that the subject site is not in an NROD area and therefore is not subject to the standards of Section 17.49.100. Verifications shall be processed as either a Type I or Type II process.

**Finding: Applicable.** The City of Oregon City's maps show a perennial stream that originates off-site to the west and flows into the center of the subject site. A Natural Resource Assessment dated April 3, 2017 has been prepared concluding that no potentially jurisdictional Title 3 wetlands or waters, or associated vegetated corridors were documented on-site and that the stream identified in the City's mapping is not present on the subject property. No development is associated with this application and it has been determined that a Type I NROD Verification application can accomplish the requested concurrence that the subject property is not in an NROD area.

17.49.255 - Type I verification.

A. Applicants for a determination under this section shall submit a site plan meeting the requirements of Section 17.49.220, as applicable.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The applicant submitted a Natural Resource Assessment prepared by Lindsey Obermiller, Natural Resource Specialist, including site plans in accordance with Section 17.49.220, as applicable. The Natural Resource Assessment included in the application materials includes site plans and conclusions that no evidence of the characteristics found in criteria B.1. – B.6. exist on the subject site.

- B. Alternatively, an applicant may request a Type I Verification determination by the community development director by making an application therefore and paying to the city a fee as set by resolution of the city commission. Such requests may be approved provided that there is evidence substantiating that all the requirements of this chapter relative to the proposed use are satisfied and demonstrates that the property also satisfies the following criteria, as applicable:
- 1. No soil, vegetation, hydrologic features have been disturbed;
- 2. No hydrologic features have been changed;

**Finding: Complies as proposed.** The applicant has not requested that the Community Development Director make this determination. The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant provides the necessary evidence that the criteria for exemption are met.

3 There are no man-made drainage features, water marks, swash lines, drift lines present on trees or shrubs, sediment deposits on plants, or any other evidence of sustained inundation.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant identify that the site did not have any observable water marks, swash lines, drift lines on trees or shrubs, sediment deposits on plants, or any other evidence of sustained inundation in the vicinity of the steel building onsite.

4. The property does not contain a wetland as identified by the city's local wetland inventory or water quality and flood management areas map.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The City's local wetland inventory and NROD map do not identify a wetland at the property. The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Delineation Data Forms submitted by the applicant also conclude that the site and adjacent locations do not possess any jurisdictional Title 3 wetlands or waters, or associated vegetated corridors.

5. There is no evidence of a perennial or intermittent stream system or other protected water feature. This does not include established irrigation ditches currently under active farm use, canals or manmade storm or surface water runoff structures or artificial water collection devices.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resources Assessment and Wetland Determination Data Forms submitted by the applicant identify that the site did not contain evidence of perennial or intermittent stream or other protected water features.

6. Evidence of prior land use approvals that conform to the City's existing Water Quality Resource Area Overlay District.

There is an existing physical barrier between the site and a protected water feature, including:

a. Streets, driveways, alleys, parking lots or other approved impervious areas wider than fifteen feet and which includes drainage improvements that are connected to the city storm sewer system, as approved by the city. b. Walls, buildings, drainages, culverts or other structures and which form a physical barrier between the site and the protected water features, as approved by the city.

**Finding: Complies as proposed.** The Highland Park subdivision uphill and abutting the property received land use approval which included a prior NROD exemption regarding the subject mapped resource (Planning File TP 15-01, NR 14-08).

C. If a the city is not able to clearly determine, through the Type I verification process that the applicable criteria subsection B.1.—B.6. above are met the verification application shall be denied. An applicant may then opt to apply for a verification through the Type II process defined below.

**Finding: Not Applicable.** The applicant's submittal adequately demonstrates that a protected feature and associated vegetated buffer are not present onsite, and that the criteria in subsections B.1-B.6 are met. A Type II verification is not required.

17.49.260. Type II Verification

Finding: Not Applicable. The application does not include a Type II Verification request.

#### 17.49.265 - Corrections to violations.

qualified environmental professional;

For correcting violations, the violator shall submit a remediation plan that meets all of the applicable standards of the NROD. The remediation plan shall be prepared by one or more qualified professionals with experience and credentials in natural resource areas, including wildlife biology, ecology, hydrology and forestry. If one or more of these standards cannot be met then the applicant's remediation plan shall demonstrate that there will be:

A. No permanent loss of any type of resource or functional value listed in Section 17.49.10, as determined by a

- B. A significant improvement of at least one functional value listed in section 17.49.10, as determined by a qualified environmental professional; and
- C. There will be minimal loss of resources and functional values during the remediation action until it is fully established.

**Finding: Not Applicable.** No violations have been reported. Therefore, a remediation plan for the violation is not required.

#### **CHAPTER 17.50 - ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURES**

17.50.030 Summary of the City's Decision-Making Processes.

**Finding: Complies as Proposed.** The Natural Resource Overlay District verification application is being reviewed pursuant to the Type I process.

#### III. CONCLUSION AND DECISION

Based on the analysis and findings presented in this report, and the substantial evidence in the application materials, the properties identified are exempt from further review under Chapter 17.49 of the Oregon City Municipal Code. Though the site is exempt from further NROD review, portions of the property also fall within the Geologic Hazards Overlay District and development is subject to compliance with OCMC Chapter 17.44, *Geologic Hazards* at the time of land division application.

#### **EXHIBITS**

- 1. Vicinity Map (On File)
- 2. Map of the Site and Natural Resources Overlay District (On File)
- 2. Applicant's Submittal (On File)



# Attachment A: Wetland Determination Data Sheets

## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: 5621: Wheeler Farms		City/County:	Oregon City/	Clackamas	Sampling Date: 3/15/2017
Applicant/Owner: Rian Park Development				State: OR	Sampling Point: 1
Investigator(s): Kayla Katkin and Lindsey Ober	miller	Section, T	ownship, Rang		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope				concave, convex, none):	Concave Slope (%): <3%
Subregion (LRR): A, Northwest Forests and Coa	st I	_at:		g:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Jory Stony Silt Loan	-		_	·	lassification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic		vear?	Ye		(If no, explain in Remarks)
, ,	or Hydrology	•			ces" present? Yes X No
	or Hydrology		blematic? (	lf needed, explain any a	nswers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach sit	e map showi	ng sampling	point locati	ons, transects, im	portant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Y	es X	No			
Hydric Soil Present?	es	No X	Is the Samp	led Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Y	es	No X	within a We	tland? Yes	No X
Precipitation: According to the AgACIS Oregon Cit	y station, 1.30 inc	ches of rainfall wa	as received on t	he day of the site visit a	nd 4.32 inches within the two
weeks prior. Rainfall conditions received prior to					
Remarks: Plot taken at low spot in tree farm area	n the vicinity of th	e NROD mappe	d stream.		
VEGETATION					
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wo	orksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' r )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant	Species
1.				That Are OBL, FACV	V, or FAC: 2 (A)
2.					
3.				Total Number of Don	ninant
4.				Species Across All S	trata: 3 (B)
	0% =	Total Cover			(-,
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10' r				Percent of Dominant	Species
1. Pseudotsuga menziesii	40%	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACV	
Rubus armeniacus	10%	Yes	FAC	Prevalence Index w	(.12)
3.	1070	100	1710	Total % Cover of	
4.				OBL species	o x 1 = 0
5.					) x 2 = 0
	50% =	Total Cover			7 x 3 = 261
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' r )		10141 00101		_ ·	22 x 4 = 248
Agrostis capillaris	75%	Yes	FAC		2 x 5 = 10
Daucus carota	10%	No	FACU		51 (A) 519 (B)
Hypochaeris radicata	10%	No	FACU	Prevalence Index	
Plantago lanceolata	2%	No	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegeta	
5. Holcus lanatus	2%	No	FAC		r Hydrophytic Vegetation
6. Geranium molle	2%	No	NOL	X 2 - Dominance T	, , , ,
7.	270	140	IVOE	3 - Prevalence Ir	
8.					I Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
9.				<u> </u>	rks or on a separate sheet)
10.				5 - Wetland Non-	
11.					rophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	1010/	Total Cover			soil and wetland hydrology must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:10' r)	101% =	Total Cover		be present.	son and wedand hydrology must
1.				p. 555	
2.				Hydrophytic	
	0% =	Total Cover		Vegetation	Yes X No
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0%				Present?	
Remarks: Shrub layer <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii are</i>	planted for Christ	tmas tree farm.			

SOIL							Sampling Point:	1		
Profile Descripti	on: (Describe to	the depth ne	eded to docume	nt the indicator	r or confirm the	e absence of indica	itors.)			
Depth	Matr	ix		Redox F	Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-16+	10YR 3/4	100					SiL			
				_						
				_						
			-							
¹ Type: C=Concen	ntration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=Redu	ıced Matrix CS=C	overed or Coated	d Sand Grains.	² Location: PL=P	ore Lining, M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil Indica	ators: (Applicab	le to all LRRs	, unless otherwis	e noted.)		Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric S	3oils³:		
Histosol (A1)		_	Sandy Redox	(S5)		2 cm Muck (/	A10)			
Histic Epipedo	on (A2)	_	Stripped Matrix (S6)			Red Parent N	Red Parent Material (TF2)			
Black Histic (/	A3)		Loamy Mucky	/ Mineral (F1) <b>(ex</b>	ccept MLRA 1)	Very Shallow	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Hydrogen Sul	lfide (A4)	_	Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2)		Other (Explai	in in Remarks)			
Depleted Belo	ow Dark Surface (	(A11)	Depleted Mat	rix (F3)		<del></del>				
Thick Dark Su	urface (A12)	_	Redox Dark S	Surface (F6)		³ Indicators of hyd	drophytic vegetation a	and		
Sandy Mucky	Mineral (S1)	_	Depleted Dari	k Surface (F7)		wetland hydrolo	ogy must be present,	,		
Sandy Gleyed	d Matrix (S4)	_	Redox Depressions (F8)			unless disturbe	unless disturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive Layer	r (if present):									
Type:										
Depth (inches):					l.	Hydric Soil Present	? Yes	No X		
Remarks:		•								
itemarks.										
HYDROLOGY										
Wetland Hydrolo										
Primary Indicators	s (minimum of one	e required; che	ck all that apply)			Secondary Indica	ators (2 or more requ	<u>uired)</u>		
Surface Wate	er (A1)	_	Water-Staine	d Leaves (B9) <b>(e</b> :	xcept MLRA	Water-Staine	ed Leaves (B9) <b>(MLR</b>	₹A 1, 2,		
High Water T	able (A2)		1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			4A, and 4B)				
Saturation (A	3)	_	Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Pat	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Water Marks	(B1)	_	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season \	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Sediment Dep	posits (B2)	_	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits	s (B3)	_	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Algal Mat or 0	Crust (B4)	_	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Iron Deposits	(B5)	=	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Surface Soil (	Cracks (B6)	_	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)				
Inundation Vis	sible on Aerial Im	agery (B7)	Other (Explain	n in Remarks)		Frost-Heave	Hummocks (D7)			
Sparsely Veg	etated Concave S	Surface (B8)				<del>_</del>				
Field Observation	 ns:									
Surface Water Pr			No X	Denth (inches)	١٠					
Water Table Pres				Depth (inches)		Wotland Live	drology Process?			
Saturation Preser			No X	Depth (inches)		vvetianu nyt	drology Present?	No. Y		
(includes capillary				Depth (inches)			Yes	No X		
Describe Recorde		gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial ph	otos, previous in	spections), if av	railable:				
					•					
Remarks:										

## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: 5621: Wheeler Farms		Citv/County:	Oregon City/	Clackamas	Sampling Date:	3/15/2017
Applicant/Owner: Rian Park Development				State: OR	Sampling Point:	
Investigator(s): Kayla Katkin and Lindsey Ob	ermiller	Section 1	Fownship, Rang		·	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	ommo.		1,	(concave, convex, none):	Concave Slone	(%): <3%
Subregion (LRR): A, Northwest Forests and Co	ast L:	at:		g:	Datum:	(70).
Soil Map Unit Name: Jory Stony Silt Loa			_		classification:	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site type		vear?	Ye		(If no, explain in	Remarks)
, ,	, or Hydrology	•			nces" present? Yes	
	, or Hydrology				answers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach s						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	YesN	No X				
		No X	Is the Samp	led Area		
	·	No X	within a We	tland? Yes	No X	
Precipitation: According to the AgACIS Oregon C	City station, 1.30 inch	nes of rainfall wa	as received on t	the day of the site visit		the two
weeks prior. Rainfall conditions received prior to	site visit were abov	e normal.		•		
Remarks: Plot taken in low area, just outside of t	ree farm area in vici	nty of NROD m	apped stream. <i>I</i>	Approxiamtely 6" lower	in elevation than Plot	1.
VEGETATION						
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test w	vorksheet:	
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 30' r )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominar		
Pseudotsuga menziesii	25%	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FAC	•	(A)
2.						(/ ./
3.				Total Number of Do	ominant	
4.				Species Across All		(B)
	 25% = T	otal Cover		Opecies Across Air	Ollata. 3	(D)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10' r		otal Covel		Percent of Dominar	nt Species	
1	_/ 30%	Yes	FACU			<u>′</u> (A/B)
1 Seddotsaga Menziesii	5%			That Are OBL, FAC	, 5	<u> (A/D)</u>
2. Rubus armeniacus 3.	5%	No	FAC	Prevalence Index v		
4.				OBL species	0 x 1 =	
5.				FACW species	0 x 2 =	0
·	35% = T	otal Cover		·. —		240
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' r )		otal Covel		_ · _		200
	70%	Vaa	FAC	UPL species	0 x5=	0
<u>- 9</u>	<del></del>	Yes		<u> </u>	130 (A)	
	10%	No No	FACU	Prevalence Inde		440 (B)
	10%	No No	FACU	Hydrophytic Veget		
Holcus lanatus  5.	5%	No	FAC		for Hydrophytic Vegetal	tion
6.				2 - Dominance	, , , ,	
7.				3 - Prevalence		
				_		
8. 9.	<del></del>				cal Adaptations ¹ (Provid	
	<del></del>				arks or on a separate s	ileet)
10.	· ———				n-Vascular Plants ¹	
11					drophytic Vegetation ¹ (	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:10' r_		otal Cover			soil and wetland hydro	ology must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:10' r_ 1.	_)			be present.		
2.				Hydrophytic		
	0% = T	otal Cover		Vegetation	Yes No )	K
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5%				Present?		
Remarks: Shrub layer Pseudotsuga menziesii ar	e planted for Christr	nas tree farm				
Tromains. Official layer i secuolocya menziesii al	o pianteu ioi Onnisti	nas uce Iaiii.				

SOIL							Sampling Point:	2		
Profile Description	: (Describe to	the depth n	eeded to document	t the indicator	r or confirm the	absence of indic	cators.)			
Depth	Matri	ix		Redox F	Features					
(inches) (	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-6	10YR 3/3	100					SiL			
6-14	10YR 3/3	98	7.5YR 4/4	2	С	M, PL	SiL			
Type: C=Concentra	ation, D=Depleti	ion, RM=Red	uced Matrix CS=Cov	ered or Coate	d Sand Grains.	² Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix	ζ.		
lydric Soil Indicate	ors: (Applicable	e to all LRRs	s, unless otherwise	noted.)		Indicators for	Problematic Hydric	Soils ³ :		
Histosol (A1)			Sandy Redox (S	35)		2 cm Muck	(A10)			
Histic Epipedon	(A2)		Stripped Matrix	(S6)		Red Parent	Red Parent Material (TF2)			
Black Histic (A3	)		Loamy Mucky M	/lineral (F1) (ex	(cept MLRA 1)	Very Shallo	ow Dark Surface (TF1	2)		
Hydrogen Sulfid	e (A4)		Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2)		Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)			
Depleted Below	Dark Surface (	A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)						
Thick Dark Surfa	ace (A12)		Redox Dark Sur	rface (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
Sandy Mucky M	ineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		wetland hydrology must be present,				
Sandy Gleyed M	Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressions (F8)			unless disturbed or problematic.				
Restrictive Layer (if	f present):									
Type:	,									
Depth (inches):						lydric Soil Presei	nt? Yes	No X		
Remarks:		•								
IIVDDOL OOV										
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology	Indicators:									
Primary Indicators (r		required: che	eck all that apply)			Secondary Indi	icators (2 or more requ	iired)		
				001/00 (PO) <b>(a</b>	voont MI DA		-	<del></del>		
Surface Water (	•		Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA				Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			
High Water Tab	ie (AZ)		1, 2, 4A, and	•		•				
Saturation (A3)	1)		Salt Crust (B11)	,			Dru Seesen Weter Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B			Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Sediment Depos	` '		Hydrogen Sulfid	` '	5		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (E	•		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Algal Mat or Cru	` '		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Iron Deposits (B	,		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Surface Soil Cra			Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)				
Inundation Visib		,	Other (Explain i	n Remarks)		Frost-Heav	ve Hummocks (D7)			
Sparsely Vegeta	ated Concave S	urface (B8)								
ield Observations	:									
Surface Water Pres	ent? Yes		No X	Depth (inches)	):					
Water Table Preser	nt? Yes		No X	Depth (inches)	>14"	Wetland H	ydrology Present?			
Saturation Present? Yes		No X	Depth (inches)	>14"		Yes	No X			
(includes capillary fr	inge)									
Describe Recorded	Data (stream g	auge, monito	ring well, aerial photo	os, previous in	spections), if av	ailable:				
Remarks:										



# Attachment B: Representative Site Photographs






Photo A. View south of Plot 2. Plot 2 is located just outside of the densely planted Christmas tree farm at the lowest point within the mapped NROD area.



Photo C. View south of driveway that extends through mapped NROD area.



Photo B. View facing north within Christmas tree farm.



Photo D. View north of Plot 1. Plot 1 is located within the Christmas tree farm in the vicinity of the mapped NROD



# **Exhibit E: Transportation Impact Study**

# **Wheeler Farms**

Transportation Impact Study
Oregon City, Oregon

Date:

June 15, 2017

Prepared for:

Rian Park Development, Inc.

Prepared by:

 $\operatorname{Todd}\nolimits\operatorname{Mobley}\nolimits,\operatorname{PE}\nolimits$ 

Richard Martin, EI



RENEWS: 12 31 2018





# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	
Introduction	2
Vicinity Streets	
Study Intersections	
Traffic Counts	
Transit	
Project-Generated Trips	
Trip Generation	
Trip Distribution	
Operational Analysis	11
Background Traffic	11
Intersection Capacity and Level-of-Service Analysis	10
Safety Analysis	19
Crash Analysis	
Transportation Planning Rule	20
Conclusions	21
Appendix	22



# **Table of Figures**

Figure 1: Vicinity Map	5
Figure 2: Existing Conditions	6
Figure 3: Subdivision Site Trips	9
Figure 4: Net Increase in Trips from Zone Change	10
Figure 5: 2019 Background Conditions	12
Figure 6: 2019 Background + Subdivision Trips	13
Figure 7: 2035 Background Conditions	
Figure 8: 2035 Background + Net Increase in Trips	15
Table of Tables	
Table 1: Subdivision Trip Generation Summary	7
Table 2: Zone Change Trip Generation Summary	
Table 3: Capacity and LOS Summary	



# **Executive Summary**

- 1. A zone change from R10 to R8 is proposed for a site that consists of six tax lots, totalling approximately 22.56 acres. The site is located to the southeast of S Central Point Road, southwest of Hazeldell Avenue, and northeast of S White Lane. A subdivision is proposed under the R8 zoning that would accommodate 77 lots for construction of single-family homes.
- 2. The trip generation calculations show that the proposed subdivision is projected to generate a total of 57 trips during the morning peak hour and 76 trips during the evening peak hour. The change in zoning is expected to result in a potential net increase in trips of 8 during the morning peak hour and 11 during the evening peak hour.
- 3. For 2019 conditions with the proposed Wheeler Farms subdivision in place, all study area intersections are expected to operate acceptably and no mitigations are necessary to accommodate the proposed subdivision.
- 4. By 2035, all study-area intersections will continue to operate acceptably, with the exception of the signalized intersection of Warner-Parrott/Warner-Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road, which will operate slightly over capacity. However, the proposed zone change will not degrade the operation of the intersection, since the net increase in trips associated with the change in zoning is quite small. As such, the Transportation Planning Rule is satisfied and there is no significant effect on the surrounding transportation system.
- 5. Based on the detailed review of all of the crash data, no significant patterns and no contributing design concerns were identified at the study intersections. Accordingly, no safety mitigations are recommended.



#### Introduction

The proposed subdivision consists of the construction of 77 single-family detached houses. The project site is located immediately to the southeast of S Central Point Road, southwest of Hazeldell Avenue, and northeast of S White Lane at 19584 and 19532 Central Point Road in Oregon City, Oregon. The project site consists of tax lots 1001, 1291, 1100, 1180, 1700, and 1790 and encompasses an approximate total of 22.56 acres. All six lots are currently utilized for agricultural purposes with lot 1100 containing one single-family detached house and outbuildings.

The proposed subdivision will connect to five street stubs from adjacent subdivisions and connect these streets within the site, completing the local street system in the immediate vicinity. This improved connectivity will offer multiple travel routes to the neighborhood, distributing trips and avoiding concentrated traffic impacts. Wheeler Farms will have access to S Central Point Road via four street connections, including Hazeldell Avenue, Skellenger Way, Blanchet Drive, and White Lane. However, given the site location, street layout, and expected travel patterns, White Lane is not expected to carry any significant traffic from the project.

This report addresses the impacts of the proposed development on the nearby street system and includes safety and capacity / level-of-service analyses at the following five intersections:

- 1. S Central Point Road at Blanchet Drive
- 2. S Central Point Road at Skellenger Way
- 3. S Central Point Road at Hazeldell Avenue
- 4. S Central Point Road at Warner Parrott Road
- 5. Warner Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road

The purpose of the study is to determine whether the transportation system in the vicinity of the site is capable of safely and efficiently supporting the existing and proposed uses, and to determine any mitigation that might be necessary to do so.

# Vicinity Streets

S Central Point Road is classified as a Collector by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section southwest of Trade Wind Street, widening to a three-lane cross-section, with one standard travel lane in each direction and a center two-way left-turn lane northeast of Trade Wind Street. It has a posted speed of 45 mph southwest of and a posted speed of 35 mph northeast of Partlow Road. Partial bike lanes, curbs and sidewalks are in place between the project site and Warner Parrott Road, however these facilities are not continuous. Some on-street parking is also available along both sides of the roadway.



Warner Parrott Road is classified as a Minor Arterial by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section and has a posted speed of 30 mph. Bike lanes are in place on both sides of the street. Partial curbs and sidewalks are provided along both sides of the roadway within the study area.

Warner Milne Road is classified as a Minor Arterial by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section and has a posted speed of 30 mph. Bike lanes are in place on both sides of the street. Partial curbs and sidewalks are provided along both sides of the roadway within the site vicinity.

Skellenger Way is classified as a Local Street by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section without centerline striping and has a posted speed of 25 mph. On-street parking is permitted along both sides of the roadway. Curbs and sidewalks are also provided along both sides of the roadway.

Linn Avenue is classified as a Minor Arterial by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section and has a posted speed of 35 mph. Bike lanes are in place on both sides of the street. On-street parking is generally permitted along both sides of the roadway between Williams Street and Ethel Street. Curbs and sidewalks are provided along both sides of the roadway within the site vicinity.

Leland Road is classified as a Minor Arterial by the City of Oregon City. The roadway has a two-lane cross-section and has a posted speed of 35 mph. Bike lanes are in place on both sides of the street. Partial curbs and sidewalks are provided along the eastern side of the roadway within the site vicinity.

# Study Intersections

The intersection of Warner Parrott Road at S Central Point Road is a three-legged intersection that is stop-controlled for the northeast bound approach of S Central Point Road. The northeast bound approach has one left-turn lane, one right-turn lane, and a bicycle lane to the right of the outermost standard travel lane. The northwest bound approach has one through lane, one left-turn lane, and a bicycle lane to the right of the outermost standard travel lane. The southeast bound approach has one through lane that feeds into the left-turn lane at the traffic signal at Leland Road/Linn Avenue, one shared through/right-turn lane, and a bicycle lane to the right of the outermost standard travel lane. The southwestern intersection leg has a marked crosswalk while all other intersection leg crosswalks are unmarked.

The intersection of Warner Parrott/Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road is a four-legged intersection that is controlled by a traffic signal. The north-, south-, and eastbound approaches each have one left-turn lane served by protected phasing, one shared through/right-turn lane, and a bicycle lane to the right of the outermost standard travel lane. The westbound approach of Warner Milne Road has one left-turn lane served by protected phasing, one through lane, one shared through/right-turn lane, and a bicycle lane to the right of the outermost standard travel lane. All four intersection legs have marked crosswalks.

The intersection of S Central Point Road and Blanchet Drive is a three-legged intersection that is stop-controlled for the northwest bound approach of Blanchet Drive. The northeast and southeast bound approaches each have one through/turn lane and parking is available on the southeast side of the street. S Central Point Road has a posted speed limit of 45 mph in both directions and Blanchet Drive has a posted speed limit of 25 mph at the intersection.



The intersection of S Central Point Road and Skellenger Way is a three-legged intersection that is stop-controlled for the southeast bound approach of Skellenger Way. The northeast and southeast bound approaches each have one through/turn lane and parking is available on the northwest side of the street. S Central Point Road has a posted speed limit of 45 mph in both directions and Skellenger Way has a posted speed limit of 25 mph at the intersection.

The intersection of S Central Point Road and S Hazeldell Avenue is a four-legged intersection that is stop-controlled for the northwest and southeast bound approaches of S Hazeldell Avenue. The northeast and southeast bound approaches each have one through/turn lane and parking is available on the southeast side of the street. S Central Point Road has a posted speed limit of 45 mph in both directions and S Hazeldell Avenue has a posted speed limit of 25 mph at the intersection. All four approaches have sidewalks and unmarked crosswalks.

A vicinity map displaying the project site, vicinity streets, and the study area intersections with their associated lane configurations is shown in Figure 1Error! Reference source not found. on page five.

# Traffic Counts

Traffic counts were conducted at study area intersections on Wednesday, April 5th, 2017 from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and on Tuesday, April 4th, 2017 from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. Data from each intersection peak hour was used for analysis.

Figure 2 on page six shows the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes for the study area intersections.

#### **Transit**

TriMet bus line #33 – McLonghlin operates along Warner Milne Road and Linn Avenue near the site vicinity, with the closest northbound/westbound and southbound/eastbound bus stops located approximately 1.35 miles from the project site. This route provides service between Portland City Center, the last stop at the intersection of NW 5th Avenue and NW Hoyt Street, and the Oregon City Transit Center/Clackamas Community College depending on the time of day. Weekday service is scheduled from approximately 4:30 AM to 1:45 AM, with headways of approximately 15 to 60 minutes. Saturday service is scheduled from approximately 5:45 AM to 1:30 AM with headways of about 15 to 60 minutes. Sunday service is scheduled from 6:00 AM to 1:30 AM with headways of approximately 15 to 60 minutes.









FIGURE 1 PAGE 5








FIGURE 2



# **Project-Generated Trips**

Under the proposed R-8 zoning, the Wheeler Farms subdivision includes 77 single-family detached homes. There is currently one single-family home on the site that will be removed with site development. The sections below describe the methodology used to calculate the number of trips generated by the new homes and the way they are expected to use the transportation system in the project study area.

# Trip Generation

To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed subdivision, trip rates from the TRIP GENERATION MANUAL¹ were used. Data from land-use code 210, Single-Family Detached Housing, was used to estimate the proposed development's trip generation based on the number of dwelling units.

The trip generation calculations show that the proposed subdivision is projected to generate a net increase of 57 trips during the morning peak hour and 76 trips during the evening peak hour. The trip generation estimates are summarized in Table 1 below. Detailed trip generation calculations are included in the technical appendix to this report.

Table 1: Subdivision Trip Generation Summary

	ITE		Morni	ng Pea	k Hour	Eveni	ng Peal	k Hour	Weekday
	Code	Size	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing									
Proposed Development	210	77 units	15	43	58	49	28	77	734
Existing Development	210	1 unit	0	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	-10
Total		83 units	15	42	57	48	28	76	724

Because a change in zoning is proposed for the site, a comparison of the reasonable worst-case development potential under both the existing and proposed zoning designations is necessary to gauge the traffic impact that could occur due to the change. Under the existing R-10 zoning, approximately 73 dwelling units could be constructed. Under the proposed R-8 zone, approximately 84 dwelling units are possible, for a net increase of 11 homes. The comparative trip generation analysis for the zone change is shown in Table 2 below.

¹ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), TRIP GENERATION MANUAL, 9th Edition, 2012



Table 2: Zone Change Trip Generation Summary

	ITE		Morni	ng Pea	k Hour	Eveni	ng Peal	k Hour	Weekday
	Code	Size	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing									
R-8 Zoning Potential	210	84 units	16	47	63	53	31	84	800
R-10 Zoning Potential	210	73 units	14	41	55	46	27	73	694
Potential Net Increase in Trips		11 units	2	6	8	7	4	11	106

### Trip Distribution


The directional distribution of site trips to and from the proposed development was estimated based on locations of likely trip destinations, locations of major transportation facilities in the site vicinity, and existing travel patterns at the study area intersections.

The following trip distribution was estimated and used for analysis:

- Approximately 25 percent of trips will travel to/from the east along Warner Milne Road.
- Approximately 25 percent of trips will travel to/from the northwest along S Partlow Road.
- Approximately 20 percent of trips will travel to/from the north along Linn Avenue.
- Approximately 15 percent of trips will travel to/from the southeast along S McCord Road.
- Approximately 5 percent of trips will travel to/from the west along Warner Parrott Road.
- Approximately 5 percent of trips will travel to/from the northwest along Skellenger Way.
- Approximately 5 percent of trips will travel to/from the southwest along S Central Point Road.


As described previously, there are three primary streets that the subdivision will use to reach S Central Point Road. Approximately one-third of site trips were assumed to utilize each access point along S Central Point Road at Skellenger Way, S Hazeldell Avenue, and Blanchet Drive.

The trip assignment for the AM and PM peak hours for build out of the subdivision is shown in Figure 3 on page 9 and the assignment of the potential net increase in trips from the zone chage is shown in Figure 4 on page 10.



no scale

PAGE 9



Net Increase in Trips from Zone Change AM & PM Peak Hour



PAGE 10



# **Operational Analysis**


To gauge the operation of the study area intersections, an operational analysis was conducted. The following subsections describe how future traffic volumes were derived as well as the operation (level of service, delay, and volume-to-capacity ratio) of the study area intersections.

# **Background Traffic**

To provide analysis of the impact of the proposed development on the nearby transportation facilities, an estimate of future traffic volumes is required. Growth in traffic volumes was estimated based on data from the 2013 Transportation System Plan (TSP), which examines a base year of 2010 and a future year of 2035. Growth in the TSP averages a rate of two percent per year, which was applied to all intersection movements.

It was assumed that the proposed subdivision would be completed by 2019. In order to calculate traffic volumes in 2019 without the subdivision in place, a compounded growth rate of two percent per year was applied over a period of two years. In addition, trips from nearby and adjacent subdivisions that have been approved but are not yet built out were added. Year 2019 background volumes (conditions without the proposed subdivision) are shown in Figure 5 on page 12. Year 2019 conditions with the subdivision at build out are shown in Figure 6 on page 13.

In order to assess the impacts of the proposed zone change on traffic conditions at the planning horizon, the existing traffic volumes at the study area intersections were increased to account for anticipated growth through year 2035. Background conditions for the year 2035, including development on the site under the existing zone, are shown in Figure 7 on page 14. Figure 8 of page 15 shows the sum of 2035 background conditions plus the net increase in trips from the zone change.









FIGURE 5


**PAGE** 



TRAFFIC VOLUMES
Year 2019 Background plus Site Trips
AM & PM Peak Hours



PAGE 13








FIGURE 7








FIGURE 8

**PAGE** 



# Intersection Capacity and Level-of-Service Analysis

To determine the performance of the study intersections, a capacity analysis was conducted for the morning and evening peak hours for existing conditions, year 2019 background conditions, and year 2019 background plus site trips from the proposed development. The analysis was conducted according to the unsignalized and signalized intersection analysis methodologies given in the *HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL* (HCM), published by the Transportation Research Board.

Intersections outside the Regional Center but designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network, as defined in the Regional Transportation Plan, shall operate with a v/c ratio of 0.99 or less. This standard applies to signalized intersections as a whole. For unsignalized intersections this standard applies to movements on the major street, whereas there is no performance standard for the minor street approach. The study intersections of Warner Parrott Road at S Central Point Road and Warner Parrott/Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road are subject to these standards.

For unsignalized intersections outside the Regional Center and not designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network, as defined in the Regional Transportation Plan, all movements serving more than 20 vehicles are required to operate at level of service (LOS) E or better during both the morning and evening peak hours, however LOS F is tolerated for movements serving 20 or less vehicles. Levels of service can range from LOS A, which indicates very little or no delay experience by vehicles, to LOS F, which indicates a high degree of congestion and delay. The intersections of Hazeldell Avenue, Skellenger Way, and Blanchet Drive with S Central Point Road are subject to these standards.

The intersection of S Central Point Road at Blanchet Drive currently operates at LOS A during both the morning and evening peak hours. The intersection is projected to operated at LOS A under all peak hour conditions, except for 2035 background plus zone change conditions, under which it will operate at LOS B.

The intersection of S Central Point Road at Skellenger Way currently operates at LOS B during both the morning and evening peak hours. The intersection is projected to operate at LOS B under all peak hour conditions, except for 2035 background and background plus zone change conditions. Under those conditions, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C in the evening peak hour.

The intersection of S Central Point Road at Hazeldell Avenue currently operates at LOS B during both the morning and evening peak hours. It is projected to operate at LOS B under all potential peak hour conditions.

The intersection of Warner Parrott Road at S Central Point Road operates at LOS A during both the morning and evening peak hours, with a maximum V/C ratio of 0.36 for the westbound left turn movement. The intersection is projected to operate at LOS A during the morning peak hour and LOS B during the evening peak hour for all projections, with a maximum V/C ratio of 0.60 for the westbound left turn movement.

The intersection of Warner Parrott/Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road currently operates at LOS D during both the morning and evening peak hours, with a maximum V/C ratio of 0.82. Failure levels are seen under 2035 background and background plus zone change conditions in the morning and evening peak



hours. Under these conditions, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS E with a v/c ratio of 1.01 for both the morning and evening peak hours.

The results of the capacity analysis, along with the levels of service, delay, and v/c ratios are shown in Table 3. Detailed calculations, as well as tables showing the relationships between delay and level of service are included in the appendix to this report.

Based on the results of the operational analysis, all study area intersections will operating acceptably through 2019, even with the proposed development in place. It is important to note that because of the City of Oregon City's performance standard, the northbound stop-controlled approach on S Central Point Road is not subject to a level of service or v/c standard.

The intersection of Warner Milne Road/Warner Parrott Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road is projected to operate above capacity by 2035, even without the proposed zone change. The proposed zone change has very little impact on operation of the intersection at the planning horizon, since the net increase in trips from the change in zoning is very low.

Also, Oregon City's TSP includes a potential future roundabout at the intersection of Warner Milne Road/Warner Parrott Road and Linn Avenue/Leland Road. This roundabout would mitigate the operation of the intersection and bring it into compliance with the applicable performance standard.



Table 3: Capacity and LOS Summary

	Mor	ning Peak I	Hour	Eve	ning Peak H	Iour
	LOS	Delay (s)	v/c	LOS	Delay (s)	v/c
S Central Point Rd at Blanchet Drive						
Existing Conditions	Α	10	0.06	A	9	0.02
2019 Background Conditions	Α	9	0.03	A	9	0.02
2019 Background + Site Trips	A	9	0.03	A	9	0.02
2035 Background Conditions	A	10	0.05	A	10	0.02
2035 Background + Zone Change	A	10	0.04	A	9	0.02
S Central Point Rd at Skellenger Way						
Existing Conditions	В	11	0.07	В	12	0.04
2019 Background Conditions	В	12	0.08	В	12	0.05
2019 Background + Site Trips	В	12	0.10	В	14	0.07
2035 Background Conditions	В	14	0.14	В	13	0.07
2035 Background + Zone Change	В	14	0.14	В	13	0.07
S Central Point Rd at S Hazeldell Avenu	ie					
Existing Conditions	В	11	0.06	В	12	0.04
2019 Background Conditions	В	12	0.06	В	12	0.02
2019 Background + Site Trips	В	13	0.10	В	14	0.03
2035 Background Conditions	В	14	0.10	С	15	0.03
2035 Background + Zone Change	В	14	0.10	С	15	0.04
S Central Point Rd at Warner Parrott Ro	ad*					
Existing Conditions	А	8	0.08	А	10	0.36
2019 Background Conditions	Α	9	0.11	В	10	0.38
2019 Background + Site Trips	А	9	0.12	В	10	0.40
2035 Background Conditions	Α	9	0.14	В	14	0.59
2035 Background + Zone Change	A	9	0.14	В	14	0.60
Warner Parrott/Milne Road at Linn Ave	/Leland	Road				
Existing Conditions	D	42	0.71	D	38	0.82
2019 Background Conditions	D	35	0.82	D	40	0.82
2019 Background + Site Trips	D	36	0.83	D	43	0.83
2035 Background Conditions	Е	67	1.01	Е	66	1.01
2035 Background + Zone Change	Е	67	1.01	Е	69	1.01
*Westbound left turn LOS, delay, and v/c a	re reporte	ed				



# Safety Analysis

Safety of the transportation system is related to the operations discussed in the previous section, but is a separate consideration. The safety of the roads and intersections within the project study area are addressed in the following subsection.

# Crash Analysis

Using data obtained from ODOT's Crash Data Analysis and Reporting Unit, a review of the most recent available five years of crash history (2011 – 2015) at the study area intersections was performed. The crash data was evaluated based on the number of crashes, the type of collisions, the severity of the collisions, and the resulting crash rate for the intersection. Crash rates provide the ability to compare relative safety risks at different intersections by accounting for both the number of crashes that have occurred during the study period and the number of vehicles that typically travel through the intersection. Crash rates were calculated using the common assumption that traffic counted during the evening peak hour represents 10% of the average annual daily traffic (AADT) at the intersection. Crash rates more than 1.0 crashes per million entering vehicles (CMEV) may be indicative of safety hazards that should be further investigated and mitigated.

The intersections of S Central Point Road at Skellenger Way, Blanchet Drive, and Hazeldell Avenue had no reported crashes during the five-year analysis period.

The intersection of Warner Parrott Road at S Central Point Road had seven reported crashes during the analysis period. The crashes consisted of three turning-type collisions, two rear-end collisions, one backing collision, and one fixed-object collision. Of these reported crashes, four were classified as "Property Damage Only" (PDO), two as "Possible Injury – Complaint of Pain" (Injury-C), and one as "Non-Incapacitating Injury" (Injury-B). The crash rate at the intersection was calculated to be 0.27 CMEV.

The intersection of Warner Parrott/Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road had two reported crashes during the analysis period. The crashes consisted of one angle-type collision and one collision involving a pedestrian. Of these reported crashes one was classified as "Property Damage Only" (*PDO*) and one as "Non-Incapacitating Injury" (*Injury-B*). The crash rate at the intersection was calculated to be 0.04 CMEV.

Based on the detailed review of all the crash data, no significant patterns and no contributing design concerns were identified at the study intersections. Accordingly, no safety mitigations are recommended.



# Transportation Planning Rule

The Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) is in place to ensure that the transportation system is capable of supporting possible increases in traffic intensity that could result from changes to adopted plans and land-use regulations. Because the proposed project includes a change in zoning, the TPR must be addressed. The applicable elements of the TPR are each quoted directly in *italies* below, with a response directly following.

Oregon Administrative Rule 660-12-0600

- (1) If an amendment to a functional plan, an acknowledge comprehensive plan, or a land use regulation (including a zoning map) would significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility, then the local government must put in place measures as provided in section (2) of the rule, unless the amendment is allowed under section (3), (9), or (10) of this rule. A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it would:
  - (a) Change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility (exclusive of correction of map errors in an adopted plan;
  - (b) Change standards implementing a functional classification system; or
  - (c) Result in any of the effects listed in paragraphs (A) through (C) of this subsection based on projected conditions measured at the end of the planning period identified in the TSP. As part of evaluating projected conditions, the amount of traffic projected to be generated within the area of the amendment may be reduced if the amendment includes an enforceable, ongoing requirement that would demonstrably limit traffic generation, including, but not limited to, transportation demand management. This reduction may diminish or completely eliminate the significant effect of the amendment.
    - (A) Types or levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;
    - (B) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility such that it would not meet the performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan; or
    - (C) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility that is otherwise projected to not meet performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan.

In the case of this report, subsections (a) and (b) are not triggered, since the proposed zone change will not impact or alter the functional classification of any existing or planned facility and the proposal does not include a change to any functional classification standards.

Subsection (c) is also not triggered since the addition of the net increase in trips from the zone change will not degrade the performance of the one intersection in the project study area that is projected to not meet performance standards at the planning horizon.

The TPR is satisfied, since the proposed zone change does not significantly affect the transportation system.




### **Conclusions**

For 2019 conditions, all study area intersections are expected to operate acceptably and no mitigations are necessary to accommodate the proposed 77-lot subdivision.

By 2035, all study-area intersections will continue to operate acceptably, with the exception of the signalized intersection of Warner-Parrott/Warner-Milne Road at Linn Avenue/Leland Road, which will operate slightly over capacity. However, the proposed zone change will not degrade the operation of the intersection, since the net increase in trips associated with the change in zoning is quite small. As such, the Transportation Planning Rule is satisfied and there is no significant effect on the surrounding transportation system.



# Appendix



# **Total Vehicle Summary**




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval		bound			South			Eastb				Westb						strians	
Start	Central		,			Point Rd		Blanch	het Dr			Blanch		,	Interval			swalk	,
Time	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	Bikes				Bikes	L		R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	3	0	0	1	3	0				0	0		11	0	8	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	2	0	0	11	4	0				0	0		1	0	8	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	6	0	0	0	6	0				0	0		2	0	14	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	15	0	0	0	4	0				0	0		11	0	20	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	8	0	0	0	6	0				0	0		2	0	16	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	8	0	0	0	4	0				0	1		11	0	14	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	13	11	0	2	4	0				0	0		3	0	23	0	0	0	0
7:35 AM	8	0	0	0	1	0				0	0		2	0	11	0	0	0	0
7:40 AM	12	1	0	1	9	0				0	0		3	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	16	0	0	0	7	0				0	0		3	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	11	0	0	11	12	0				0	0		0	0	24	1	0	0	0
7:55 AM	13	0	0	1	12	1				0	0		0	0	26	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	9	0	0	1	12	0				0	0		0	0	22	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	5	0	0	0	5	0	i			0	0	i	2	0	12	0	0	0	0
8:10 AM	14	0	0	1	5	0				0	0		4	0	24	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	7	0	0	0	4	0				0	0		0	0	11	0	0	0	0
8:20 AM	9	0	0	1	7	0				0	0		0	0	17	0	0	0	0
8:25 AM	9	0	0	0	4	0				0	0		0	0	13	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	2	0	0	1	7	0				0	0		0	0	10	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	7	0	0	0	8	0				0	0		11	0	16	0	0	2	0
8:40 AM	7	0	0	0	2	0				0	0		2	0	11	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	7	0	0	1	4	0				0	0		1	0	13	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	10	0	0	2	9	0				0	0		1	0	22	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	8	0	0	1	4	0				0	0		1	0	14	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	209	2	0	15	143	1				0	1		31	0	401	1	0	2	0

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start	North Central	<b>bound</b> Point R				bound Point Rd		oound het Dr			bound het Dr		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	Bikes			Bikes	L	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	11	0	0	2	13	0			0	0	4	0	30	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	31	0	0	0	14	0	[		0	1	4	0	50	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	33	2	0	3	14	0			0	0	8	0	60	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	40	0	0	2	31	1			0	0	3	0	76	1	0	0	0
8:00 AM	28	0	0	2	22	0			0	0	6	0	58	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	25	0	0	1	15	0			0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	16	0	0	1	17	0			0	0	3	0	37	0	0	2	0
8:45 AM	25	0	0	4	17	0			0	0	3	0	49	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	209	2	0	15	143	1			0	1	31	0	401	1	0	2	0

#### Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

			-															
By Approach Volume			bound				bound				ound				oound			
	Annroach		Central	Point Ro	d		Central	Point Ro	i		Blanc	het Dr			Blanc	het Dr		Total
	Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	
	Volume	134	82	216	0	88	153	241	1	0	0	0	0	22	9	31	0	244
	%HV		2.2	2%			4.5	5%			0.0	0%			0.0	0%		2.9%
	DHE		0	8/			0	56			0	00			0	69		0.80

	Pedes	trians											
Crosswalk													
North	South	East	West										
1	0	0	0										

By			bound				bound				ound				oound		
Movement		Central	Point R	a		Central Point Rd				Blanc	het Dr			Blanc	het Dr		Total
Wovernent		T	R	Total	L	T		Total				Total	L		R	Total	
Volume		132	2	134	7	81		88				0	1		21	22	244
%HV	NA	2.3%	0.0%	2.2%	14.3%	3.7%	NA	4.5%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	NA	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
PHF		0.83	0.25	0.84	0.58	0.56		0.56				0.00	0.25		0.66	0.69	0.80

# Rolling Hour Summary

### 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval	N	lorthi	bound			South	bound	Eastl	bound			Westk	oound				Pedes	strians	
Start	Ce	ntral I	Point Ro	t		Central	Point Rd	Blanc	chet Dr			Blanc	het Dr		Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time		Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	Bikes	I		Bikes	L		R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	Ī
7:00 AM	1	115	2	0	7	72	1			0	1		19	0	216	1	0	0	Ī
7:15 AM	1	132	2	0	7	81	1			0	1		21	0	244	1	0	0	Ī
7:30 AM	1	126	2	0	8	82	1			0	0		17	0	235	1	0	0	Τ
7:45 AM	1	109	0	0	6	85	1	1		0	0		12	0	212	1	0	2	1
8:00 AM		94	0	0	8	71	0			0	0		12	0	185	0	0	2	I

# **Heavy Vehicle Summary**



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Out 0

In 0

Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

# Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		bound				bound			Eastb			Westbound Blanchet Dr				
Start	Central				,	Point Rd			Blanch	net Dr			Blanc		,	Interval
Time	T	R	Total	L	T		Total				Total	L		R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:10 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:20 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:25 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0	i		İ	0	0	İ	0	0	1
7:30 AM	0	0	0	1	0		1				0	0		0	0	1
7:35 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	1
7:40 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	1		1				0	0		0	0	1
7:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
7:55 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	1		1				0	0		0	0	1
8:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:10 AM	1	0	1	0	1		1				0	0		0	0	2
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:20 AM	1	0	11	1	1		2				0	0		0	0	3
8:25 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:35 AM	0	0	0	0	2		2				0	0		0	0	2
8:40 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	1
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	0
8:55 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0				0	0		0	0	1
Total Survey	6	0	6	2	6		8				0	0		0	0	14

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		<b>bound</b> Point R	d			<b>bound</b> Point Rd		Eastb Blanc			oound het Dr		Interval
Time	Т	R	Total	L	T		Total		Total	L	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0	 	0	0	0	0	1
7:30 AM	1	0	1	1	0		1		0	0	0	0	2
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	1		1		0	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	1	0	1	0	2		2		0	0	0	0	3
8:15 AM	1	0	1	1	1		2		0	0	0	0	3
8:30 AM	1	0	1	0	2		2		0	0	0	0	3
8:45 AM	1	0	1	0	0		0		0	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	6	0	6	2	6		8		0	0	0	0	14

# Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

By			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			oound het Dr			bound het Dr	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	3	3	6	4	3	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	7
PHF	0.38			0.50			0.00			0.00			0.58

By Movement		<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d t			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	4		ound het Dr			 oound het Dr		Total
Wovernerit	 Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total			Total	L	R	Total	
Volume	3	0	3	1	3		4			0	0	0	0	7
PHF	 0.38	0.00	0.38	0.25	0.38		0.50			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58

# Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

	 	•••											
Interval	North	bound			South	bound	Eas	tbound		Westl	oound		
Start	Central	Point R	b		Central	Point Rd	Blan	chet Dr		Blanc	het Dr		Interval
Time	 Т	R	Total	L	T	Total		Total	L		R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	2	0	2	1	1	2		0	0		0	0	4
7:15 AM	3	0	3	1	3	4		0	0		0	0	7
7:30 AM	3	0	3	2	4	6		0	0		0	0	9
7:45 AM	 3	0	3	1	6	7	T T	0	0		0	0	10
8:00 AM	 4	0	4	1	5	6		0	0		0	0	10


# **Peak Hour Summary**



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

7:15 AM to 8:15 AM Wednesday, April 05, 2017



Approach	PHF	HV%	Volume
EB	0.00	0.0%	0
WB	0.69	0.0%	22
NB	0.84	2.2%	134
SB	0.56	4.5%	88
Intersection	0.80	2.9%	244

Count Period: 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Bikes 0

# **Total Vehicle Summary**




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval Start	Northi Central		4		South	bound Point Rd		tbound chet Dr			Westh			Interval		Pedes		
Time	T	R	Bikes	L	T	Bikes	T Jian	-,	kes	L	Diano.	R	Bikes	Total	North	South		West
4:00 PM	4	1	0	0	8	0			0	0		1	0	14	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	5	0	0	1	12	0			0	1		0	0	19	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	6	0	1	1	7	1			0	0		0	0	14	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	11	0	0	0	9	0			0	0		1	0	21	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	3	0	0	1	10	0	i		0	0		0	0	14	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	4	0	0	0	14	0			0	0		0	0	18	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	7	0	0	1	6	0			0	0		0	0	14	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	6	0	0	0	11	0			0	0		0	0	17	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	11	0	0	2	9	0			0	0		0	0	22	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	6	0	0	0	6	0			0	0		0	0	12	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	7	0	0	2	2	0			0	0		0	0	11	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	8	0	0	2	16	0			0	0		0	0	26	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	5	0	0	0	10	0			0	0		2	0	17	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	14	0	2	2	4	0			0	0		1	0	21	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	10	0	0	5	14	0			0	0		0	0	29	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	13	0	0	0	9	0			0	1		111	0	24	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	6	0	0	3	20	0	I		0	0		0	0	29	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	11	0	0	1	5	0			0	0		1	0	18	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	7	0	0	2	9	0			0	0		2	0	20	. 0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	9	1	0	0	7	0			0	0		0	0	17	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	9	0	0	0	14	0			0	0		0	0	23	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	5	0	0	2	16	0			0	0		0	0	23	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	6	0	0	2	20	0			0	0		0	0	28	0	0	0	0
5:55 PM	3	0	0	2	8	0			0	0		0	0	13	0	0	1	0
Total Survey	176	2	3	29	246	1			0	2		9	0	464	0	0	1	0

### 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start	North Central	<b>bound</b> Point R	d		South Central I	bound Point Rd	tbound chet Dr		Westbound Blanchet Dr		Interval			strians swalk
Time	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	Bikes	Bikes	L	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East
4:00 PM	15	1	1	2	27	1	0	1	1	0	47	0	0	0
4:15 PM	18	0	0	1	33	0	0	0	1	0	53	0	0	0
4:30 PM	24	0	0	3	26	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	0
4:45 PM	21	0	0	4	24	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	0	0
5:00 PM	29	0	2	7	28	0	0	0	3	0	67	0	0	0
5:15 PM	30	0	0	4	34	0	0	1	2	0	71	0	0	0
5:30 PM	25	1	0	2	30	0	0	0	2	0	60	0	0	0
5:45 PM	14	0	0	6	44	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	1
Total Survey	176	2	3	29	246	1	0	2	9	0	464	0	0	1

#### Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

	By			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	i		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	i			ound het Dr			West! Blanc	oound het Dr		Total
	Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
ſ	Volume	104	145	249	2	163	110	273	0	0	0	0	0	8	20	28	0	275
	%HV		4.8	3%			1.2	2%			0.0	0%			0.0	0%		2.5%
	PHF		0.	70			0.	75			0.	00			0.	67		0.84

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
0	0	0	0

Ву		Northi Central I		4		South Central		d			oound het Dr				bound het Dr		Total
Movement		T	R	Total	L	Т		Total		Diano		Total	L	Biarro	R	Total	rotai
Volume		103	1	104	19	144		163				0	1		7	8	275
%HV	NA	4.9%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	1.4%	NA	1.2%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	NA	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
PHF		0.70	0.25	0.70	0.59	0.72		0.75				0.00	0.25		0.58	0.67	0.84

#### Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval	1	Northb	ound			South	bound		Eastb	ound			Westk	ound				Pedes	trians	
Start	Ce	entral F	Point Ro	d		Central	Point Rd		Blanc	het Dr			Blanc	het Dr		Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time		Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	Bikes				Bikes	L		R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM		78	1	1	10	110	1				0	1		2	0	202	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM		92	0	2	15	111	0				0	0		4	0	222	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM		104	0	2	18	112	0				0	1		5	0	240	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM		105	1	2	17	116	0	- I			0	1		7	0	247	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM		98	1	2	19	136	0				0	1		7	0	262	0	0	1	0

# **Heavy Vehicle Summary**



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM Out In 2 5

Peak Hour Summary
4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

Out 0

In 0

# Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval	Nort	bound			South	bound		Eastb	ound			West	oound		
Start	Centra	Point R	d		Central	Point Ro	t	Blanc	het Dr			Blanc	het Dr		Interval
Time	Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total			Total	L		R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	1		0	1	1
4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	2		2	 		0	0		0	0	2
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	1
4:25 PM	1	0	1	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	2
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	1
4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	1
4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
4:55 PM	1	0	1	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	1
5:00 PM	1	0	1	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	1
5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	1
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:25 PM	2	0	2	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	2
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:35 PM	1	0	1	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	1
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	1		1			0	0		0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0		0	0	0
Total Survey	6	0	6	0	8		8			0	1		0	1	15

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start	North Central	<b>bound</b> Point R	d			<b>bound</b> Point Rd	Eastboun Blanchet [				bound het Dr		Interval
Time	Т	R	Total	L	T	Total		Total	L		R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	2	2		0	1		0	1	3
4:15 PM	1	0	1	0	2	2		0	0		0	0	3
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	1	1		0	0		0	0	1
4:45 PM	1	0	1	0	1	1		0	0		0	0	2
5:00 PM	1	0	1	0	1	1		0	0		0	0	2
5:15 PM	2	0	2	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	2
5:30 PM	1	0	1	0	0	0		0	0	l	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	1	1		0	0		0	0	1
Total Survey	6	0	6	0	8	8		0	1		0	1	15

#### Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

By			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			oound het Dr			bound het Dr	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	5	2	7	2	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
PHF	0.42			0.50			0.00			0.00			0.58

By Movement	(	Northi Central I		t			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d		ound het Dr			 oound het Dr		Total
Movement		Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total			Total	L	R	Total	
Volume		5	0	5	0	2		2			0	0	0	0	7
PHF		0.42	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.50		0.50			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58

# Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval	North	bound			South	bound	East	tbound		Westl	oound		
Start	Central	Point R	d		Central	Point Rd	Blan	chet Dr		Blanc	het Dr		Interval
Time	T	R	Total	L	T	Total		Total	L		R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	2	0	2	0	6	6		0	1		0	1	9
4:15 PM	3	0	3	0	5	5		0	0		0	0	8
4:30 PM	4	0	4	0	3	3		0	0		0	0	7
4:45 PM	5	0	5	0	2	2		0	0		0	0	7
5:00 PM	4	0	4	0	2	2		0	0		0	0	6


# **Peak Hour Summary**



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Blanchet Dr

4:55 PM to 5:55 PM Tuesday, April 04, 2017



Approach	PHF	HV%	Volume
EB	0.00	0.0%	0
WB	0.67	0.0%	8
NB	0.70	4.8%	104
SB	0.75	1.2%	163
Intersection	0.84	2.5%	275

Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Bikes 0

# **Total Vehicle Summary**




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

# Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval Start		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point R	d		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point R	d			oound dell Ave				bound dell Ave		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	0	7	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	8	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	17	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	0	15	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	20	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	28	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	0	15	0	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	27	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	0	14	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	21	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	16	0	0	3	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	38	1	0	0	0
7:35 AM	0	15	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:40 AM	0	17	1	0	1	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	47	1	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	22	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	34	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	0	13	0	0	1	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	32	0	0	0	0
7:55 AM	0	16	0	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	0	10	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	24	1	0	0	0
8:05 AM	0	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	15	2	0	0	0
8:10 AM	0	19	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	33	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	9	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	19	0	0	0	0
8:20 AM	0	12	0	0	1	12	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0
8:25 AM	0	7	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	5	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	0	11	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	23	0	0	0	0
8:40 AM	0	9	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	10	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	22	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	0	15	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	27	0	0	0	1
8:55 AM	0	10	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	0	305	3	0	14	213	5	0	13	0	1	0	10	1	33	0	598	5	0	0	2

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point R			South Central	<b>bound</b> Point R				oound dell Ave				oound lell Ave		Interval		Pedes Cross	strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	0	30	0	0	2	19	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	59	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	49	0	0	2	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	76	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	48	2	0	4	43	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	7	0	111	2	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	51	0	0	1	36	2	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	100	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	0	39	0	0	1	23	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	72	3	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	28	1	0	1	22	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	59	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	25	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	54	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	35	0	0	3	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	67	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	0	305	3	0	14	213	5	0	13	0	1	0	10	1	33	0	598	5	0	0	2

# Peak Hour Summary

#### 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

By			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1			ound lell Ave				oound lell Ave		Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	189	133	322	0	133	212	345	0	6	4	10	0	31	10	41	0	359
%HV		1.1	1%			4.5	5%			0.0	)%			3.:	2%		2.5%
PHF		0.	84			0.	69			0.	75			0.	60		0.79

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
5	0	0	1

By Movement		Northl Central I	<b>bound</b> Point Re	d		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d			ound lell Ave				oound lell Ave		Total
wovernent	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	0	187	2	189	8	122	3	133	5	0	1	6	10	11	20	31	359
%HV	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	12.5%	4.1%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	2.5%
PHF	0.00	0.87	0.25	0.84	0.50	0.71	0.38	0.69	0.63	0.00	0.25	0.75	0.36	0.25	0.71	0.60	0.79

# Rolling Hour Summary

### 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

	Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	oound			Westl	bound				Pedes	tr
	Start		Central	Point Re	d		Central	Point R	d		Hazelo	dell Ave			Hazelo	lell Ave		Interval		Cross	sw
	Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	Ē
ſ	7:00 AM	0	178	2	0	9	118	4	0	8	0	0	0	10	0	17	0	346	2	0	П
	7:15 AM	0	187	2	0	8	122	3	0	5	0	1	0	10	1	20	0	359	5	0	Ī
	7:30 AM	0	166	3	0	7	124	4	0	8	0	1	0	9	1	19	0	342	5	0	
ı	7:45 AM	0	143	1	0	3	105	3	0	6	0	1	0	5	1	17	0	285	3	0	Ι
	8:00 AM	0	127	1	0	5	95	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	16	0	252	3	0	

	Pedes	strians									
	Cross	swalk									
North South East West											
2	0	0	1								
5	0	0	1								
5	0	0	1								
3	0	0	1								



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Out In 6 2

Peak Hour Summary
7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

Out 0

In 0

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	oound			Westl	oound		
Start		Central	Point Ro	t		Central	Point Ro	d		Hazelo	dell Ave			Hazeld	lell Ave		Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
7:35 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:40 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:55 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:10 AM	0	1	0	11	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:15 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:20 AM	0	1	0	11	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
8:25 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:40 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	0	5	0	5	1	9	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	16

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		North Central	bound	ч		South Central	bound	4			ound lell Ave				oound lell Ave		Interval
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:15 AM	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
8:30 AM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	0	5	0	5	1	9	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	16

## Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM

By			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			oound lell Ave			bound dell Ave	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	2	6	8	6	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	2	9
PHF	0.50			0.38			0.00			0.25			0.38

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	4		Eastb Hazeld	ound lell Ave			West! Hazeld	oound lell Ave		Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	9
PHF	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.25	0.42	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.38

## Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		North Central		t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d			oound lell Ave			West! Hazeld			Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	7
7:15 AM	0	2	0	2	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	9
7:30 AM	0	3	0	3	1	6	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	11
7:45 AM	0	4	0	4	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
8:00 AM	0	4	0	4	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM Wednesday, April 05, 2017 Central Point Rd **Bikes** 0 133 212 3 122 8 Ľ Ψ 4 Peds 5 Hazeldell Ave Bikes 0 20 4 1 31 10 Ľ Peds 5 0 10 1 4 Bikes 0 Hazeldell Ave Peds 0 **K** 1 7 0 187 2 Central Point Rd 133 189 Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.75 0.0% 6 WB 0.60 3.2% 31 189 NB 0.84 1.1% SB 0.69 4.5% 133 Intersection 0.79 2.5% 359 Count Period: 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	ound			Westl	oound				Pedes	trians	
Start		Central	Point Ro	d		Central I	Point Re	db		Hazelo	lell Ave			Hazelo	lell Ave		Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	0	7	0	0	0	11	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	0	6	0	0	2	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	0	7	0	1	1	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	1	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	5	0	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	18	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	0	5	0	0	3	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	31	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	7	0	0	2	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	23	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	9	0	0	2	15	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	11	0	29	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	11	0	0	4	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	8	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	1	6	1	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	22	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	13	0	0	1	21	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	10	0	0	1	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	28	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	0	16	0	0	0	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	0	10	0	0	2	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	39	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	17	0	0	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	35	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	9	0	0	4	23	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	38	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	0	12	0	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	25	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	9	11	0	2	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	25	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	9	1	0	3	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	0	9	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	9	0	0	3	16	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	30	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	6	1	0	3	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	38	0	0	1	0
5:55 PM	0	4	0	0	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	1	204	4	1	46	350	13	2	9	1	0	0	4	2	16	0	650	0	0	1	0

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Central	<b>oound</b> Point R			South Central					oound lell Ave				<b>bound</b> lell Ave		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	0	20	0	1	3	38	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	10	0	0	6	48	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	69	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	27	0	0	8	42	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	83	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	1	27	1	0	5	36	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	75	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	36	0	0	3	47	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	96	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	38	0	0	9	47	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	98	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	27	2	0	5	42	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	79	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	19	1	0	7	50	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	84	0	0	1	0
Total Survey	1	204	4	1	46	350	13	2	9	1	0	0	4	2	16	0	650	0	0	1	0

#### Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

By		North Central		t			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1			oound lell Ave				oound lell Ave		Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	132	200	332	0	230	142	372	1	4	10	14	0	13	27	40	0	379
%HV		3.8	3%			1.3	3%			0.0	0%			0.0	0%		2.1%
PHF		0.	3.8% 0.77				81			0.	50			0.	81		0.85

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
0	0	1	0

Bv			bound				bound			Eastb	ound			West	oound		
Movement	(	Central I	Point R	d		Central I	Point R	b		Hazeld	lell Ave			Hazeld	lell Ave		Total
Wovernent	١	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	
Volume	0	129	3	132	24	197	9	230	4	0	0	4	3	1	9	13	379
%HV	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
PHF	0.00	0.75	0.38	0.77	0.67	0.79	0.32	0.81	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.75	0.25	0.75	0.81	0.85

#### Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	oound			West	bound				Pedes	strians	
Start		Central	Point R	d		Central	Point R	d		Hazelo	dell Ave			Hazeld	dell Ave		Interval		Cros	swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	Wes
4:00 PM	1	84	1	1	22	164	5	1	6	1	0	0	1	1	7	0	293	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	1	100	1	0	22	173	10	1	3	1	0	0	1	1	10	0	323	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	1	128	1	0	25	172	9	1	4	1	0	0	1	1	9	0	352	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	1	128	3	0	22	172	7	1	4	0	0	0	2	1	8	0	348	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	120	3	0	24	186	8	1	3	0	0	0	3	1	9	0	357	0	0	1	0



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM 0 3 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 5 0 Out In 3 5 Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

Out 0

In 0

Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	7		South Central	bound Point R	d			oound lell Ave				bound lell Ave		Interva
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:25 PM	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	1	0	. 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	0	7	0	7	1	7	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North Central	bound	d		South Central	bound				ound lell Ave				oound lell Ave		lata a sal
Start		Central	POINT RO	u		Central	POIII K			падек	ieli Ave	,		падею	eli Ave	,	Interval
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
4:15 PM	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:45 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5:15 PM	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
5:30 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	0	7	0	7	1	7	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

#### Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			ound lell Ave			bound dell Ave	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	ln	Out	Total	
Volume	5	3	8	3	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
PHF	0.42			0.38			0.00			0.00			0.67

By Movement		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	i			ound lell Ave			West! Hazeld	oound ell Ave		Total
Movement	ل ا	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	١	T	R	Total	
Volume	0	5	0	5	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
PHF	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67

#### Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Central		t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d			oound lell Ave			West! Hazeld			Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	3	0	3	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
4:15 PM	0	2	0	2	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
4:30 PM	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4:45 PM	0	5	0	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5:00 PM	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Central Point Rd & Hazeldell Ave 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM Tuesday, April 04, 2017 Central Point Rd **Bikes** 230 142 9 197 24 Ľ Ψ 4 Peds 0 Hazeldell Ave Bikes 0 9 10 1 13 3 Ľ 0 Peds 4 27 0 0 4 Bikes 0 Hazeldell Ave Peds 0 **K** 1 7 0 129 3 Central Point Rd 200 132 Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.50 0.0% WB 0.81 0.0% 13 132 NB 0.77 3.8% SB 0.81 1.3% 230 Intersection 0.85 2.1% 379 Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval			bound			South				Eastk	ound			Westl					Pedes	strians	
Start		Central	Point R	d		Central I	Point Ro	db		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	′	Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	0	10	0	0	0	6	2	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	16	0	0	0	5	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	0	12	0	0	0	8	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	0	12	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	17	0	0	2	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0
7:35 AM	0	13	0	0	0	1	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
7:40 AM	0	16	0	0	0	10	12	0	2	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	2	16	0	0	0	7	3	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	0	10	0	0	0	11	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	1
7:55 AM	0	15	0	0	0	14	3	0	111	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	34	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	8	0	0	1	9	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	0	10	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	1	0	17	0	0	1	0
8:10 AM	0	16	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	3	0
8:15 AM	0	8	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
8:20 AM	0	10	0	0	0	10	2	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
8:25 AM	0	9	0	0	0	4	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	2	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	0	9	0	0	0	8	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0
8:40 AM	0	10	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	7	0	0	0	7	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	0	12	0	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	1	8	0	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	17	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	3	255	0	0	4	160	57	0	48	0	7	0	0	0	4	0	538	0	0	4	1

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			oound				bound				ound				oound					trians	
Start		Central I	Point R	d		Central	Point R	d		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	/	Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	0	19	0	0	0	15	3	0	12	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	52	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	40	0	0	1	17	4	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	46	0	0	2	17	26	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	96	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	2	41	0	0	0	32	10	0	9	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	97	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	0	34	0	0	1	21	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	63	0	0	4	0
8:15 AM	0	27	0	0	0	17	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	21	0	0	0	21	4	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	1	27	0	0	0	20	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	58	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	3	255	0	0	4	160	57	0	48	0	7	0	0	0	4	0	538	0	0	4	1

#### Peak Hour Summary 7:10 AM to 8:10 AM

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1		Eastk Skellen	oound ger Way	,			<b>bound</b> ger Way	,	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	157	90	247	0	132	187	319	0	35	45	80	0	2	4	6	0	326
%HV		0.6	5%			3.	0%			0.0	0%			0.	0%		1.5%
PHF		0.	84			0.	70			0.	67			0.	25		0.78

	reues	ulalis	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
0	0	1	1

By Movement		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Re	d	(	South Central	<b>bound</b> Point R	d		Easth Skelleng	ound ger Way	,		Westl Skelleng		,	Total
wovernent	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	2	155	0	157	4	85	43	132	30	0	5	35	0	0	2	2	326
%HV	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	25.0%	2.4%	2.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
PHF	0.25	0.84	0.00	0.84	0.33	0.63	0.41	0.70	0.63	0.00	0.42	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.78

### Rolling Hour Summary

#### 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	oound			Westl	bound				Pedes	trians
Start		Central	Point Ro	t		Central	Point Ro	d		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	,	Interval		Cross	swalk
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East
7:00 AM	2	146	0	0	3	81	43	0	32	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	314	0	0	0
7:15 AM	2	161	0	0	4	87	42	0	23	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	325	0	0	4
7:30 AM	2	148	0	0	3	87	43	0	20	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	309	0	0	4
7:45 AM	2	123	0	0	1	91	21	0	19	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	263	0	0	4
8:00 AM	1	109	0	0	1	79	14	0	16	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	224	0	0	4

7		_		
ı		Pedes	strians	
ı		Cros	swalk	
ı	North	South	East	West
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	4	1
]	0	0	4	1
1	0	0	4	1
1				-



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Out In 2 1

Peak Hour Summary 7:10 AM to 8:10 AM

Out 1

In 0

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound			South				Easth					oound		
Start		Central				Central				Skellen				Skellen		,	Interval
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:35 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:40 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:55 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:10 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8:20 AM	0	1	0	11	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
8:25 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:35 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:40 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:50 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	0	4	0	4	1	7	1	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound				bound				oound				bound		
Start		Central	Point Ro	a		Central	Point R	<b>a</b>		Skellen	ger vvay	<u>/</u>		Skellen	ger vvay	/	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8:15 AM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
8:30 AM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
8:45 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	0	4	0	4	1	7	1	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14

## Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:10 AM to 8:10 AM

By			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>bound</b> Point Rd			<b>oound</b> ger Way		Westl Skellen	oound ger Way	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	1	2	3	4	1	5	0	1	1	0	1	1	5
PHF	0.25			0.33			0.00			0.00			0.31

By Movement		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	4		Eastb Skelleng	<b>ound</b> ger Way	,		West! Skellen		,	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	0	. 1	0	1	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
PHF	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.25	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31

## Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		North Central		t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d		Eastk Skellen	ound ger Way	,		Westk Skelleng		,	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
7:15 AM	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
7:30 AM	0	1	0	1	1	5	1	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
7:45 AM	0	2	0	2	0	6	0	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
8:00 AM	0	3	0	3	0	5	0	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way 7:10 AM to 8:10 AM Wednesday, April 05, 2017 Central Point Rd **Bikes** 132 187 43 85 4 Ľ Peds 0 Skellenger Way Bikes 0 2 45 0 2 0 Peds 30 0 5 4 Bikes 0 Skellenger Way Peds 0 **K** 1 7 2 0 Central Point Rd 90 157 Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.67 0.0% 35 WB 0.25 0.0% 2 NB 0.84 0.6% 157 SB 0.70 3.0% 132 Intersection 0.78 1.5% 326 Count Period: 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval			bound			South					ound			Westl					Pedes	strians	
Start		Central	Point R	d		Central I	Point R	d		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	′	Interval		Cros	swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	0	5	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	1	5	0	0	0	15	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	0	7	0	111	0	12	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	1	11	0	0	0	14	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	5	0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	0	4	0	0	0	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	8	0	0	0	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	6	0	0	0	12	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	2	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	11	0	0	0	12	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	6	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	0	6	0	0	0	8	1	0	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	1	8	0	0	0	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	10	0	0	0	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	0	14	0	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	0	10	0	0	0	21	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	16	0	0	0	13	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	8	0	0	0	21	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	0	11	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	1	8	0	0	0	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	9	0	0	0	12	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	0	8	0	0	0	15	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	7	0	0	0	17	1	0	2	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	6	0	0	0	21	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	3	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	4	192	0	1	0	304	49	2	32	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	586	2	0	0	1

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Central	<b>oound</b> Point R			South Central				Eastl Skellen	oound ger Wa	,		Westl	<b>bound</b> ger Way	,	Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	1	17	0	1	0	33	6	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	1	20	0	0	0	38	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	25	0	0	0	32	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	2	0	0	0
4:45 PM	1	20	0	0	0	35	4	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	34	0	0	0	41	5	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	85	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	35	0	0	0	39	8	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	1	25	0	0	0	37	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	16	0	0	0	49	4	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	4	192	0	1	0	304	49	2	32	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	586	2	0	0	1

#### Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	t			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1		Easth Skelleng	<b>ound</b> ger Way	,			<b>bound</b> ger Way	,	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	117	176	293	0	199	132	331	1	19	28	47	0	1	0	1	0	336
%HV		4.3%				2.0	0%			5.3	3%			0.0	0%		3.0%
PHF		4.3% 0.73				0.	79			0.	79			0.	25		0.82

	Pedes	trians												
Crosswalk														
North	South	East	West											
0	0	0	1											

Ву		North Central	bound	ч		South Central	bound	Ч		Eastb Skellend	ound	,		West! Skellen	oound	,	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
Volume	2	115	0	117	0	174	25	199	17	0	2	19	0	1	0	1	336
%HV	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
PHF	0.50	0.72	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.79	0.78	0.79	0.85	0.00	0.50	0.79	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.82

### Rolling Hour Summary

### 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	oound			West	bound				Pedes	strians
Start		Central	Point R	d		Central	Point R	d		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	/	Interval		Cros	swalk
Time	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East
4:00 PM	3	82	0	1	0	138	26	1	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	267	2	0	0
4:15 PM	2	99	0	0	0	146	25	1	15	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	290	2	0	0
4:30 PM	1	114	0	0	0	147	24	1	19	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	308	2	0	0
4:45 PM	2	114	0	0	0	152	23	1	18	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	312	0	0	0
5:00 PM	1	110	0	0	0	166	23	1	16	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	319	0	0	0



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Out 0

ln 1

Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastk	ound			Westl	oound		
Start		Central	Point Ro	b		Central	Point Ro	d		Skellen	ger Way	/		Skellen	ger Way	,	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:00 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5:25 PM	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	0	6	0	6	0	7	1	8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	16

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Central	bound	4		South Central	bound	4		Eastk Skellen	ound	,		Westl Skellen	oound	,	Interval
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
4:15 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5:00 PM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
5:15 PM	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	. 1	0	0	0	0	3
5:30 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Survey	0	6	0	6	0	7	1	8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	16

#### Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM

Bv		North	bound		South	bound		Eastl	ound		Westl	oound	
		Central Point Rd			Central	Point Rd		Skellen	ger Way		Skellen	ger Way	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	5	4	9	4	6	10	1	0	1	0	0	0	10
PHF	0.42			0.50			0.25			0.00			0.83

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	d			<b>bound</b> Point Ro	4		Eastb Skelleng	<b>ound</b> ger Way	,		West! Skellen		,	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	0	5	0	5	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
PHF	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83

#### Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Eastk	ound			Westl	oound		
Start		Central	Point Ro	d		Central	Point Ro	d		Skellen	ger Way	'		Skelleng	ger Way	,	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	2	0	2	0	3	1	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
4:15 PM	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
4:30 PM	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
4:45 PM	0	5	0	5	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
5:00 PM	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 Central Point Rd & Skellenger Way 4:55 PM to 5:55 PM Tuesday, April 04, 2017 Central Point Rd **Bikes** 199 132 25 174 0 Ľ Peds 0 Skellenger Way Bikes 0 0 28 1 1 0 17 19 0 0 2 4 Bikes 0 Skellenger Way Peds 0 **K** 1 7 2 115 0 Central Point Rd 176 117 Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.79 5.3% 19 WB 0.25 0.0% 1 NB 0.73 4.3% 117 SB 0.79 2.0% 199 Intersection 3.0% 336 Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval		Northbo				nbound			Eastb					bound					strians	
Start		Central Poi	int Ro	<u> </u>	Central	Point Rd		V	/arner F	arrott F	ld	V	Varner F	Parrott R	d	Interval	Í L	Cross	swalk	
Time	L		R	Bikes		В	ikes		Т	R	Bikes	L	Т		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	Wes
7:00 AM	2		30	0			0		22	1	0	8	14		0	77	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	2		22	0			0		29	1	0	4	10		0	68	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	4		24	0			0		30	1	0	10	8		0	77	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	4		23	0			0		26	0	0	6	13		0	72	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	3		19	0			0		43	0	0	6	15	[	0	86	0	. 1	0	0
7:25 AM	4		21	0			0		33	1	0	9	18		0	86	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	4		24	0			0		22	0	0	11	18		0	79	0	0	0	0
7:35 AM	5		29	0			0		22	0	0	7	23		0	86	0	1	0	0
7:40 AM	4		27	0			0		25	0	0	8	13		0	77	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	8		27	0			0		24	0	0	7	21		0	87	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	2		21	0			0		36	1	0	9	20		0	89	0	1	0	2
7:55 AM	1		19	0			0		35	1	0	5	18		0	79	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	1		23	0			0		22	0	0	9	15		1	70	0	0	0	0
8:05 AM	5		16	0			0		25	0	0	5	12		0	63	0	1	0	0
8:10 AM	3		22	0			0		18	1	0	6	14		0	64	0	1	0	0
8:15 AM	1		21	0			0		32	0	0	7	25		0	86	0	4	0	0
8:20 AM	4		21	0			0		16	0	0	12	22		0	75	0	0	0	0
8:25 AM	5		24	0			0		27	0	0	6	18		0	80	0	1	0	0
8:30 AM	4		18	0			0		33	1	0	12	16		0	84	0	2	0	0
8:35 AM	3		19	0			0		24	0	0	5	22		0	73	0	1	0	0
8:40 AM	1		15	0			0		20	0	0	16	20		0	72	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	3		19	0			0		25	2	0	12	21		0	82	0	1	0	0
8:50 AM	2		20	0			0		28	1	0	12	23		0	86	0	0	0	0
8:55 AM	1		19	0			0		33	1	0	10	26		0	90	0	0	0	0
Total Survev	76		523	0			0		650	12	0	202	425		1	1,888	0	14	0	2

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		Northbour Central Point		Southbound Central Point Rd		Eastb Warner F		Rd	٧	Westb Varner P	ound arrott Rd	Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	R	Bikes		Bikes	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	8	76	0		0	81	3	0	22	32	0	222	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	11	63	0		0	102	1	0	21	46	0	244	0	1	0	0
7:30 AM	13	80	0		0	69	0	0	26	54	0	242	0	1	0	0
7:45 AM	11	67	0		0	95	2	0	21	59	0	255	0	1	0	2
8:00 AM	9	6	0		0	65	1	0	20	41	1	197	0	2	0	0
8:15 AM	10	66	0		0	75	0	0	25	65	0	241	0	5	0	0
8:30 AM	8	52	0		0	77	1	0	33	58	0	229	0	3	0	0
8:45 AM	6	58	0		0	86	4	0	34	70	0	258	0	1	0	0
Total Survey	76	52	3 0		0	650	12	0	202	425	1	1,888	0	14	0	2

#### Peak Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

By		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	1	٧		oound Parrott R	d	٧	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott R	d	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	329	96	425	0	0	0	0	0	353	234	587	0	281	633	914	0	963
%HV		0.9	9%			0.0	0%			2.3	3%			6.0	0%		2.9%
PHF		0.	82			0.	00			0.	86			0.	82		0.94

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
0	3	0	2

By Movement		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Re	d		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point R		V	Eastb Varner F	ound Parrott F	Rd	v	Westk Varner F	oound Parrott F	Rd	Total
Wovement	L		R	Total				Total		Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total	
Volume	43		286	329				0		347	6	353	90	191		281	963
%HV	2.3%	NA	0.7%	0.9%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	NA	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%	6.7%	5.8%	NA	6.0%	2.9%
PHF	0.63		0.86	0.82				0.00		0.85	0.50	0.86	0.83	0.81		0.82	0.94

# Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		Northi	bound		South	bound		Eastb	ound			West	oound				Pedes	strians	
Start		Central I	Point Ro	t	Central	Point Rd	V	Varner F	arrott F	₹d	V	Varner F	Parrott R	d	Interval		Cros	swalk	
Time	L		R	Bikes		Bikes		T	R	Bikes	L	T		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	43		286	0		0		347	6	0	90	191		0	963	0	3	0	2
7:15 AM	44		271	0		0		331	4	0	88	200		1	938	0	5	0	2
7:30 AM	43		274	0		0		304	3	0	92	219		1	935	0	9	0	2
7:45 AM	38		246	0		0		312	4	0	99	223		1	922	0	11	0	2
8:00 AM	33		237	0		0		303	6	0	112	234		1	925	0	11	0	0



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

8 + O T Peak Hour Summary

Out 12

In 8

Peak Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		North Central		,	South	<b>bound</b> Point Ro		١٨	Eastb		d	V	Westl Varner F	bound	14	Interval
Time		Central	R	Total	 entia	- OIIIL INC	Total	V	T	R	Total	v	T	anoun	Total	Total
	_ r											L		_		Total
7:00 AM	0		1	1	 		0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1
7:05 AM	0		0	0	 		0		1	0	1	0	1	ļ	1	2
7:10 AM	0		0	0	 		0		1	0	1	0	0		0	11
7:15 AM	0		0	0	 		0		0	0	0	2	2		4	4
7:20 AM	0		1	1	 		0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1
7:25 AM	0		0	0	 		0		2	0	2	2	111	ļ	3	5
7:30 AM	0		0	0	 		0		1	0	1	1	11		2	3
7:35 AM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	1		1	1
7:40 AM	1		0	1	 		0		1	0	1	0	1	ļ	11	3
7:45 AM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	1	2		3	3
7:50 AM	0		0	0			0		11	0	1	0	1		1	2
7:55 AM	0		0	0			0		11	0	1	0	11		1	2
8:00 AM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	2	2		4	4
8:05 AM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	2	İ	2	2
8:10 AM	0		1	1			0		2	0	2	0	0		0	3
8:15 AM	0		0	0			0		1	0	1	0	0		0	1
8:20 AM	0		2	2			0		1	0	1	2	1		3	6
8:25 AM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0
8:30 AM	0		2	2			0		1	0	1	2	0		2	5
8:35 AM	0		1	1			0		1	0	1	0	1		1	3
8:40 AM	0		0	0			0		1	0	1	0	0		0	1
8:45 AM	0		2	2			0		1	0	1	0	1		1	4
8:50 AM	0		0	0			0		2	0	2	0	1		1	3
8:55 AM	0		1	1			0		0	0	0	0	2		2	3
Total Survey	1		11	12			0		18	0	18	12	21		33	63

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		orthbound tral Point R	d	Southbour Central Point		Eastk Warner F	oound Parrott F	₹d	٧		oound Parrott Rd	Interval
Time	L	R	Total		Total	T	R	Total	L	Т	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	1	1		0	2	0	2	0	1	1	4
7:15 AM	0	1	1		0	2	0	2	4	3	7	10
7:30 AM	1	0	1		0	2	0	2	1	3	4	7
7:45 AM	0	0	0		0	2	0	2	1	4	5	7
8:00 AM	0	1	1		0	2	0	2	2	4	6	9
8:15 AM	0	2	2		0	2	0	2	2	1	3	7
8:30 AM	0	3	3		0	3	0	3	2	1	3	9
8:45 AM	0	3	3		0	3	0	3	0	4	4	10
Total Survey	1	11	12		0	18	0	18	12	21	33	63

## Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

Bv			bound			bound			ound			oound	
,		Central Point Rd			Central	Point Rd	V	√arner F	Parrott Rd	V	Varner F	Parrott Rd	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	3	6	9	0	0	0	8	12	20	17	10	27	28
PHF	0.75			0.00			0.67			0.61			0.70

By Movement		Northi Central I	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	t	(	 <b>bound</b> Point Ro	ı	V		ound Parrott R	ld.	V	Westk Varner F	 d	Total
Movement	L		R	Total			Total		Т	R	Total	L	Т	Total	
Volume	1		2	3			0		8	0	8	6	11	17	28
PHF	0.25		0.50	0.75			0.00		0.67	0.00	0.67	0.38	0.69	0.61	0.70

## Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

		J. O O J I														
Interval		North	bound		Sou	thbound			Easth	ound			West	bound		
Start		Central I	Point R	d	Centr	al Point R	d	V	Varner F	Parrott R	Rd.	١	Namer F	Parrott R	d	Interval
Time	L		R	Total			Total		Т	R	Total	L	T		Total	Total
7:00 AM	1		2	3			0		8	0	8	6	11		17	28
7:15 AM	1		2	3			0		8	0	8	8	14		22	33
7:30 AM	1		3	4			0		8	0	8	6	12		18	30
7:45 AM	0		6	6			0		9	0	9	7	10		17	32
8:00 AM	0		9	9			0		10	0	10	6	10		16	35

#### **Peak Hour Summary**




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### **Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd**

7:00 AM to 8:00 AM Wednesday, April 05, 2017

Bikes






Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval		Northboun	d	So	uthbound			Eastb	ound			Westl	bound				Pedes	strians	
Start	(	Central Point	Rd	Cent	tral Point Rd		V	/arner P	arrott R	ld	٧	Varner F	Parrott R	d	Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	R	Bike	5	E	Bikes		Т	R	Bikes	L	Т		Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	0	6	0			0		49	2	0	17	36		0	110	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	0	9	0			0		37	0	0	31	29		0	106	0	1	0	0
4:10 PM	1	22	0			0		23	3	0	29	41		0	119	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	1	16				0		35	4	0	34	38		0	128	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	1	15	0			0		33	2	0	29	25		0	105	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	2	11	0			0		28	3	0	21	22		0	87	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	1	19	0			0		15	0	0	25	28		0	88	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	1	15	0			0		26	2	0	25	36		0	105	0	1	0	0
4:40 PM	2	21				0		23	3	0	25	25		0	99	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	1	19	0			0		32	4	0	23	43		0	122	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	3	17	0			0		27	1	0	35	30		0	113	0	1	0	0
4:55 PM	2	24	0			0		24	5	0	40	26		0	121	0	1	0	0
5:00 PM	0	16	0			0		21	2	0	31	37		0	107	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	1	10	0			0		33	0	0	38	50		11	132	0	2	0	0
5:10 PM	2	14	0			0		15	2	0	36	32		0	101	0	0	0	1
5:15 PM	2	13	0			0		36	4	0	28	39		0	122	0	0	0	1
5:20 PM	2	18				0		30	3	0	42	34		0	129	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	0	19	0			0		33	1	0	26	28		0	107	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	3	21				0		28	4	0	13	33		0	102	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	1	12				0		36	1	0	27	48		0	125	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	1	20	0			0		26	2	0	33	40		0	122	0	1	0	0
5:45 PM	1	18				0		33	1	0	45	26		0	124	0	2	0	0
5:50 PM	1	18				0		25	1	0	35	28		0	108	0	0	0	2
5:55 PM	1	13	0			0		30	6	0	21	40		0	111	0	0	0	0
Total Survey	30	38	6 1			0		698	56	0	709	814		1	2,693	0	9	0	4

#### 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northbound Central Point F		Southbound Central Point Rd	<b>Eastk</b> Warner F	oound Parrott F	₹d	V	Westbe Varner Pa		Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	R	Bikes	Bikes	T	R	Bikes	L	T	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	1	37	0	0	109	5	0	77	106	0	335	0	1	0	0
4:15 PM	4	42	1	0	96	9	0	84	85	0	320	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	4	55	0	0	64	5	0	75	89	0	292	0	1	0	0
4:45 PM	6	60	0	0	83	10	0	98	99	0	356	0	2	0	0
5:00 PM	3	40	0	0	69	4	0	105	119	1	340	0	2	0	1
5:15 PM	4	50	0	0	99	8	0	96	101	0	358	0	0	0	1
5:30 PM	5	53	0	0	90	7	0	73	121	0	349	0	1	0	0
5:45 PM	3	49	0	0	88	8	0	101	94	0	343	0	2	0	2
Total Survey	30	386	1	0	698	56	0	709	814	1	2,693	0	9	0	4

#### Peak Hour Summary 4:50 PM to 5:50 PM

Ву	s a b		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	t		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point Ro	ı	٧		oound Parrott R	d	٧	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott R	d	Total
Approa	acm	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volum	ne	220	420	640	0	0	0	0	0	368	441	809	0	817	544	1,361	1	1,405
%HV	/		3.2	2%			0.0	0%			1.6	6%			0.6	5%		1.3%
PHF		/	0.	87			0.	00			0.	86			0.	91		0.95

ı		reues	ulalis	
ı		Cross	swalk	
ı	North	South	East	West
1	0	7	0	2
1				

By Movement		North Central	<b>bound</b> Point Re	d		South Central	<b>bound</b> Point R		٧	Eastb Varner F	ound Parrott F	Rd	v	Westh Varner F		Rd	Total
Movement	L		R	Total				Total		Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total	
Volume	18		202	220				0		342	26	368	394	423		817	1,405
%HV	0.0%	NA	3.5%	3.2%	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	NA	1.8%	0.0%	1.6%	1.0%	0.2%	NA	0.6%	1.3%
PHF	0.75		0.87	0.87				0.00		0.86	0.72	0.86	0.90	0.87		0.91	0.95

#### Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval		North	oound		So	uthbound			Eastb	ound			Westl	oound				Pedes	trians	
Start		Central I	Point Ro	d	Cen	ral Point R	ld	V	Varner P	arrott R	ld.	V	Varner F	Parrott Rd		Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L		R	Bikes			Bikes		Т	R	Bikes	L	T	E	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	15		194	1			0		352	29	0	334	379		0	1,303	0	4	0	0
4:15 PM	17		197	1			0		312	28	0	362	392		1	1,308	0	5	0	1
4:30 PM	17		205	0			0		315	27	0	374	408		1	1,346	0	5	0	2
4:45 PM	18		203	0			0		341	29	0	372	440		1	1,403	0	5	0	2
5:00 PM	15		192	0			0		346	27	0	375	435		1	1,390	0	5	0	4



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Out 1

In 6

Peak Hour Summary 4:50 PM to 5:50 PM

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northi Central I		4		<b>bound</b> Point Ro		W	Eastb		2d	V		<b>bound</b> Parrott R	'd	Interval
Time	L	Centiai	R	Total	 Jentiai	OIIII IXC	Total		T	R	Total	L	T	l	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0		1	1			0		2	0	2	0	0	-	0	3
4:05 PM	0		1	1	 		0		1	0	1	0	0	<del> </del>	0	2
4:10 PM	0		0	0	 		0		2	0	2	0	1	·	1	3
4:15 PM	0		0	0	 		0		0	0	0	1	1		2	2
4:20 PM	0		0	0	 		0		0	0	0	1	1	i	2	2
4:25 PM	0		1	1			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1
4:30 PM	0		3	3			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	3
4:35 PM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	2		2	2
4:40 PM	0		0	0			0		1	0	1	1	0		1	2
4:45 PM	0		0	0			0		1	0	1	1	0	I	1	2
4:50 PM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0
4:55 PM	0		2	2			0		2	0	2	0	0		0	4
5:00 PM	0		1	1			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1
5:05 PM	0		11	1			0		0	0	0	1	0		1	2
5:10 PM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	1	0		1	1
5:15 PM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0
5:20 PM	0		0	0			0		11	0	1	1	1	<u> </u>	2	3
5:25 PM	0		0	0			0		11	0	1	1	0		1	2
5:30 PM	0		2	2			0		1	0	11	0	0		0	3
5:35 PM	0		0	0	 		0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0
5:40 PM	0		1	1	 		0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1
5:45 PM	0		0	0	 		0		11	0	1	0	0		0	11
5:50 PM	0		0	0	 		0		11	0	1	0	0	ļ	0	1
5:55 PM	0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Total Survey	0		13	13			0		14	0	14	8	6		14	41

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northbound entral Point I		Southbou Central Poir		Eastk Warner F	oound Parrott F	₹d	١		oound Parrott Rd	Interval
Time	L	R	Total		Total	T	R	Total	L	Т	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	2	2		0	5	0	5	0	1	1	8
4:15 PM	0	1	1		0	0	0	0	2	2	4	5
4:30 PM	0	3	3		0	1	0	1	1	2	3	7
4:45 PM	0	2	2		0	3	0	3	1	0	1	6
5:00 PM	0	2	2		0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
5:15 PM	0	0	0		0	2	0	2	2	1	3	5
5:30 PM	0	3	3		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
5:45 PM	0	0	0		0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total Survey	0	13	13		0	14	0	14	8	6	14	41

#### Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:50 PM to 5:50 PM

Bv			bound			bound			oound			oound	
,		Central	Point Rd		Central	Point Rd	V	Varner F	Parrott Rd	V	Varner F	Parrott Rd	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	7	4	11	0	0	0	6	1	7	5	13	18	18
PHF	0.44			0.00			0.50			0.42			0.56

By Movement		 <b>bound</b> Point Ro	d	(	 <b>bound</b> Point Ro	i	٧	Eastb Varner F	oound Parrott R	ld.	V	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott R	d	Total
Movement	L	R	Total			Total		Т	R	Total	L	Т		Total	
Volume	0	7	7			0		6	0	6	4	. 1		5	18
PHF	0.00	0.44	0.44			0.00		0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.25		0.42	0.56

#### Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		Northi Central I		d	<b>hbound</b> I Point Rd	l	٧		ound Parrott R	ld.	١	Westl Varner F	bound Parrott Ro	i	Interval
Time	L		R	Total		Total		T	R	Total	L	Т		Total	Total
4:00 PM	0		8	8		0		9	0	9	4	5		9	26
4:15 PM	0		8	8		0		4	0	4	6	4		10	22
4:30 PM	0		7	7		0		6	0	6	6	3		9	22
4:45 PM	0		7	7		0		6	0	6	5	1		6	19
5:00 PM	0		5	5		0		5	0	5	4	1		5	15

### **Peak Hour Summary**




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### **Central Point Rd & Warner Parrott Rd**

4:50 PM to 5:50 PM Tuesday, April 04, 2017

Bikes 0






Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### **Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd**

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM



Interval		North				South				Eastb				Westk					Pedes		
Start		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelan			V	Varner F			V	Varner F		,	Interval	l	Cross		
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	3	25	12	0	6	3	3	0	5	46	3	1	4	15	5	0	130	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	1	24	10	0	7	3	1	0	8	37	. 7	0	1	12	7	0	118	0	0	0	0
7:10 AM	6	20	3	0	10	4	2	0	7	42	5	0	0	10	6	0	115	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	6	32	11	0	10	6	2	0	7	40	3	0	1	11	3	0	132	0	0	0	0
7:20 AM	4	38	6	0	10	5	2	0	9	40	7	0	3	15	5	0	144	0	0	0	0
7:25 AM	8	21	8	0	8	1	0	0	7	45	5	0	4	19	4	0	130	0	1	0	0
7:30 AM	9	23	2	0	9	.5	3	0	6	38	3	0	3	19	4	0	124	1	0	0	0
7:35 AM	12	27	10	0	2	8	2	0	10	39	3	0	0	14	3	0	130	11	0	0	11
7:40 AM	2	34	10	0	12	10	5	0	11	33	6	0	2	14	4	0	143	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	9	35	6	0	5	11	2	0	13	30	5	0	1	17	6	0	140	0	0	0	0
7:50 AM	8	23	13	0	7	10	2	0	10	48	4	0	3	19	4	0	151	0	2	0	0
7:55 AM	10	21	11	0	10	6	4	0	5	37	9	0	4	11	7	0	135	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	6	24	9	0	13	10	4	0	9	35	3	0	5	12	5	0	135	1	1	0	2
8:05 AM	3	23	6	0	6	8	0	1	10	31	5	0	0	13	7	0	112	2	0	0	3
8:10 AM		14	/	0	8	10	5	0	12	22	4	0	2	8	6	0	105	0	3	2	0
8:15 AM	11	27	3	0	11	6	4	0	12	34	2	0	2	18	4	0	134	3	3	4	5
8:20 AM	3	33	6	0	<u>6</u> 7	7	6	0	14	26	0	0	2	24	7	0	134	1	0	00	3
8:25 AM	3	29	5	0			- 8 - 7	0	13	30	4	0	3	17	8	0	136 163	0	1	1	0
8:30 AM 8:35 AM	6 5	29 25	2	0	8 18	13 15	9	0	20 17	33 20	<u>4</u>	0	6 2	18 12	14 4	0	135	2	3	30	0
8:40 AM	6	25	5	0	14	15	10	0	5	25	3	0	4	22	8	0	142	1	0	2	0
8:40 AM 8:45 AM	5	13	9	0	13	11	4	0	3	40	4	0	2	22	5	0	131	0	3	0	0
8:45 AM 8:50 AM	7	15	4	0	10	5	6	0	4	36	3	0	3	22	6	0	121	1	0	0	1
8:55 AM	7	14	7	0	5	12	6	0	9	34	10	0	3	23	5	0	135	0	0	0	0
Total		14	<del>- '</del> -	- 0	J	12	0	U	9	- 34	10	U	3	23	3	U	135	U -	U	U	- 0
Survey	147	594	172	1	215	191	97	1	226	841	108	1	60	387	137	0	3,175	13	18	12	18

# 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound				bound			Eastk	ound			Westl	bound				Pedes	trians	
Start		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	Parrott F	₹d	V	Varner F	Parrott F	Rd	Interval		Cross	swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
7:00 AM	10	69	25	0	23	10	6	0	20	125	15	1	5	37	18	0	363	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	18	91	25	0	28	12	4	0	23	125	15	0	8	45	12	0	406	0	1	0	0
7:30 AM	23	84	22	0	23	23	10	0	27	110	12	0	5	47	11	0	397	2	0	0	1
7:45 AM	27	79	30	0	22	27	8	0	28	115	18	0	8	47	17	0	426	0	2	0	0
8:00 AM	16	61	22	0	27	28	9	1	31	88	12	0	7	33	18	0	352	3	4	2	5
8:15 AM	17	89	16	0	24	20	18	0	39	90	6	0	7	59	19	0	404	4	4	5	8
8:30 AM	17	79	12	1	40	43	26	0	42	78	13	0	12	52	26	0	440	3	4	5	3
8:45 AM	19	42	20	0	28	28	16	0	16	110	17	0	8	67	16	0	387	1	3	0	1
Total Survey	147	594	172	1	215	191	97	1	226	841	108	1	60	387	137	0	3,175	13	18	12	18

#### Peak Hour Summary 7:40 AM to 8:40 AM

Ву		North Lelar	oound nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧		oound Parrott R	:d	٧	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott R	d	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
Volume	475	197	672	1	280	539	819	1	577	312	889	0	291	575	866	0	1,623
%HV		3.8	3%			6.	1%			2.4	4%			7.9	9%		4.4%
PHF		0.	85			0.	76			0.	90			0.	73		0.93

	Pedes	trians	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
9	14	10	16

By Movement			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧	Eastb Varner F	ound Parrott F	₹d	٧	Westk Varner F		Rd	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	73	317	85	475	111	113	56	280	146	379	52	577	32	183	76	291	1,623
%HV	2.7%	4.4%	2.4%	3.8%	6.3%	6.2%	5.4%	6.1%	3.4%	2.4%	0.0%	2.4%	9.4%	7.1%	9.2%	7.9%	4.4%
PHF	0.68	0.86	0.64	0.85	0.84	0.81	0.58	0.76	0.73	0.79	0.72	0.90	0.67	0.78	0.66	0.73	0.93

### Rolling Hour Summary

#### 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	oound			Westl	bound			
Start		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	Parrott F	Rd	١	Varner F	Parrott F	Rd	Interval	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North
7:00 AM	78	323	102	0	96	72	28	0	98	475	60	1	26	176	58	0	1,592	2
7:15 AM	84	315	99	0	100	90	31	1	109	438	57	0	28	172	58	0	1,581	5
7:30 AM	83	313	90	0	96	98	45	1	125	403	48	0	27	186	65	0	1,579	9
7:45 AM	77	308	80	1	113	118	61	1	140	371	49	0	34	191	80	0	1,622	10
8:00 AM	69	271	70	1	119	119	69	1	128	366	48	0	34	211	79	0	1,583	11

		Pedes													
	North South East West														
1	2	3	0	1											
1	5	7	2	6											
	9	10	7	14											
1	10	14	12	16											
	11	15	12	17											



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

## Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Peak Hour Summary 7:40 AM to 8:40 AM

Out 18

In 14

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound				bound				ound				bound		
Start			nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	,		V	Varner F	Parrott F		Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	11	1	2	3
7:10 AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	11	0	1	0	0	11	1	4
7:15 AM	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	11	0	1	0	11	0	1	6
7:20 AM	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
7:25 AM	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	7
7:30 AM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	11	0	1	0	2	1	3	7
7:35 AM	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
7:40 AM	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:45 AM	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4	7
7:50 AM	1	1	0	2	11	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	11	1	6
7:55 AM	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
8:00 AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	6
8:05 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
8:10 AM	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	11	1	0	2	0	0	11	1	4
8:15 AM	0	1	0	1	11	0	0	1	111	11	0	2	0	0	11	1	5
8:20 AM	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	0	2	0	3	0	3	6
8:25 AM	0	5	1	6	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	9
8:30 AM	0	3	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	11	11	2	9
8:35 AM	0	1	0	11	2	5	0	7	111	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	12
8:40 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	2	1	3	0	2	11	3	9
8:50 AM	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
8:55 AM	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3
Total Survey	7	16	3	26	14	12	5	31	7	17	4	28	4	22	12	38	123

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval			bound				bound				ound				bound		
Start		Leiar	nd Rd			Leiar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	arrott F	a	V	Varner F	arrott F	ka	Interval
Time	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	3	7
7:15 AM	3	0	1	4	3	0	1	4	1	3	0	4	1	2	1	4	16
7:30 AM	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	5	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	4	11
7:45 AM	2	2	1	5	2	1	1	4	0	3	0	3	0	3	2	5	17
8:00 AM	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	5	2	8	12
8:15 AM	0	7	1	8	1	0	0	1	3	2	0	5	2	3	1	6	20
8:30 AM	0	4	0	4	3	6	2	11	1	3	1	5	0	2	2	4	24
8:45 AM	2	1	0	3	0	3	0	3	1	2	3	6	0	3	1	4	16
Total Survey	7	16	3	26	14	12	5	31	7	17	4	28	4	22	12	38	123

## Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 7:40 AM to 8:40 AM

By			<b>bound</b> nd Rd			<b>bound</b> nd Rd	v		oound Parrott Rd	٧		bound Parrott Rd	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	18	10	28	17	26	43	14	18	32	23	18	41	72
PHF	0.45			0.47			0.58			0.72			0.60

By Movement			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		V		ound Parrott R	ld.	V	Westh Varner F		d	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	2	14	2	18	7	7	3	17	5	9	0	14	3	13	7	23	72
PHF	0.25	0.39	0.50	0.45	0.88	0.29	0.75	0.47	0.42	0.75	0.00	0.58	0.38	0.65	0.88	0.72	0.60

## Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

Interval Start		Northi Lelar	<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧		oound Parrott F	Rd	١	Westl Varner F		₹d	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
7:00 AM	5	3	2	10	9	3	3	15	1	9	0	10	1	9	6	16	51
7:15 AM	5	4	2	11	8	3	3	14	2	8	0	10	2	13	6	21	56
7:30 AM	2	11	2	15	6	3	2	11	4	7	0	11	3	14	6	23	60
7:45 AM	2	14	2	18	7	7	3	17	5	9	1	15	3	13	7	23	73
8:00 AM	2	13	1	16	5	9	2	16	6	8	4	18	3	13	6	22	72

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 **Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd** 7:40 AM to 8:40 AM Wednesday, April 05, 2017 Leland Rd **Bikes** 1 280 539 56 113 111 Ľ Ψ 4 Peds 9 Warner Parrott Rd Bikes 0 76 312 183 291 32 Ľ 16 9 Peds 146 7 575 379 52 4 Bikes 0 Warner Parrott Rd Peds 14 **K** 1 7 73 317 85 197 475 Leland Rd Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.90 2.4% 577 WB 0.73 7.9% 291 475 NB 0.85 3.8% SB 0.76 6.1% 280 Intersection 0.93 4.4% 1,623 Count Period: 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM




Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### **Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd**

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

#### 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



Interval		North				South				Eastk				Westk					Pedes		
Start		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	Parrott R		V	Varner F	Parrott R	,	Interval		Cross		
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	7	9	8	0	17	17	8	0	4	38	10	0	4	39	9	0	170	0	0	1	3
4:05 PM	5	8	8	0	6	20	12	0	3	37	9	1	19	45	16	0	188	1	0	0	1
4:10 PM	8	13	5	0	16	24	16	0	6	29	8	0	12	39	10	0	186	0	2	0	0
4:15 PM	5	6	5	0	13	21	11	0	6	38	9	0	13	58	18	0	203	0	3	3	0
4:20 PM	4	10	7	0	11	22	12	0	2	39	3	0	7	38	5	0	160	0	0	1	0
4:25 PM	4	10	2	0	12	19	5	0	5	28	6	0	12	38	7	0	148	1	2	0	0
4:30 PM	9	11	6	0	12	19	5	0	7	25	4	0	12	38	7	0	155	. 0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	6	19	3	0	3	17	6	0	5	32	5	0	6	56	12	0	170	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	6	14	4	0	11	31	8	0	5	29	7	0	11	28	11	2	165	0	0	11	0
4:45 PM	3	5	4	0	16	18	6	0	7	35	10	0	4	61	12	0	181	0	1	1	0
4:50 PM	1	21	12	0	11	24	8	0	8	34	5	0	9	60	14	0	207	0	1	0	11
4:55 PM	8	19	9	0	12	18	10	0	8	34	5	0	4	44	20	0	191	0	2	0	2
5:00 PM	7	11	2	0	12	33	12	0	11	19	3	0	13	45	6	0	174	0	0	0	3
5:05 PM	5	12	2	0	10	24	12	11	4	35	9	0	18	78	15	0	224	1	0	0	11
5:10 PM	12	12	6	0	12	37	13	0	2	21	2	0	14	43	20	0	194	1	1	0	1
5:15 PM	8	11	6	0	9	25	12	0	7	38	9	0	10	59	12	0	206	0	1	1	0
5:20 PM	4	17	11	0	20	28	13	0	8	22	11	0	6	52	16	0	208	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	4	5	7	0	10	16	3	2	9	39	10	0	11	48	10	0	172	0	1	0	0
5:30 PM	4	13	9	0	13	24	2	0	5	35	6	0	13	41	8	0	173	0	1	11	2
5:35 PM	6	21	3	0	9	22	4	0	4	38	6	0	12	69	19	0	213	0	2	0	0
5:40 PM	5	9	8	0	13	18	7	0	4	35	8	0	11	62	14	0	194	0	2	0	0
5:45 PM	9	5	2	0	10	14	10	0	3	36	10	0	12	56	11	0	178	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	6	17	5	0	6	21	11	0	4	32	10	0	9	44	17	0	182	0	0	0	0
5:55 PM	10	6	11	0	11	28	10	0	4	29	8	0	7	38	9	0	171	0	1	0	0
Total Survey	146	284	145	0	275	540	216	3	131	777	173	1	249	1,179	298	2	4,413	4	20	9	14

#### 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		V	Eastk Varner F	oound Parrott F	Rd	V	Westh Varner P		Rd	Interval		Pedes		
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	20	30	21	0	39	61	36	0	13	104	27	1	35	123	35	0	544	1	2	1	4
4:15 PM	13	26	14	0	36	62	28	0	13	105	18	0	32	134	30	0	511	1	5	4	0
4:30 PM	21	44	13	0	26	67	19	0	17	86	16	0	29	122	30	2	490	0	0	1	0
4:45 PM	12	45	25	0	39	60	24	0	23	103	20	0	17	165	46	0	579	0	4	1	3
5:00 PM	24	35	10	0	34	94	37	1	17	75	14	0	45	166	41	0	592	2	1	0	5
5:15 PM	16	33	24	0	39	69	28	2	24	99	30	0	27	159	38	0	586	0	2	1	0
5:30 PM	15	43	20	0	35	64	13	0	13	108	20	0	36	172	41	0	580	0	5	1	2
5:45 PM	25	28	18	0	27	63	31	0	11	97	28	0	28	138	37	0	531	0	1	0	0
Total Survey	146	284	145	0	275	540	216	3	131	777	173	1	249	1,179	298	2	4,413	4	20	9	14

#### Peak Hour Summary 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

	By			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧		oound Parrott R	d	٧	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott R	d	Total
'	Approach	In					Out	Total	Bikes	In	Out	Total	Bikes	ln	Out	Total	Bikes	
	Volume	302	302 496 798 0				399	935	3	546	831	1,377	0	953	611	1,564	0	2,337
	%HV		1.0	)%			1.5	5%			2.4	4%			1.0	3%		1.5%
	PHF		1.0% 0.84				0.	79			0.	89			0.	89		0.94

	reues	unans	
	Cross	swalk	
North	South	East	West
2	12	3	10

Bv			bound				bound				ound				oound		
Movement		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	√arner F	arrott F	ld	V	Varner F	Parrott F	₹d	Total
Wovernerit	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	i e
Volume	67	156	79	302	147	287	102	536	77	385	84	546	125	662	166	953	2,337
%HV	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.0%	4.1%	0.0%	2.0%	1.5%	3.9%	1.8%	3.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.8%	4.2%	1.3%	1.5%
PHF	0.67	0.76	0.73	0.84	0.85	0.76	0.67	0.79	0.71	0.86	0.70	0.89	0.69	0.92	0.86	0.89	0.94

#### Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Lelar	<b>bound</b> nd Rd			South Lelar	<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧	Eastb Warner F		₹d	٧	Westl Varner F	<b>bound</b> Parrott F	Rd	Interval			strians swalk	
Time	L	Т	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	T	R	Bikes	L	Т	R	Bikes	Total	North	South	East	West
4:00 PM	66	145	73	0	140	250	107	0	66	398	81	1	113	544	141	2	2,124	2	11	7	7
4:15 PM	70	150	62	0	135	283	108	1	70	369	68	0	123	587	147	2	2,172	3	10	6	8
4:30 PM	73	157	72	0	138	290	108	3	81	363	80	0	118	612	155	2	2,247	2	7	3	8
4:45 PM	67	156	79	0	147	287	102	3	77	385	84	0	125	662	166	0	2,337	2	12	3	10
5:00 PM	80	139	72	0	135	290	109	3	65	379	92	0	136	635	157	0	2,289	2	9	2	7



Clay Carney (503) 833-2740

### **Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd**

Tuesday, April 04, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM Out In 3 3

Peak Hour Summary 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

Out 7

In 13

## Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		V	<b>Eastl</b> Varner F	oound Parrott F	Rd	١	<b>Westl</b> Varner F	oound Parrott F	₹d	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	1	1	4
4:05 PM	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	6
4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3	4
4:20 PM	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	5
4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
4:30 PM	0	1	2	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	7
4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
4:40 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	11	2	4
4:45 PM	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
4:50 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:55 PM	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	4	4	9
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	4
5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	4
5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	3
5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Survey	0	6	3	9	12	4	3	19	4	15	9	28	1	10	12	23	79

# Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Interval Start		North Lelar	<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧	Eastk Varner F	oound Parrott F	₹d	٧	Westl Varner F	oound Parrott F	Rd	Interval
Time	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	4	0	3	3	6	1	0	1	2	13
4:15 PM	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	4	0	1	1	2	0	3	2	5	12
4:30 PM	0	2	2	4	2	0	0	2	1	2	1	4	0	2	1	3	13
4:45 PM	0	2	1	3	1	0	1	2	0	3	1	4	0	0	4	4	13
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	2	1	0	3	0	2	1	3	9
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	3	8
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	1	5
Total Survey	0	6	3	9	12	4	3	19	4	15	9	28	1	10	12	23	79

#### Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM

By			<b>bound</b> nd Rd			<b>bound</b> nd Rd	V		oound Parrott Rd	٧		bound Parrott Rd	Total
Approach	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Volume	3	3	6	8	12	20	13	7	20	12	14	26	36
PHF	0.25			0.50			0.54			0.50			0.60

By			<b>bound</b> nd Rd				<b>bound</b> nd Rd		٧	Eastb Varner F	ound Parrott R	ld.	٧	Westk Varner F		d	Total
Movement	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	
Volume	0	2	1	3	6	0	2	8	3	7	3	13	0	5	7	12	36
PHF	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.54	0.00	0.42	0.35	0.50	0.60

## Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

1.00 1 111																	
Interval		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	oound			Westl	bound		
Start		Lelar	nd Rd			Lelar	nd Rd		V	Varner F	Parrott R	ld.	١	Varner F	Parrott F	Rd	Interval
Time	L T R Tota				L	T	R	Total	L	T	R	Total	L	Т	R	Total	Total
4:00 PM	0	6	3	9	6	4	2	12	1	9	6	16	1	5	8	14	51
4:15 PM	0	5	3	8	8	2	1	11	3	7	3	13	0	7	8	15	47
4:30 PM	0	4	3	7	8	0	2	10	3	6	4	13	0	6	7	13	43
4:45 PM	0	2	1	3	6	0	2	8	3	7	3	13	0	5	7	12	36
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	7	3	6	3	12	0	5	4	9	28

#### **Peak Hour Summary** All Traffic Data Clay Carney (503) 833-2740 **Leland Rd & Warner Parrott Rd** 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM Tuesday, April 04, 2017 Leland Rd **Bikes** 3 536 399 102 287 147 Ľ Ψ 4 Peds 2 Warner Parrott Rd Bikes 0 166 831 662 953 125 9 77 7 611 546 385 84 4 Bikes 0 Warner Parrott Rd Peds 12 **K** 1 7 67 156 79 496 302 Leland Rd Bikes HV% Approach PHF Volume EΒ 0.89 2.4% 546 WB 0.89 1.3% 953 302 NB 0.84 1.0% SB 0.79 1.5% 536 Intersection 0.94 1.5% 2,337 Count Period: 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM



### TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing
Land Use Code: 210
Variable: Dwelling Units
Variable Value: 77

**AM PEAK HOUR** 

Trip Rate: 0.75

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	25%	75%	
Trip Ends	15	43	58

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 1.00

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	63%	37%	
Trip Ends	49	28	77

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 9.52

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	367	367	734

**SATURDAY** 

Trip Rate: 9.91

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	382	382	764

Source: TRIP GENERATION, Ninth Edition



### TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing

Land Use Code: 210

Variable: Dwelling Units

Variable Value: 84

### **AM PEAK HOUR**

Trip Rate: 0.75

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	25%	75%	
Trip Ends	16	47	63

### **PM PEAK HOUR**

Trip Rate: 1.00

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	63%	37%	
Trip Ends	53	31	84

### **WEEKDAY**

Trip Rate: 9.52

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	400	400	800

### **SATURDAY**

Trip Rate: 9.91

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	416	416	832

Source: TRIP GENERATION, Ninth Edition



### TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing

Land Use Code: 210

Variable: Dwelling Units

Variable Value: 73

### **AM PEAK HOUR**

Trip Rate: 0.75

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	25%	75%	
Trip Ends	14	41	55

### **PM PEAK HOUR**

Trip Rate: 1.00

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	63%	37%	
Trip Ends	46	27	73

### **WEEKDAY**

Trip Rate: 9.52

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	347	347	694

### **SATURDAY**

Trip Rate: 9.91

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	362	362	724

Source: TRIP GENERATION, Ninth Edition



#### LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of service is used to describe the quality of traffic flow. Levels of service A to C are considered good, and rural roads are usually designed for level of service C. Urban streets and signalized intersections are typically designed for level of service D. Level of service E is considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E is generally considered acceptable. Here is a more complete description of levels of service:

Level of service A: Very low delay at intersections, with all traffic signal cycles clearing and no vehicles waiting through more than one signal cycle. On highways, low volume and high speeds, with speeds not restricted by other vehicles.

Level of service B: Operating speeds beginning to be affected by other traffic; short traffic delays at intersections. Higher average intersection delay than for level of service A resulting from more vehicles stopping.

Level of service C: Operating speeds and maneuverability closely controlled by other traffic; higher delays at intersections than for level of service B due to a significant number of vehicles stopping. Not all signal cycles clear the waiting vehicles. This is the recommended design standard for rural highways.

Level of service D: Tolerable operating speeds; long traffic delays occur at intersections. The influence of congestion is noticeable. At traffic signals many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. The number of signal cycle failures, for which vehicles must wait through more than one signal cycle, are noticeable. This is typically the design level for urban signalized intersections.

Level of service E: Restricted speeds, very long traffic delays at traffic signals, and traffic volumes near capacity. Flow is unstable so that any interruption, no matter how minor, will cause queues to form and service to deteriorate to level of service F. Traffic signal cycle failures are frequent occurrences. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E or better is generally considered acceptable.

Level of service F: Extreme delays, resulting in long queues which may interfere with other traffic movements. There may be stoppages of long duration, and speeds may drop to zero. There may be frequent signal cycle failures. Level of service F will typically result when vehicle arrival rates are greater than capacity. It is considered unacceptable by most drivers.



# LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

LEVEL	CONTROL DELAY
OF	PER VEHICLE
SERVICE	(Seconds)
A	<10
В	10-20
С	20-35
D	35-55
Е	55-80
F	>80

## LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

LEVEL	CONTROL DELAY
OF	PER VEHICLE
SERVICE	(Seconds)
A	<10
В	10-15
С	15-25
D	25-35
Е	35-50
F	>50

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	1.7						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	¥			<b>f</b>			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	21		132	2	7	81
Future Vol, veh/h	19	21		132	2	7	81
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	80	80		80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	2	5	5
Mvmt Flow	24	26		165	3	9	101
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	285	166		0	0	168	0
Stage 1	166	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	119	_		_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2		_	_	4.15	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-		_	_	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	_		_	_	-	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		-	_	2.245	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	710	884		-	_	1392	_
Stage 1	868	-		-	_	-	
Stage 2	911	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	705	884		-	-	1392	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	705	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	868	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	905	-		-	-	-	-
,							
Annragah	NIVA/			NIT		CM	
Approach	NW			NE_		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9			0		0.6	
HCM LOS	Α						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 789	1392	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.063		-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.9	7.6	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	-			

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.4											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	31	0	5	0	0	2	2	155	0	4	85	43
Future Vol, veh/h	31	0	5	0	0	2	2	155	0	4	85	43
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<del>!</del> _	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	40	0	6	0	0	3	3	199	0	5	109	55
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	353	353	138	355	380	200	165	0	0	200	0	0
Stage 1	148	148	-	205	205	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	205	205	-	150	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	606	575	916	604	556	846	1419	-	-	1366	-	-
Stage 1	859	779	-	802	736	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	802	736	-	857	758	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	601	570	915	596	552	845	1419	-	-	1366	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	601	570	-	596	552	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	856	775	-	800	734	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	798	734	-	848	754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.2			9.3			0.1			0.2		
HCM LOS	В			А								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	IWLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1419	-	-	845 631	1366	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.002	_	-	0.003 0.073		_	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0	-	9.3 11.2	7.6	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	А	A	-	A B	А	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0 0.2	0	-	-					
. ,												

Intersection												
	1.6											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			44			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	9	3	10		20	0	187	2	8	122	3
Future Vol, veh/h	6	9	3	10	1	20	0	187	2	8	122	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	5	0	0	C	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		•	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	3	3	3	1	1	1	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	8	11	4	13	1	25	0	237	3	10	154	4
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	434	417	157	422	417	243	159	0	0	239	0	0
Stage 1	178	178	-	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	256	239	-	184	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.13	6.53	6.23	4.11	-	-	4.15	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.13	5.53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.13	5.53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.527	4.027	3.327	2.209	-	-	2.245	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	536	530	894	540	525	793	1427	-	-	1310	-	-
Stage 1	828	756	-	763	706	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	753	711	-	815	749	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	512	525	893	526	520	789	1427	-	-	1304	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	512	525	-	526	520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	827	749	-	763	706	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	724	711	-	793	742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW	'		NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.7			10.7			0			0.5		
HCM LOS	В			В						0.0		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1427		-	670 559	1304	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	0.059 0.041		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-			0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	ВВ		A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.2 0.1		-	-					
, ,												

Interception						
Intersection	F 2					
Int Delay, s/veh	5.3					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	<b>^</b> 1>		ሻ	<b>†</b>	ሻ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	358	6	90	191	43	297
Future Vol, veh/h	358	6	90	191	43	297
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	3	3	0	2	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	6	6	1	1
Mvmt Flow	381	6	96	203	46	316
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	390	0	784	197
Stage 1	U	-	370	-	387	177
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	397	_
Critical Hdwy	-		4.19	-	7.315	6.915
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	<del>4</del> .17	-	6.515	0.713
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	6.115	- -
Follow-up Hdwy	-	_	2.257	_	3.5095	3.3095
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	_	_	1142	_	298	815
Stage 1	-	_	-	_	611	-
Stage 2	_	_	_	-	630	_
Platoon blocked, %	-	_		_	030	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_	_	1142	-	278	813
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	_	-	_	278	-
Stage 1	_	_	_	_	611	_
Stage 2	-	_	_	_	576	_
Olago Z					370	
Annragah	ED		MD		<b>,</b> . ⊏	
Approach	EB		WB		NE_	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		2.7		13.2	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	278 813	-	- 1142	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.165 0.389	_	- 0.084	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)						
LICIAL COLLITOR DEIGA (2)		-	- 8.4	-		
HCM Lane LOS	20.5 12.2 C B	-	- 8.4 - A	-		

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	4	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	<b>↓</b>	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<b>f</b> a		ሻ	<b>↑</b> Ъ		ሻ	1>		*	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	147	388	54	32	183	76	73	317	85	111	113	56
Future Volume (vph)	147	388	54	32	183	76	73	317	85	111	113	56
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1815		1671	3151		1736	1750		1703	1681	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1815		1671	3151		1736	1750		1703	1681	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	158	417	58	34	197	82	78	341	91	119	122	60
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	39	0	0	7	0	0	13	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	158	471	0	34	240	0	78	425	0	119	169	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	9		14	14		9	16		10	10		16
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•			· ·	· ·		· ·	_		•	· ·	
Actuated Green, G (s)	14.4	32.7		3.0	21.3		8.3	44.5		11.5	47.7	
Effective Green, g (s)	14.4	32.7		3.0	21.3		8.3	44.5		11.5	47.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.30		0.03	0.19		0.08	0.41		0.10	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	232	541		45	611		131	709		178	730	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.09	c0.26		0.02	0.08		0.04	c0.24		c0.07	c0.10	
v/s Ratio Perm	00.07	00.20		0.02	0.00		0.01	00.21		00.07	00.10	
v/c Ratio	0.68	0.87		0.76	0.39		0.60	0.60		0.67	0.23	
Uniform Delay, d1	45.5	36.5		53.0	38.6		49.1	25.6		47.3	19.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	8.0	14.2		51.4	0.4		7.1	3.7		9.1	0.7	
Delay (s)	53.4	50.7		104.4	39.0		56.2	29.3		56.4	20.2	
Level of Service	D	D		F	D		E	C		E	C	
Approach Delay (s)		51.4		'	46.1		_	33.4			34.5	
Approach LOS		D			D			С			C	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			42.3	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			109.7	Sı	um of lost	time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		71.3%			of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection	0.0						
Int Delay, s/veh	8.0						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	W			<b>\$</b>			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	7		103	1	19	144
Future Vol, veh/h	1	7		103	1	19	144
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	: 0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	84	84		84	84	84	84
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		5	5	1	1
Mvmt Flow	1	8		123	1	23	171
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
	340	123		0	0	124	0
Conflicting Flow All	123						
Stage 1	217	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	6.4	6.2		-		4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	0.2		-	-	4.11	-
	5.4	-		-	-		-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	3.5	3.3		-	-	2.209	-
Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	660	933		-	-	1469	-
	907	933		-	-	1409	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	824	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	024	-		-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	649	933		-	-	1469	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	649	733		-	-	1409	-
Stage 1	907	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	810	-		-	-	-	-
Staye 2	010	-		-	-	-	-
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1			0		0.9	
HCM LOS	Α						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	_		1469	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.011		-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	_	- 9.1	7.5	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α.	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	_	- 0	0	-			
			- 0				

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	17	0	2		0	1	0		2	115	0	0	174	25
Future Vol, veh/h	17	0	2		0	1	0		2	115	0	0	174	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<del>!</del> -	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82		82	82	82		82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	21	0	2		0	1	0		2	140	0	0	212	30
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	/linor1				Major1			Major2		
		272	220	IV		200	140	I\		0	0		0	
Conflicting Flow All	374	373	228		374	389			244	0	0	140	0	0
Stage 1	228	228	-		145	145	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	146	145	- / 2		229	244	6.2		- 111	-	-	412	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5 5.5	6.2		7.1	6.5 5.5	0.2		4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Iday Stg 1	6.1 6.1	5.5			6.1	5.5			-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	587	4	3.3 816		587	549	913		1328	-	-	1437	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	779	561 719	010		863	781	913		1328	-	-	1437	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	861	719			778	708	-		-	-	-	-		-
Platoon blocked, %	001	701	-		110	700	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	585	559	815		584	547	913		1328	-	-	1437	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	585	559	013		584	547	913		1320	-	-	1437	-	-
Stage 1	777	718	-		861	779	-		-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-
Stage 2	858	779	-		776	707	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	000	119	-		770	707	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.2				11.6				0.1			0		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 S		SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1328	-	-	547	603	1437	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.002	-	-	0.002	0.038	-	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	11.6	11.2	0	-	-						
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	-	В	В	Α	-	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.1	0	-	-						

Lane Configurations         Image: Configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the confi	SWR 9 9 0 Free
Lane Configurations         Image: Configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the confi	9 9 0
Traffic Vol, veh/h         5         9         2         3         1         9         0         129         3         24         197           Future Vol, veh/h         5         9         2         3         1         9         0         129         3         24         197           Conflicting Peds, #/hr         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         0           Sign Control         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Free         Free         Free         Free         Free           RT Channelized         -         -         None         -         -         None         -         -         None	9
Traffic Vol, veh/h         5         9         2         3         1         9         0         129         3         24         197           Future Vol, veh/h         5         9         2         3         1         9         0         129         3         24         197           Conflicting Peds, #/hr         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         0           Sign Control         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Free         Free         Free         Free         Free           RT Channelized         -         -         None         -         -         None         -         -         None	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         0           Sign Control         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Free         Free </td <td>9</td>	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         1         1         0           Sign Control         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Stop         Free         Free </td <td></td>	
Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free Free Free Free Free Free Free Fre	Eroo
RT Channelized None None None	riee
Storage Length	None
Otorago Eorigin	-
Veh in Median Storage, # - 0 0 0	-
Grade, % - 0 0 0	-
Peak Hour Factor 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	85
Heavy Vehicles, % 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 1 1 1	1
Mvmt Flow 6 11 2 4 1 11 0 152 4 28 232	11
Major/Minor Minor2 Minor1 Major1 Major2	
Conflicting Flow All 453 450 237 455 454 155 242 0 0 156 0	0
Stage 1 294 294 - 155 155	-
Stage 2 159 156 - 300 299	_
Critical Hdwy 7.1 6.5 6.2 7.1 6.5 6.2 4.14 4.11 -	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 6.1 5.5 - 6.1 5.5	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 6.1 5.5 - 6.1 5.5	-
Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 4 3.3 3.5 4 3.3 2.236 2.209 -	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 520 508 807 519 505 896 1313 1430 -	-
Stage 1 719 673 - 852 773	_
Stage 2 848 772 - 713 670	-
Platoon blocked, %	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 504 496 807 500 493 895 1313 1430 -	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 504 496 - 500 493	-
Stage 1 719 658 - 851 772	-
Stage 2 837 771 - 683 655	-
Approach SE NW NE SW	
HCM Control Delay, s 12.1 10.1 0 0.8	
HCM LOS B B	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NEL NET NERNWLn1 SELn1 SWL SWT SWR	
Capacity (veh/h) 1313 719 524 1430	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.021 0.036 0.02	
HCM Control Delay (s) 0 10.1 12.1 7.6 0 -	
HCM Lane LOS A B B A A -	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 0.1 0.1	

Interception						
Intersection	F.0					
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	
Lane Configurations	<b>4</b> 1		ሻ	<b>†</b>	ሻ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	342	26	399	430	18	
Future Vol, veh/h	342	26	399	430	18	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	7	7	0	2	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	140	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	1	1	3	
Mvmt Flow	360	27	420	453	19	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	394	0	1676	
Stage 1	_	-	-	-	381	
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	1295	
Critical Hdwy	_	_	4.115	_	7.345	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	_	_	1.110	_	6.545	6.9
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	_	_	_	_	6.145	
Follow-up Hdwy	_	_	2.2095	_	3.5285	3.328
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	_	_	1169	_	68	804
Stage 1	_	_	-	_	612	
Stage 2	_	-	_	-	197	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_	-	1169	-	49	799
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	49	
Stage 1	-	-	_	-	612	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	126	-
J .						
Approach	EB		WB		NE	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		4.7		19.9	
HCM LOS			1.7		C	
					<u> </u>	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	49 799	-	- 1169	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.387 0.266	-	- 0.359	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)	118.9 11.1	-	- 9.8	-		
HCM Lane LOS	F B	-	- 9.0 - A	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.4 1.1	-	- 1.7	-		
HOW 9501 7600 Q(Ven)	1.4 1.1	-	- 1./	-		

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	4	<b>†</b>	/	<b>/</b>	<b>↓</b>	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1>		*	<b>∱</b> }		ሻ	1>		ሻ	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	78	394	86	125	662	166	67	156	79	147	287	102
Future Volume (vph)	78	394	86	125	662	166	67	156	79	147	287	102
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.96	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1798		1787	3450		1787	1770		1770	1776	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1798		1787	3450		1787	1770		1770	1776	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	83	419	91	133	704	177	71	166	84	156	305	109
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	10	0	0	27	0	0	22	0	0	15	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	83	500	0	133	854	0	71	228	0	156	399	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		12	12		2	10		3	3		10
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•	•						_		•		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.3	24.6		7.5	26.8		4.4	22.5		8.5	26.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.3	24.6		7.5	26.8		4.4	22.5		8.5	26.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.30		0.09	0.33		0.05	0.28		0.10	0.33	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	115	545		165	1140		96	491		185	582	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.05	c0.28		c0.07	0.25		0.04	0.13		c0.09	c0.22	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00	00.20		00.07	0.20		0.01	0.10		00.07	00.22	
v/c Ratio	0.72	0.92		0.81	0.75		0.74	0.46		0.84	0.68	
Uniform Delay, d1	37.2	27.3		36.1	24.2		37.8	24.3		35.6	23.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	19.9	20.4		24.1	2.7		25.5	3.1		27.9	6.4	
Delay (s)	57.1	47.6		60.2	26.9		63.2	27.4		63.5	30.0	
Level of Service	E	D		E	C		E	C		E	C	
Approach Delay (s)		49.0		_	31.3		_	35.3		_	39.2	
Approach LOS		D			C			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			37.8	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	ty ratio		0.82									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	,		81.1	Sı	um of lost	time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		73.9%			of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15	,,,								
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection							
	1.1						
<b>J</b> .		NIME		NICT	NED	CVA	CIAIT
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	¥			4		_	ન
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	22		137	2	7	84
Future Vol, veh/h	1	22		137	2	7	84
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	1		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	80	80		80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	2	5	5
Mvmt Flow	1	28		171	3	9	105
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	296	174		0	0	174	0
Stage 1	173	- 17-1		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	123	_		_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2		_	_	4.15	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-		-	_		_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-		_	_	_	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		_	_	2.245	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	699	875				1385	_
Stage 1	862	-			_	1303	_
Stage 2	907	<u>-</u>					_
Platoon blocked, %	707	-				-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	694	874		-	_	1384	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	694	- 074		-		1304	_
Stage 1	862	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	901	-		-	-	-	-
Stayt 2	901	-		-	-	-	-
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.3			0		0.6	
HCM LOS	Α						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	_	- 864	1384	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	_		0.006	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	_	- 9.3	7.6	0			
HCM Lane LOS	_	- A	Α.	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	0	-			
How /July /Julie Q(Vell)		0.1	U				

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	31	0	5	2		20	2	161	0	7	88	45
Future Vol, veh/h	31	0	5	2	2	20	2	161	0	7	88	45
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	40	0	6	3	3	26	3	206	0	9	113	58
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	387	374	143	376	402	207	172	0	0	207	0	0
Stage 1	161	161	-	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	226	213	-	163	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	575	560	910	585	540	839	1411	-	-	1358	-	-
Stage 1	846	769	-	794	730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	781	730	-	844	748	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	551	554	909	576	534	838	1411	-	-	1358	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	551	554	-	576	534	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	844	763	-	792	728	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	753	728	-	832	742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.7			9.9			0.1			0.4		
HCM LOS	В			A								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1411	-	-	772 583		-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.002	_	-	0.04 0.079		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	0	_	9.9 11.7	7.7	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	-	A B		A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.1 0.3		-	-					
2(10.1)				2 3.0								

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	1.3													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	0	1		10	1	21		0	195	2	8	127	3
Future Vol, veh/h	5	0	1		10	1	21		0	195	2	8	127	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	5	0	0		0	0	5		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	_	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		3	3	3		1	1	1	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	6	0	1		13	1	27		0	247	3	10	161	4
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	Minor1			Ν	/lajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	451	433	164		432	434	253		166	0	0	249	0	0
Stage 1	184	184	-		248	248	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	267	249	-		184	186	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.13	6.53	6.23		4.11	-	-	4.15	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.527	4.027	3.327		2.209	-	-	2.245	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	522	519	886		532	514	783		1418	-	-	1299	-	-
Stage 1	822	751	-		754	699	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	743	704	-		815	744	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	497	514	885		528	509	779		1418	-	-	1293	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	497	514	-		528	509	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	821	744	-		754	699	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	713	704	-		807	737	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.5				N I) A /				NE			CIA		
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.8				10.7				0			0.5		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NEDV	JWLn1 S	SFI n1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
		IVLI	NLINI		536	1293	3111	JVIK						
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1418	-	-	669			-	-						
	-	-	-	0.061			-	-						
HCM Lang LOS	0	-	-	10.7	11.8	7.8	0	-						
HCM DEth % tile O(vob)	A	-	-	В	В	A	А	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.2	0	0	-	-						

Intersection						
	5.4					
Movement	EB ⁻		WBL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	<b>^</b> 1	•	ሻ		ሻ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	36		120	199	45	298
Future Vol, veh/h	36	10	120	199	45	298
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	(	) 3	3	0	2	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized		- None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length			0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	(	) -	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	(	) -	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94
Heavy Vehicles, %		2 2	6	6	1	1
Mvmt Flow	384	11	128	212	48	317
Major/Minor	Major [*]		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	iviajoi (		398	0	861	200
Stage 1			370	-	392	200
Stage 2			-	-	469	-
Critical Hdwy		<u> </u>	4.19	-	6.615	6.915
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			4.17	-	5.815	0.713
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	5.415	-
Follow-up Hdwy			2.257	_	3.5095	3.3095
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1134	-	3.3073	811
Stage 1			-	_	655	
Stage 2				-	631	<u>-</u>
Platoon blocked, %					031	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1134	-	275	809
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			-	_	275	
Stage 1				_	653	
Stage 2			_	_	559	_
Jiago Z					337	
Annragah			MD		, I.C.	
Approach Delever	EE		WB		NE_	
HCM Control Delay, s	(	)	3.2		13.4	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	275 809	) -	- 1134	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.174 0.392		- 0.113	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)	20.8 12.3		- 8.6	-		
HCM Lane LOS	C E		- A	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.6 1.9		- 0.4	-		

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	1	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	Ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	₽		*	<b>↑</b> Ъ		ሻ	1>		ሻ	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	152	394	54	35	190	79	76	330	88	115	118	58
Future Volume (vph)	152	394	54	35	190	79	76	330	88	115	118	58
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1818		1671	3158		1736	1753		1703	1686	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1818		1671	3158		1736	1753		1703	1686	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	163	424	58	38	204	85	82	355	95	124	127	62
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	60	0	0	11	0	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	163	476	0	38	229	0	82	439	0	124	169	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	9		14	14		9	16		10	10		16
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•	•						_		•		
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.1	23.2		2.9	16.0		6.8	26.1		7.6	26.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	10.1	23.2		2.9	16.0		6.8	26.1		7.6	26.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.30		0.04	0.21		0.09	0.34		0.10	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	229	542		62	649		151	588		166	582	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.09	c0.26		0.02	0.07		0.05	c0.25		c0.07	0.10	
v/s Ratio Perm	00.07	00.20		0.02	0.07		0.00	00.20		00.07	0.10	
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.88		0.61	0.35		0.54	0.75		0.75	0.29	
Uniform Delay, d1	32.5	26.0		36.9	26.5		34.0	22.9		34.2	18.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	10.0	14.9		16.6	0.3		3.9	8.4		16.6	1.3	
Delay (s)	42.4	40.8		53.5	26.8		38.0	31.3		50.8	19.8	
Level of Service	D	D		D	C		D	C		D	В	
Approach Delay (s)		41.2		,	29.9			32.3		D	32.1	
Approach LOS		D			C			C			C	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			35.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.82									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			77.8	Sı	um of lost	time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		72.7%			of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

lutana a atia n							
Intersection	0.0						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	¥			¢ĵ			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	7		107	1	20	150
Future Vol, veh/h	1	7		107	1	20	150
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	9 0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	80	80		80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		5	5	1	1
Mvmt Flow	1	9		134	1	25	188
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	372	134		0	0	135	0
Stage 1	134	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	238	-		-	-	_	
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2			-	4.11	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	- 0.2		_	_	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-		_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		_	_	2.209	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	633	920		_	-	1456	-
Stage 1	897	- 720		-	_	- 1100	_
Stage 2	806	-		_	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-			_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	621	920		_	-	1456	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	621	-		-	-		_
Stage 1	897	-		_	-	-	-
Stage 2	791	-		-	-	-	_
	.,,						
A	NIVA			N.F		CNA	
Approach	NW			NE_		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.2			0		0.9	
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 868	1456	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.012	0.017	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.2	7.5	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0	0.1	-			
-							

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.5											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			44			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	18	1	2	1		12	2	120	1	23	181	26
Future Vol, veh/h	18	1	2	1	1	12	2	120	1	23	181	26
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	C	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	C	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	22	1	2	1	1	15	2	146	1	28	221	32
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	454	446	238	447	462	147	253	0	0	148	0	0
Stage 1	294	294	-	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	160	152	-	295	310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	520	510	806	525	500	905	1318	-	-	1427	-	-
Stage 1	719	673	-	855	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	847	775	-	718	663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	500	497	805	512	487	905	1318	-	-	1427	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	500	497	-	512	487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	717	657	-	853	773	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	830	773	-	698	647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.3			9.5			0.1			0.8		
HCM LOS	В			Д								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1318	-	-	811 519	1427		-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.002	-	-	0.021 0.049		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	9.5 12.3		0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	А	A	-	A B		A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.1 0.2		-	-					
, ,												

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	0	0		3	1	9		0	134	3	25	205	9
Future Vol, veh/h	4	0	0		3	1	9		0	134	3	25	205	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	! _	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85		85	85	85		85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		4	4	4	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	5	0	0		4	1	11		0	158	4	29	241	11
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	1inor1			١	/lajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	470	467	246		465	471	160		252	0	0	162	0	0
Stage 1	305	305	-		160	160	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	165	162	-		305	311	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.14	-	-	4.11	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.236	-	-	2.209	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	507	496	798		511	494	890		1302	-	-	1423	-	-
Stage 1	709	666	-		847	769	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	842	768	-		709	662	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	491	484	798		501	482	889		1302	-	-	1423	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	491	484	-		501	482	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	709	650	-		846	768	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	831	767	-		692	646	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.4				10.1				0			0.8		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	IWLn1 S	ELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1302	-	-	715	491	1423	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	_	_	0.021		0.021	_	_						
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	10.1	12.4	7.6	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	Ā	-	_	В	В	A	A	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.1	0	0.1	-	-						

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8							
		DΤ	EDD	1875	1 14/5	т	NI-	NED
Movement		BT	EBR	WE			NEL_	NER
Lane Configurations		4				<b>↑</b>	ሻ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h		56	32	41			19	215
Future Vol, veh/h	3	56	32	41			19	215
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	_	0	7	_		0	2	0
Sign Control	Fr	ee	Free	Fre			Stop	Stop
RT Channelized		-	None		- Nor		-	None
Storage Length		-	-		0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-		-	0	0	-
Grade, %		0	-			0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		95	95	Ç		95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		1	1	3	3
Mvmt Flow	3	75	34	43	2 46	3	20	226
Major/Minor	Majo	or1		Majo	2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	iviaje	0	0	41		0	1727	211
Stage 1		-	-	7	-	-	399	-
Stage 2		_	_		_	_	1328	-
Critical Hdwy		_	_	4.11		_	6.645	6.945
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			_	7.1	-	_	5.845	- 0.740
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		_	_		-	_	5.445	
Follow-up Hdwy			_	2.209		_	3.5285	3.3285
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		_		114		_	87	792
Stage 1				115	-		645	112
Stage 2		-	-		_	_	245	-
Platoon blocked, %							243	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	114	8	-	54	787
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver				114	-0		54	101
Stage 1		-	-		_	-	641	-
Stage 2		•	-		-	-	153	-
Slaye 2		-	-		-	-	100	-
Approach		EB		W	В		NE	
HCM Control Delay, s		0		4	8		19.1	
HCM LOS							С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NEL	n2	EBT	EBR WE	L WB	T_		
Capacity (veh/h)		87	-	- 114		_		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.37 0.2		_	- 0.37		_		
HCM Control Delay (s)		1.4			0	_		
HCM Lane LOS	F	В	-	-	В	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		1.2	-	- - 1		-		
HOW FOUT WITH Q(VEH)	1.3	1.2	-	- 1	U			

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	•	•	4	<b>†</b>	<b>/</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>↓</b>	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	1>		ሻ	<b>↑</b> ↑		ሻ	1>		7	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	80	401	90	130	689	173	76	162	86	153	299	106
Future Volume (vph)	80	401	90	130	689	173	76	162	86	153	299	106
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.96	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1795		1787	3449		1787	1767		1770	1776	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1795		1787	3449		1787	1767		1770	1776	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	85	427	96	138	733	184	81	172	91	163	318	113
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	21	0	0	13	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	85	514	0	138	893	0	81	242	0	163	418	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		12	12		2	10		3	3		10
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•	•		J	Ü		· ·	_		•	Ü	
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.7	28.1		9.2	31.6		5.1	23.3		11.1	29.3	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.7	28.1		9.2	31.6		5.1	23.3		11.1	29.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.31		0.10	0.35		0.06	0.26		0.12	0.33	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	112	562		183	1215		101	458		219	580	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.05	c0.29		c0.08	c0.26		0.05	0.14		c0.09	c0.24	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00	00.27		00.00	00.20		0.00	0.11		00.07	00.21	
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.91		0.75	0.74		0.80	0.53		0.74	0.72	
Uniform Delay, d1	41.3	29.6		39.1	25.4		41.8	28.5		37.9	26.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	25.1	19.5		16.1	2.3		35.2	4.3		12.8	7.5	
Delay (s)	66.4	49.1		55.2	27.7		77.0	32.8		50.8	34.1	
Level of Service	E	D		E	C		77.0 E	C		D	С	
Approach Delay (s)		51.6			31.3		_	43.2			38.7	
Approach LOS		D			С			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			39.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.82									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			89.7	S	um of lost	t time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		75.7%		CU Level				D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	¥			<b>f</b>			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	23		137	2	9	84
Future Vol, veh/h	1	23		137	2	9	84
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	25		149	2	10	91
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	261	150		0	0	151	0
Stage 1	150	130			-		
	111	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2 Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-		4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	0.22		-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-		-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	728	896		-	-	1430	-
Stage 1	878	090		-	-	1430	-
Stage 2	914	-					_
Platoon blocked, %	714	_		_	_	_	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	723	896				1430	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	723	- 070		_	-	1430	
Stage 1	878	<u> </u>		-	_	-	-
Stage 2	908	-		_		_	
Jiayo Z	700						
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.2			0		0.7	
HCM LOS	Α						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-,		1430				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		- 0.029		_			
HCM Control Delay (s)	_	- 9.2	7.5	0			
HCM Lane LOS		- 7.2	Α.5	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	<u>-</u>	- 0.1	0	- -			
110W 75W 75W 76W Q(VCH)		0.1	U				

Latera a Para												
Intersection	2.6											
Int Delay, s/veh	2.0											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	31	3	5	2	4	40	2	162	0	11	95	45
Future Vol, veh/h	31	3	5	2	4	40	2	162	0	11	95	45
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	40	4	6	3	5	51	3	208	0	14	122	58
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	421	394	152	398	423	209	180	0	0	209	0	0
Stage 1	180	180	-	214	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	241	214	-	184	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	546	546	900	566	526	836	1402	-	-	1356	-	-
Stage 1	826	754	-	793	729	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	767	729	-	822	733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	503	537	899	552	518	835	1402	-	-	1356	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	503	537	-	552	518	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	824	744	-	791	727	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	713	727	-	802	724	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.4			10			0.1			0.6		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN\	WLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1402	-	-	776 536	1356	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.002	_	- (	0.076 0.093	0.01	_	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	0	-	10 12.4	7.7	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	-	В В	Α	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.2 0.3	0	-	-					
_(.3)												

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh  Movement Lane Configurations Traffic Vol, veh/h Future Vol, veh/h Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control	1.7 SEL 5 5 5 5	SET	SER		NWL	NI\A/T								
Movement Lane Configurations Traffic Vol, veh/h Future Vol, veh/h Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control	SEL 5 5	4	SER		NWL	NI\A/T								
Lane Configurations Traffic Vol, veh/h Future Vol, veh/h Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control	5 5	4	SER		NWL	NI\A/T								
Traffic Vol, veh/h Future Vol, veh/h Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control	5					NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Future Vol, veh/h Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control	5	0				4				4			4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr Sign Control			1		10	1	39		0	216	2	13	136	3
Sign Control	5	0	1		10	1	39		0	216	2	13	136	3
	Ŭ	0	0		0	0	5		1	0	0	0	0	1
	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		3	3	3		1	1	1	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	6	0	1		13	1	49		0	273	3	16	172	4
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	Minor1			N	Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	513	484	175		483	485	280		177	0	0	276	0	0
Stage 1	208	208	-		275	275	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Stage 2	305	276	_		208	210	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.13	6.53	6.23		4.11	_	-	4.15	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		-	-	_	-		-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		_	-	_	-	-	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.527	4.027	3.327		2.209	-	-	2.245	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	475	486	874		492	481	756		1405	-	-	1270	-	-
Stage 1	799	734	_		729	681	_		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	709	685	-		792	727	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	436	479	873		486	474	752		1405	-	-	1264	-	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	436	479	-		486	474	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	798	723	-		729	681	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Stage 2	658	685	-		780	716	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
J														
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.7				10.9				0			0.7		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	NFI	NFT	NFRN	WLn1 ⁹	SELn1	SWI	SWT	SWR						
							_	_						
	-	_	_				_	_						
		_	_				0	_						
J \ /		_	_											
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.3	0	0	-	-						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s) HCM Lane LOS	0 A	NET	-	671 0.094 10.9 B	476 0.016 12.7 B	7.9 A	- - 0 A	-						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	5.8					
		EDD	WDI	MOT	NICI	NED
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL_	NER
Lane Configurations	<b>1</b>		<u> </u>	<b>↑</b>	ዃ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	361		127	199	47	316
Future Vol, veh/h	361		127	199	47	316
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	_ 0		3	0	2	0
Sign Control	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #			-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0		-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	94		94	94	94	94
Heavy Vehicles, %	2		6	6	1	1
Mvmt Flow	384	12	135	212	50	336
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	399	0	877	201
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	393	201
Stage 2	_	_	_	_	484	-
Critical Hdwy			4.19	_	6.615	6.915
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	_	_	7.17	_	5.815	0.713
Critical Hdwy Stg 2				_	5.415	
Follow-up Hdwy	_	_	2.257	_	3.5095	3.3095
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1133	_	3.3073	810
Stage 1	_	_	1133		654	
Stage 2			-	_	621	<u>-</u>
Platoon blocked, %	_	_	•		021	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1133	_	267	808
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-		1133		267	000
Stage 1	_	-	-	-	652	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	546	-
Slaye Z	-	-	-	-	540	-
Approach	EB		WB		NE	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		3.4		13.8	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	267 808		- 1133			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.187 0.416		- 0.119	_		
HCM Control Delay (s)	21.6 12.6		- 8.6	-		
HCM Lane LOS	C B		- A	_		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.7 2.1		- 0.4	_		
How four four Q(veri)	U.1 Z.1		0.4			

	•	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	4	<b>†</b>	/	<b>&gt;</b>	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	f.		*	<b>↑</b> ⊅		ች	1>		ሻ	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	160	404	54	35	194	79	76	330	88	115	118	61
Future Volume (vph)	160	404	54	35	194	79	76	330	88	115	118	61
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1819		1671	3161		1736	1753		1703	1681	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1819		1671	3161		1736	1753		1703	1681	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	172	434	58	38	209	85	82	355	95	124	127	66
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	57	0	0	11	0	0	22	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	172	486	0	38	237	0	82	439	0	124	171	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3		14	14		9	16		10	10		16
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.5	23.4		2.9	15.8		6.8	26.0		7.6	26.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	10.5	23.4		2.9	15.8		6.8	26.0		7.6	26.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.30		0.04	0.20		0.09	0.33		0.10	0.34	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	238	546		62	641		151	585		166	578	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.10	c0.27		0.02	0.08		0.05	c0.25		c0.07	0.10	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.72	0.89		0.61	0.37		0.54	0.75		0.75	0.30	
Uniform Delay, d1	32.3	26.0		36.9	26.8		34.1	23.1		34.2	18.7	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	10.3	16.2		16.6	0.4		3.9	8.6		16.6	1.3	
Delay (s)	42.6	42.2		53.6	27.1		38.0	31.6		50.9	20.0	
Level of Service	D	D		D	С		D	С		D	В	
Approach Delay (s)		42.3			30.2			32.6			32.1	
Approach LOS		D			С			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			35.6	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.83									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			77.9		um of lost	. ,			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		73.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection	2.2						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	W			<b>f</b>			4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	9		107	1	22	150
Future Vol, veh/h	1	9		107	1	22	150
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	84	84		84	84	84	84
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		5	5	1	1
Mvmt Flow	1	11		127	1	26	179
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	359	128		0	0	129	0
Stage 1	128	120		-	-	127	-
Stage 2	231	-		-			-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.2				4.11	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	0.2			_	7.11	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	_		_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3			_	2.209	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	600	927		_	_	1463	_
Stage 1	881	721		_	_	1405	_
Stage 2	776	-		_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	770			_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	591	927		_	-	1463	-
Mov Cap 1 Maneuver	591	- ,21		-	_	- 1100	_
Stage 1	881	-		_		_	-
Stage 2	760	_		-	_	_	_
Jugo Z	700						
	* II * '			=		01::	
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.2			0		1	
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 877	1463	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.014		-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.2	7.5	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0	0.1	-			

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	2.4													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR	N	EL N	ET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	18	4	2		1	2	30			22	1	49	183	26
Future Vol, veh/h	18	4	2		1	2	30			22	1	49	183	26
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop	Fr	ee F	ree	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82		82	82	82		82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	22	5	2		1	2	37		2 1	49	1	60	223	32
Major/Minor	Minor2			- 1	Minor1			Majo	or1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	534	515	240		516	529	149	2	56	0	0	150	0	0
Stage 1	360	360	-		154	154	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	174	155	-		362	375	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2	4.	.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3	2.2	109	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	460	466	804		473	458	903	13	15	-	-	1425	-	-
Stage 1	662	630	-		853	774	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	833	773	-		661	621	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	422	442	803		449	434	903	13	15	-	-	1425	-	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	422	442	-		449	434	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	660	599	-		851	772	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	795	771	-		622	590	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.7				9.6				0.1			1.5		
HCM LOS	В				Α									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	JWLn1	SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1315	-	-	824	443	1425	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio						0.042	_	-						
	0.002	-	-	0.017	0.000									
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	9.6	13.7	7.6	0	-						
HCM Control Delay (s) HCM Lane LOS		0 A					0 A	-						

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	022	4	02.1			4				4		0112	4	01111
Traffic Vol., veh/h	4	0	0		3	1	14		1	159	10	40	233	9
Future Vol, veh/h	4	0	0		3	1	14		1	159	10	40	233	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	- -	None		-	-	None	-	-	
Storage Length	-	_	-		_	_	-		_	_	-	-	_	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<u>.</u>	0	-		-	0	-		_	0	_	-	0	_
Grade, %		0	_		_	0	_		_	0	_	_	0	
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85		85	85	85		85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		4	4	4	1	1	1
Mymt Flow	5	0	0		4	1	16		1	187	12	47	274	11
WWW.C. IOW		J			•	•	10		•	107		.,	_, .	
Major/Minor	Minor2				Minor1			N	/lajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	578	576	279	·	570	575	194		285	0	0	200	0	0
Stage 1	374	374			196	196	-		-	-	-	200	-	_
Stage 2	204	202	_		374	379	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.14	_	_	4.11	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	- 0.2		6.1	5.5	- 0.2			_	_	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	_		6.1	5.5	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.236	_	_	2.209	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	430	431	765		435	431	853		1266	-	_	1378	-	_
Stage 1	651	621	-		810	742	-			_	-	-	-	_
Stage 2	803	738	-		651	618	-		-	-	_	-	-	_
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	407	413	765		421	413	852		1266	-	-	1378	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	407	413	-		421	413	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	650	596	-		808	741	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	785	737	-		624	593	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
J														
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.9				10.4				0			1.1		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	IWLn1	SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1266	-	-	693	407	1378	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	-	_	0.031	0.012		-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	0	-	10.4	13.9	7.7	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	_	В	В	A	A	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.1	0	0.1	-	-						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	6.4					
Movement	EB	T EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations			VVDL	WB1 ↑	NLL NLL	INER
Traffic Vol., veh/h	<b>↑</b> 1 35		<b>1</b> 430	<b>T</b> 440	<b>1</b> 21	226
Future Vol, veh/h	35		430	440	21	226
		0 35	430	440	21	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	Fre			Free		
Sign Control RT Channelized	FIE		Free		Stop	Stop
		- None	-	None	140	None
Storage Length	ı	0	0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #		0 -	-	0	0	-
Grade, %		0 -	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	9		95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %		2 2	1	1	3	3
Mvmt Flow	37	5 37	453	463	22	238
Major/Minor	Major	1	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All		0 0	419	0	1770	213
Stage 1			-	-	400	
Stage 2			-	-	1370	-
Critical Hdwy			4.115	-	6.645	6.945
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	_	5.845	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			_	-	5.445	-
Follow-up Hdwy			2.2095	_	3.5285	3.3285
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1145	_	82	790
Stage 1			- 1113	_	644	- 70
Stage 2			_	_	233	_
Platoon blocked, %					233	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1145	_	49	785
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		_	1143	-	49	703
Stage 1			-	-	640	-
Stage 2		_	-	_	141	-
Slayt 2			-	-	141	-
Approach	E	В	WB		NE	
HCM Control Delay, s		0	5		21.6	
HCM LOS					С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn	2 EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	49 78		- 1145	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.451 0.30		- 0.395			
HCM Control Delay (s)				-		
	128.7 11.		- 10.2	-		
HCM Lane LOS		B -	- B	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.7 1.	3 -	- 1.9	-		

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	-	•	1	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	<b>↓</b>	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1>		ሻ	<b>↑</b> ↑		ሻ	1>		ሻ	f)	
Traffic Volume (vph)	85	407	90	130	700	173	76	162	86	153	299	115
Future Volume (vph)	85	407	90	130	700	173	76	162	86	153	299	115
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.96	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1796		1787	3451		1787	1767		1770	1771	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1796		1787	3451		1787	1767		1770	1771	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Adj. Flow (vph)	90	433	96	138	745	184	81	172	91	163	318	122
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	9	0	0	23	0	0	21	0	0	15	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	90	520	0	138	906	0	81	242	0	163	425	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		12	12		2	10		3	3		10
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•	•						_		•		
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.9	27.9		8.5	30.5		4.3	25.0		11.2	31.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	5.9	27.9		8.5	30.5		4.3	25.0		11.2	31.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.31		0.09	0.34		0.05	0.28		0.12	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	115	553		167	1161		84	487		218	623	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.05	c0.29		c0.08	c0.26		0.05	0.14		c0.09	c0.24	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00	00.27		00.00	00.20		0.00	0.11		00.07	00.21	
v/c Ratio	0.78	0.94		0.83	0.78		0.96	0.50		0.75	0.68	
Uniform Delay, d1	41.7	30.5		40.3	27.0		43.1	27.5		38.3	25.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	28.5	24.3		27.1	3.5		85.6	3.6		13.1	6.0	
Delay (s)	70.2	54.9		67.4	30.5		128.7	31.1		51.4	31.0	
Level of Service	7 G.Z	D		E	C		F	С		D	C	
Approach Delay (s)	_	57.1			35.3			54.1			36.5	
Approach LOS		E			D			D			D	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			43.1	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.83									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.6	S	um of lost	time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		76.5%		CU Level		:		D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	W			1>			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	30		189	3	10	116
Future Vol, veh/h	1	30		189	3	10	116
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	80	80		80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	38		236	4	13	145
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	408	238		0	0	240	0
Stage 1	238	250		-	-	240	-
Stage 2	170	<u>-</u>			_		_
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22				4.12	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	0.22		_		4.12	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-		-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		_		2.218	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	599	801		-	-	1327	-
Stage 1	802	001		-	-	1327	
Stage 2	860	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	000	-		-	-	-	-
	592	801		-	-	1327	-
Mov Cap 2 Manager	592 592	801		-	-	1327	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	592 802	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1		-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	851	-		-	-	-	-
						01:1	
Approach	NW			NE_		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.8			0		0.6	
HCM LOS	Α						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 792	1327	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.049	0.009	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.8	7.7	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	-			

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.3											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	. NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			44			4			44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	43	0	7	3		29	3	222	0	6	122	62
Future Vol, veh/h	43	0	7	3	3	29	3	222	0	6	122	62
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	(	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None			None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	<del>!</del> -	0	-		. 0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		. 0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	(	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	55	0	9	4	. 4	37	4	285	0	8	156	79
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	526	506	197	509	545	286	237	0	0	286	0	0
Stage 1	213	213	-	293	293	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	313	293	-	216	252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.209	-	-	2.227	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	466	472	849	478	449	758	1336	-	-	1270	-	-
Stage 1	794	730	-	719	674	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	702	674	-	791	702	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	436	466	848	469	443	757	1336	-	-	1270	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	436	466	-	469	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	790	724	-	715	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	661	671	-	777	696	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.9			10.7			0.1			0.2		
HCM LOS	В			Е								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1336		-	680 468	1270	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	-	-	0.066 0.137		-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	10.7 13.9		0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	А	A	-	В Е		A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.2 0.5		-	-					
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	1.4													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	0	1		14	1	29		0	268	3	11	175	4
Future Vol, veh/h	7	0	1		14	1	29		0	268	3	11	175	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	5	0	0		0	0	5		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-		None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	_	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		3	3	3		1	1	1	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	9	0	1		18	1	37		0	339	4	14	222	5
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	1inor1			Λ	/lajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	618	596	225		594	596	346		228	0	0	343	0	0
Stage 1	253	253	-		341	341	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	365	343	-		253	255	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.13	6.53	6.23		4.11	-	-	4.15	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.13	5.53	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	;	3.527	4.027	3.327		2.209	-	-	2.245	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	404	420	819		415	416	695		1346	-	-	1199	-	-
Stage 1	756	701	-		672	637	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	658	641	-		749	695	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	376	414	818		410	410	692		1346	-	-	1193	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	376	414	-		410	410	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	755	691	-		672	637	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	619	641	-		738	685	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.5				N II A /				NE			CIA		
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.2				12.1				0			0.5		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NEDN	IWLn1 S	FI n1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
		IVLI	NLINI		403	1193	3111	JVIK						
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1346	-	-	561			-	-						
	-	-	-	0.099			-	-						
HCM Lang LOS	0	-	-	12.1	14.2	8.1	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	В	B	A	А	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.3	0.1	0	-	-						

Intersection	7.4							
Int Delay, s/veh	7.4							
Movement	E	ВТ	EBR	W	/BL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations		٩ħ				<b>†</b>	ሻ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	Ž.	197	9	•	129	274	62	410
Future Vol, veh/h	Ž.	197	9	•	129	274	62	410
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	3		3	0	2	0
Sign Control	F	ree	Free	F	ree	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized		-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length		-	-		0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	#	0	-		-	0	0	-
Grade, %		0	-		-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		94	94		94	94	94	94
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		6	6	1	1
Mvmt Flow	ĺ	529	10	•	137	291	66	436
Major/Minor	Maj	or1		Maj	ior2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	iviaj	0	0		541	0	1105	272
Stage 1		-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	537	-
Stage 2		_	_		-	_	568	-
Critical Hdwy		_	_	4	1.19	-	6.615	6.915
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	_		-	_	5.815	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	_		-	-	5.415	-
Follow-up Hdwy		_	-	2.3	257	-	3.5095	3.3095
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-		002	-	220	729
Stage 1		-	-		-	-	553	-
Stage 2		-	-		-	-	568	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-			-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	10	002	-	189	727
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-		-	-	189	-
Stage 1		-	-		-	-	551	-
Stage 2		-	-		-	-	489	-
Approach		EB		1	WB		NE	
HCM Control Delay, s		0			2.9		19.3	
HCM LOS		U			2.7		19.3 C	
TION LOS							C	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NEI		EBT		/BL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)		727	-		002	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.6	-	- 0.		-		
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.1	-	-	9.2	-		
HCM Lane LOS	D	С	-	-	Α	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.5	4	-	-	0.5	-		

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	4	1	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	Ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	<b>f</b> a		ሻ	ħβ		ሻ	₽		ሻ	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	209	543	75	46	262	109	105	454	122	159	162	80
Future Volume (vph)	209	543	75	46	262	109	105	454	122	159	162	80
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1814		1671	3150		1736	1749		1703	1679	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1814		1671	3150		1736	1749		1703	1679	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	225	584	81	49	282	117	113	488	131	171	174	86
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	36	0	0	8	0	0	14	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	225	661	0	49	363	0	113	611	0	171	246	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	9		14	14		9	16		10	10		16
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	,	•		Ü	Ū		Ū	_		•	· ·	
Actuated Green, G (s)	19.8	42.1		4.2	26.5		12.5	41.8		12.9	42.2	
Effective Green, g (s)	19.8	42.1		4.2	26.5		12.5	41.8		12.9	42.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.35		0.04	0.22		0.11	0.35		0.11	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	294	641		58	701		182	614		184	595	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.13	c0.36		0.03	0.12		0.07	c0.35		c0.10	0.15	
v/s Ratio Perm	00.10	00.00		0.00	0.12		0.07	00.00		00.10	0.10	
v/c Ratio	0.77	1.03		0.84	0.52		0.62	1.00		0.93	0.41	
Uniform Delay, d1	47.4	38.5		57.1	40.6		51.0	38.5		52.6	29.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	11.3	43.8		65.1	0.6		6.4	35.2		45.9	2.1	
Delay (s)	58.6	82.3		122.1	41.3		57.4	73.7		98.5	31.1	
Level of Service	E	62.6 F		F	D		E	F		70.0 F	С	
Approach Delay (s)	<u> </u>	76.3		'	50.1		_	71.2		•	57.9	
Approach LOS		E			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			67.0	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Е			,
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	citv ratio		1.01									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	<i>J</i>		119.0	Sı	um of lost	t time (s)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		92.8%			of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15						-			
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection							
	0.8						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	W			4			र्स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	10		148	1	27	206
Future Vol, veh/h	1	10		148	1	27	206
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	84	84		84	84	84	84
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		5	5	1	1
Mvmt Flow	1	12		176	1	32	245
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	487	177		0	0	177	0
Stage 1	177	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	310	-		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2		-	-	4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-		-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-		-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		-	-	2.209	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	543	871		-	-	1405	-
Stage 1	859	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	748	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	529	871		-	-	1405	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	529	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	859	-		-		-	-
Stage 2	729	-		-	-	-	-
·							
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4			0		0.9	
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 823	1405	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.016	0.023	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.4	7.6	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0	0.1	-			

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	N	IWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	3		0	1	0		3	165	0	0	249	36
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	3		0	1	0		3	165	0	0	249	36
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	S	Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82		82	82	82		82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	29	0	4		0	1	0		4	201	0	0	304	44
Major/Minor	Minor2			Min	nor1			N	/lajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	536	536	327		536	558	201		349	0	0	201	0	0
Stage 1	327	327	-		209	209	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	209	209	-		327	349	_		_	_	-	-	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5			-	_	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		_	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	459	454	719	4	459	441	845		1215	-	-	1365	-	-
Stage 1	690	651	-	-	798	733	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	798	733	-	(	690	637	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	456	452	718	4	455	439	845		1215	-	-	1365	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	456	452	-	4	455	439	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	687	650	-	-	795	730	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	793	730	-	(	686	636	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.1			1	13.2				0.1			0		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	WLn1 SEI	Ln1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1215	-	-		475	1365	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	_	-	0.003 0.0		-	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	0	-		13.1	0	-	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	-	В	В	A	-	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-		0.2	0	-	-						
. ,														

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	1													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	0	0		4	1	13		0	185	4	34	282	13
Future Vol., veh/h	6	0	0		4	1	13		0	185	4	34	282	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85		85	85	85		85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		4	4	4	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	7	0	0		5	1	15		0	218	5	40	332	15
Major/Minor	Minor2			1	Vinor1				Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	647	642	339		640	648	221		347	0	0	223	0	0
Stage 1	419	419	-		221	221	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	228	223	-		419	427	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.14	-	-	4.11	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.236	-	-	2.209	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	387	395	708		391	392	824		1201	-	-	1352	-	-
Stage 1	616	593	-		786	724	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	779	723	-		616	589	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	368	380	708		380	377	823		1201	-	-	1352	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	368	380	-		380	377	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	616	571	-		785	723	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	763	722	-		593	567	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Annroach	CE				NIM				NIT			C/M		
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	15				11				0			0.8		
HCM LOS	С				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	IWLn1 S	SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1201			621	368	1352	_							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	_	0.034		0.03	-	_						
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	_	_	11	15	7.7	0	_						
HCM Lane LOS	A	_	_	В	C	Α.,	A	_						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	_	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	-						

Intersection								
	18.7							
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	1	NEL	NER	
ane Configurations	<b>4</b> ↑		ሻ	<b>†</b>			7	
raffic Vol, veh/h	490	37	565	606		26	290	
uture Vol, veh/h	490	37	565	606		26	290	
onflicting Peds, #/hr	0	7	7	0		2	0	
ign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Ç	Stop	Stop	
T Channelized	-	None	-	None		<u>.</u>	None	
torage Length	-	-	0	-		140	0	
eh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0		0	-	
rade, %	0	-	-	0		0	-	
eak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95		95	95	
leavy Vehicles, %	2	2	1	1		3	3	
vmt Flow	516	39	595	638		27	305	
lajor/Minor	Major1		Major2		Mir	nor1		
onflicting Flow All	0	0	562	0	2	371	284	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		542	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1	829	-	
ritical Hdwy	-	-	4.115	-	6.	645	6.945	
itical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.	845	-	
ritical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.	445	-	
ollow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.2095	-	3.5	285	3.3285	
ot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1013	-		33	711	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		546	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-		138	-	
atoon blocked, %	-	-		-				
lov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1013	-	-	~ 14	706	
lov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	~ 14	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		542	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-		57	-	
pproach	EB		WB			NE		
ICM Control Delay, s	0		6.5		(	94.9		
ICM LOS						F		
inor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT				
apacity (veh/h)	14 706	-	- 1013	-				
CM Lane V/C Ratio	1.955 0.432	-	- 0.587	-				
CM Control Delay (s)	\$ 998.9 13.9	-	- 13.5	-				
CM Lane LOS	F B	-	- B	-				
ICM 95th %tile Q(veh)	4.1 2.2	-	- 4	-				
otes								
Volume exceeds capac	city \$: Delay exc	eeds 30	00s + Com	nutation	Not Defined	*· ΔII	major volume in p	latoon
biarrio execeus capac	ity w. Delay che	ocus st	1. CUIII	Pulation	TVOL DOILIEU	. / (11	major volume in p	atour

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>—</b>	4	1	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	<b>↓</b>	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<b>f</b> a		ች	<b>∱</b> ∱		ሻ	1>		*	<b>f</b>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	110	552	120	179	949	238	96	224	113	211	411	146
Future Volume (vph)	110	552	120	179	949	238	96	224	113	211	411	146
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.96	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1794		1787	3448		1787	1769		1770	1774	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1794		1787	3448		1787	1769		1770	1774	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Adj. Flow (vph)	112	563	122	183	968	243	98	229	115	215	419	149
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	11	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	112	679	0	183	1193	0	98	329	0	215	557	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		12	12		2	10		3	3		10
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	•	•		· ·	· ·		· ·	_		•	· ·	
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.3	43.5		13.0	47.2		7.5	29.2		16.3	38.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	9.3	43.5		13.0	47.2		7.5	29.2		16.3	38.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.36		0.11	0.39		0.06	0.24		0.14	0.32	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	137	650		193	1356		111	430		240	561	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.06	c0.38		c0.10	c0.35		0.05	0.19		c0.12	c0.31	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00	00.00		00.10	00.00		0.00	0.17		00.12	00.01	
v/c Ratio	0.82	1.04		0.95	0.88		0.88	0.76		0.90	0.99	
Uniform Delay, d1	54.5	38.2		53.2	33.8		55.8	42.2		51.0	40.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	30.0	47.3		49.4	6.8		50.5	12.2		31.7	36.3	
Delay (s)	84.5	85.6		102.6	40.6		106.3	54.4		82.7	77.2	
Level of Service	F	F		F	D		F	D		52.7 F	F	
Approach Delay (s)	•	85.4		•	48.7			65.9			78.7	
Approach LOS		F			D			E			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			66.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		Е			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		1.01	11	CIVI ZUUU	LCVCI OI .	JCI VICC		L			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	city ratio		120.0	C	um of los	t time (c)			18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		97.6%		CU Level	٠,			10.0 F			
Analysis Period (min)	tiOH		15	10	O LEVEL	JI JEI VICE						
c Critical Lane Group			10									
Chilical Lane Group												

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	¥			<b>f</b>			4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	30		189	3	10	116
Future Vol, veh/h	1	30		189	3	10	116
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	33		205	3	11	126
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	355	207		0	0	209	0
Stage 1	207	-		-	-	209	-
Stage 2	148	-		-		-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-	_	4.12	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	0.22			-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-			_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318		_	_	2.218	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	643	833			-	1362	_
Stage 1	828	-			_	1302	_
Stage 2	880	_		_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	000				_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	637	833		_	_	1362	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	637			_	_	1002	_
Stage 1	828	-		_			_
Stage 2	872			_	_	_	_
Jugo Z	0,2						
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.5			0		0.6	
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)		- 825	1362	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.041		-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	_	- 9.5	7.7	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	_	- 0.1	0	-			
/ 5 / 5 6 (1.511)		0.1					

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	2.4													
,		CET	CED		N IV A / I	N IV A /T	NIVA		NIE.	NICT	NED	CMI	CVA/T	CIMID
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	40	4	7		2	4	2.4		2	4	0	0	4	/ 2
Traffic Vol, veh/h	43	0	7		3	4	34		3	222	0	8	122	62
Future Vol, veh/h	43	0	7		3	4	34		3	222	0	8	122	62
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	1	1	0	1
Sign Control RT Channelized	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	70	0	70		70	0	70		70	0	70	- 70	0	70
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78		78	78	78		78	78	78	78	78	78
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	55	0	9		4	5	44		4	285	0	10	156	79
Major/Minor	Minor2			1	Minor1			ľ	Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	535	511	197		514	550	286		237	0	0	286	0	0
Stage 1	218	218	-		293	293	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	317	293	-		221	257	-		-	-	-	-	-	_
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.11	-	-	4.13	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-		6.1	5.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.209	-	-	2.227	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	459	469	849		474	446	758		1336	-	-	1270	-	-
Stage 1	789	726	-		719	674	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	698	674	-		786	699	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	424	462	848		464	439	757		1336	-	-	1270	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	424	462	-		464	439	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	785	719	-		715	671	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	650	671	-		771	692	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.2				10.8				0.1			0.3		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NFRN	JWLn1 S	SFI n1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1336	-		678	456	1270								
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003			0.078		0.008								
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	-	10.8	14.2	7.9	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	Α.	A		В	14.2 B	Α.9	A							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0		-	0.3	0.5	0	-	-						
ncivi yotii %tile Q(ven)	U	-	-	0.3	U.D	U	-	-						

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	1.5													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	N۱	Λ/I	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	OLL	4	OLIV			4	144414		1422	4	11211	0112	4	OTTE
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	0	1		14	1	31		0	273	3	11	177	4
Future Vol, veh/h	7	0	1		14	1	31		0	273	3	11	177	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	5	0	0		0	0	5		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	St	top	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	- Jiop	-	None	J.	- -	- -	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	_	_	-		_	_	-		_	_	-	_	_	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	! <u>-</u>	0	_		_	0	_		_	0	_	-	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	_		_	0	_		_	0	_	_	0	
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		3	3	3		1	1	1	5	5	5
Mymt Flow	9	0	1		18	1	39		0	346	4	14	224	5
WWW. Tiow	,	O .	•		10	•	07		U	010	•		221	J
Major/Minor	Minor2			Mino	or1			N	Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	628	604	228		02	605	352		230	0	0	349	0	0
Stage 1	255	255	-		47	347	-		230	-	-	347	-	_
Stage 2	373	349	_		255	258	_		_	_	_		_	
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		.13	6.53	6.23		4.11	_	_	4.15	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	- 0.2		.13	5.53	0.20		-	_	_		_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	_		.13	5.53	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5			3.327		2.209	_	_	2.245	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	398	415	816		10	411	689		1344	_	_	1193	_	_
Stage 1	754	700	-		67	633	-		-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	652	637	-		47	692	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	002	007		,	.,	072				_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	368	409	815	4	-05	405	686		1344	_	_	1187	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	368	409	-		-05	405	-		-	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	753	690	_		67	633	_		_	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	611	637	-		35	682	_		_	_	-	-	-	_
olago 2	<b></b>	00.				002								
Approach	SE			N	IW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	14.4			1:	2.2				0			0.5		
HCM LOS	В				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	IWLn1 SEL	.n1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1344	_	-		95	1187	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	_	-	0.104 0.0		0.012	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-		4.4	8.1	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	_	-	В	В	A	A	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-		0.1	0	-	-						

Intercaction						
Intersection	7.5					
Int Delay, s/veh	7.5					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	<b>↑</b> 13	i	ሻ	<b>†</b>	ሻ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	497	9	130	274	62	414
Future Vol, veh/h	497	9	130	274	62	414
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	3	3	0	2	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	140	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	<del>!</del> 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	6	6	1	1
Mvmt Flow	529	10	138	291	66	440
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	541	0	1107	272
Stage 1	U	-	341	-	537	212
Stage 2	- -	-	-	-	570	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.19	-	6.615	6.915
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	_	-	4.17	-	5.815	0.713
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	5.415	<u>.</u>
Follow-up Hdwy		_	2.257	-	3.5095	3.3095
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1002	-	219	729
Stage 1	_	-	1002	-	553	129
Stage 2		-	-	-	567	-
Platoon blocked, %	- -	-	-	-	507	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	1002	-	188	727
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	- -	-	1002	-	188	121
Stage 1		-	-	-	551	<u>-</u>
Stage 2	- -		-	-	488	-
Stage 2			-	-	400	-
			11/2			
Approach	EB		WB		NE_	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		2.9		19.5	
HCM LOS					С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT		
Capacity (veh/h)	188 727		- 1002	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.351 0.606		- 0.138	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)	34.2 17.3		- 9.2	-		
HCM Lane LOS	D C		- A	-		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.5 4.1		- 0.5	-		
-, -,						

	•	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	4	<b>†</b>	/	<b>&gt;</b>	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	₽		*	<b>†</b> \$		ሻ	1>		ሻ	ĵ.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	211	545	75	46	263	109	105	454	122	159	162	80
Future Volume (vph)	211	545	75	46	263	109	105	454	122	159	162	80
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1814		1671	3150		1736	1749		1703	1679	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1814		1671	3150		1736	1749		1703	1679	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	227	586	81	49	283	117	113	488	131	171	174	86
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	36	0	0	8	0	0	14	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	227	663	0	49	364	0	113	611	0	171	246	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	9		14	14		9	16		10	10		16
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			1
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	19.9	42.1		4.2	26.4		12.5	41.8		12.9	42.2	
Effective Green, g (s)	19.9	42.1		4.2	26.4		12.5	41.8		12.9	42.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.35		0.04	0.22		0.11	0.35		0.11	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	295	641		58	698		182	614		184	595	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.13	c0.37		0.03	0.12		0.07	c0.35		c0.10	0.15	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.77	1.03		0.84	0.52		0.62	1.00		0.93	0.41	
Uniform Delay, d1	47.4	38.5		57.1	40.7		51.0	38.5		52.6	29.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	11.4	44.7		65.1	0.7		6.4	35.2		45.9	2.1	
Delay (s)	58.8	83.2		122.1	41.5		57.4	73.7		98.5	31.1	
Level of Service	Е	F		F	D		Е	Е		F	С	
Approach Delay (s)		77.0			50.3			71.2			57.9	
Approach LOS		Е			D			E			Е	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			67.2	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		E			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		1.01									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			119.0		um of lost				18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		92.9%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8						
Movement	NWL	NWR		NET	NER	SWL	SWT
Lane Configurations	W			<b>f</b>			4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	10		148	1	27	206
Future Vol., veh/h	1	10		148	1	27	206
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	84	84		84	84	84	84
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		5	5	1	1
Mvmt Flow	1	12		176	1	32	245
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	487	177		0	0	177	0
Stage 1	177			-	-	-	-
Stage 2	310	_		_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2		_	_	4.11	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-		_	_	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	_		_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		-	_	2.209	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	543	871		_	_	1405	-
Stage 1	859	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	748	-		-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	529	871		-	-	1405	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	529	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	859	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	729	-		-	-	-	-
J							
Approach	NW			NE		SW	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4			0		0.9	
HCM LOS	7.4 A			0		0.7	
TIOW E03	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Minar Lana/Maiar Musak	NICT	NIEDNIMI1	CMI	CMT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NET	NERNWLn1	SWL	SWT			
Capacity (veh/h)	-		1405	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.016		-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.4	7.6	0			
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	A	A			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0	0.1	-			

Intersection	0.0													
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9													
Movement	SEL	SET	SER		NWL	NWT	NWR		NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4				4				4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	1	3		0	1	3		3	165	0	4	249	36
Future Vol, veh/h	24	1	3		0	1	3		3	165	0	4	249	36
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	1
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	‡ -	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82		82	82	82		82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1	1	3	3	3
Mvmt Flow	29	1	4		0	1	4		4	201	0	5	304	44
Major/Minor	Minor2				Minor1			N	Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	547	545	327		547	567	201		349	0	0	201	0	0
Stage 1	336	336	-		209	209	201		-	-	-	201	-	_
Stage 2	211	209	_		338	358	_		_	_	_		_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2		7.1	6.5	6.2		4.11	_	_	4.13	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	0.2		6.1	5.5	0.2		4.11	_	_	4.13		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	_		6.1	5.5	_		_	_	_	_	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3		3.5	4	3.3		2.209	_	_	2.227	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	451	449	719		451	436	845		1215	_	_	1365	_	
Stage 1	682	645	- / 1 /		798	733	043		1213	_	_	1303	_	_
Stage 2	796	733	_		681	631	_		_	_	_	_	_	
Platoon blocked, %	170	133			001	031				_	_		_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	445	445	718		445	432	845		1215	_	-	1365	_	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	445	445	710		445	432	043		1215			1303		
Stage 1	679	641	_		795	730	_		_	_	_	_	_	
Stage 2	788	730	_		673	627	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Stage 2	700	730			073	021								
Approach	SE				NW				NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.4				10.3				0.1			0.1		
HCM LOS	В				В				0.1			0.1		
HOW EOS	D				U									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERN	JWLn1	SFI n1	SWL	SWT	SWR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1215	INLI	IVLIV	682	464	1365	3771	JVIK						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	-	-	0.007			-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	0.003	0	-	10.3	13.4	7.6	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	_	10.3 B	13.4 B	7.0 A	A	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	А	-	0	0.2	0	- A	-						
HOW FOUT MILLE Q(VEH)	U	-	_	U	0.2	U	-	_						

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1											
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	0	0	4	1	14	1	188	4	38	286	13
Future Vol, veh/h	6	0	0	4	1	14	1	188	4	38	286	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	7	0	0	5	1	16	1	221	5	45	336	15
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	669	663	344	661	668	225	352	0	0	227	0	0
Stage 1	434	434	-	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	235	229	-	434	441	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.14	-	-	4.11	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.236	-	-	2.209	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	374	384	703	379	382	819	1196	-	-	1347	-	-
Stage 1	604	585	-	780	720	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	773	718	-	604	580	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	354	367	703	366	365	818	1196	-	-	1347	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	354	367	-	366	365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	603	560	-	778	719	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	755	717	-	579	556	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J. J.												
Approach	SE			NW			NE			SW		
HCM Control Delay, s	15.4			11.1			0			0.9		
HCM LOS	C			В			· ·			0.7		
110M 200				J								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NEL	NET	NERNW	Ln1 SELn1	SWL	SWT	SWR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1196	_		617 354	1347	_	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	_	- 0.		0.033	_	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	0		11.1 15.4	7.8	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	- '	B C	Α.	A	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	_	0.1 0.1	0.1	-	-					
rioni vono Q(Von)	- 0			0.1	0.1							

Intersection							
	20						
<b>.</b>		EDD	WDI	WDT	NICI	NED	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER	
ane Configurations	<b>↑</b> }	27	<b>\</b>	<b>†</b>	<b>`</b>		
raffic Vol, veh/h	490	37	568	606	26		
uture Vol, veh/h	490	37	568	606	26		
onflicting Peds, #/hr	0	7	7	0	2		
ign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop		
T Channelized	-	None	-	None	- 140	None	
torage Length	-	-	0	-	140		
eh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0		
rade, %	95	- 95	95	0	0		
eak Hour Factor		95 2		95	95		
leavy Vehicles, %	2		1	420	3		
1vmt Flow	516	39	598	638	27	307	
lajor/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1		
onflicting Flow All	0	0	562	0	2378	284	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	542	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1836	-	
ritical Hdwy	-	-	4.115	-	6.645	6.945	
ritical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.845	-	
ritical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.445	-	
ollow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.2095	-	3.5285	3.3285	
ot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1013	-	33	711	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	546	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	137	-	
atoon blocked, %	-	-		-			
lov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1013	-	~ 13	706	
ov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	~ 13	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	542		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	56	-	
pproach	EB		WB		NE		
CM Control Delay, s	0		6.5		102.8		
ICM LOS	U		0.5		102.0 F		
ICIVI LOS					ı		
linor Lane/Major Mvmt	NELn1 NELn2	EBT	EBR WBL	WBT			
Capacity (veh/h)	13 706	-	- 1013	-			
CM Lane V/C Ratio	2.105 0.435	-	- 0.59	-			
CM Control Delay (s)	\$ 1100 14	-	- 13.5	-			
CM Lane LOS	F B	-	- B	-			
ICM 95th %tile Q(veh)	4.2 2.2	-	- 4	-			
otes							
Volume exceeds capacit	y \$: Delay exc	apple 20	no L. Com	nutation	Not Defined *: Al	I major volume	in platoon
volume exceeds capacit	y a. Delay exc	.ccus 30	JUS +. CUIII	pulaliuli	Not bellilled : Al	i major volume	iii piatuutt

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	•	4	<b>†</b>	/	<b>&gt;</b>	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	f.		*	<b>↑</b> ↑		ሻ	ĵ.		ሻ	1>	
Traffic Volume (vph)	112	554	120	190	951	238	96	224	113	211	411	148
Future Volume (vph)	112	554	120	190	951	238	96	224	113	211	411	148
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.96	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1794		1787	3448		1787	1769		1770	1773	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1794		1787	3448		1787	1769		1770	1773	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Adj. Flow (vph)	114	565	122	194	970	243	98	229	115	215	419	151
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	11	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	114	681	0	194	1195	0	98	329	0	215	559	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		12	12		2	10		3	3		10
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												3
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases												
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.6	43.5		12.5	46.4		6.5	29.7		16.3	39.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	9.6	43.5		12.5	46.4		6.5	29.7		16.3	39.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.36		0.10	0.39		0.05	0.25		0.14	0.33	
Clearance Time (s)	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	141	650		186	1333		96	437		240	583	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.06	c0.38		c0.11	c0.35		0.05	0.19		c0.12	c0.32	
v/s Ratio Perm												
v/c Ratio	0.81	1.05		1.04	0.90		1.02	0.75		0.90	0.96	
Uniform Delay, d1	54.3	38.2		53.8	34.5		56.8	41.8		51.0	39.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	27.7	48.2		77.8	8.2		97.6	11.4		31.7	28.5	
Delay (s)	82.0	86.5		131.5	42.7		154.4	53.1		82.7	67.9	
Level of Service	F	F		F	D		F	D		F	E	
Approach Delay (s)		85.9			55.0			75.6			72.0	
Approach LOS		F			D			Е			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			68.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Ε			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		1.01									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0		um of lost				18.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		98.4%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

CDS380 OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

14/06/2017 TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY

#### CENTRAL POINT RD at SKELLENGER WY, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

No Rows to Display

		S D																			
		P R S W				INT-TYPE					SPCL USE										
		E A U C O DATE	CLASS	CITY STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			A	S					
S	ER#	E L G H R DAY	DIST	FIRST STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G	E LICNS	PED				
I	NVEST	D C S L K TIME	FROM	SECOND STREET	LOCTN	(#LANES)	CONTL	DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	TO	P# TYPE	SVRTY	E	X RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE	

CDS380 OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

14/06/2017 TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY

#### CENTRAL POINT RD at SKELLENGER WY, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

No Rows to Display

		S D																			
		P R S W				INT-TYPE					SPCL USE										
		E A U C O DATE	CLASS	CITY STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			A	S					
S	ER#	E L G H R DAY	DIST	FIRST STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G	E LICNS	PED				
I	NVEST	D C S L K TIME	FROM	SECOND STREET	LOCTN	(#LANES)	CONTL	DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	TO	P# TYPE	SVRTY	E	X RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE	

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY CENTRAL POINT RD at WARNER-PARROTT RD, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

Total crash records: 7

	S D																			
	P R S	W				INT-TYPE					SPCL USE									
	E A U C	O DATE	CLASS	CITY STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			A S					
SER#	ELGH	R DAY	DIST	FIRST STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G E	LICNS	PED			
	DCSL		FROM	SECOND STREET	LOCTN	(#LANES)		DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	TO	P# TYPE			RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE
01895	N N N N	N 05/17/2014	16	CENTRAL POINT RD	INTER	3-LEG	N	Y	CLR	FIX OBJ	01 NONE 0	STRGHT							040	03,32
CITY		SA	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	NE		STOP SIGN	N	DRY	FIX	PRVTE	SW-NE							000 040	00
		3A			05	0		N	DARK	PDO	PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	21 F	OR-Y OR<25		021,052,081	000	03,32
02233	N N N	06/11/2014	16	CENTRAL POINT RD	INTER	3-LEG	N	N	CLR	S-1STOP	01 NONE 0	STRGHT								29
NO RPT		WE	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	SE		L-GRN-SIG	N	DRY	REAR	PRVTE	SE-NW							000	00
		4P			06	0		N	DAY	INJ	PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	53 M	OR-Y OR<25		026	000	29
											02 NONE 0	STOP								
											PRVTE	SE-NW							012	00
											PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	INJC	45 F	OR-Y OR<25		000	000	00
03639 CITY	N N N N	N 10/01/2012 MO	16 0	CENTRAL POINT RD WARNER-PARROTT RD	INTER SW	3-LEG	N UNKNOWN	N N	CLR DRY	BIKE TURN		_							110	02
		3P			05	0		N	DAY	INJ		STRGHT	01 BIKE	INJB	47 M		I XWK?	000	034	00
												NW SE								
											01 NONE 0	TURN-L							000 110	0.0
											PRVTE PSNGR CAR	SE-SW	01 DRVR	NONE	28 M	OR-Y		027,008	000 110 000	00 02
											TONOR CAR		OI DIVIC	NONE	20 11	OR<25		027,000		
	N N N	12/18/2015	16	CENTRAL POINT RD	INTER	3-LEG	N	N	RAIN	S-1STOP	01 NONE 0	STRGHT								29
NONE		FR	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	SW	0	STOP SIGN	N	WET	REAR	PRVTE	SW-NE	01 DDID	MONTE	20 M	OD 17		0.26	000	00 29
		4P			06	0		N	DUSK	PDO	PSNGR CAR 02 NONE 0	STOP	01 DRVR	NONE	30 M	OR-Y OR<25		026	000	29
											PRVTE	SW-NE							011	00
											PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	00 F	UNK		000	000	00
																OR<25				
00149	N N N	01/12/2015	16	CENTRAL POINT RD	INTER	3-LEG	N	N	CLR	O-1STOP	01 NONE 0	BACK								10
NO RPT		MO	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	NW		UNKNOWN	N	DRY	BACK	PRVTE	SE-NW							000	00
		11A			06	0		N	DAY	PDO	PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	00 F	OR-Y OR<25		011	000	10
											02 NONE 0	STOP							011	0.0
											PRVTE PSNGR CAR	NW-SE	01 DRVR	NONE	38 M	OR-Y		000	011 000	00
													OI DIVIC			OR<25				
	N N N	09/25/2013			INTER	3-LEG	N	N	CLD	ANGL-OTH	01 NONE 0	TURN-L							0.1.5	02
NONE		WE 4P	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	CN 04	0	STOP SIGN	N N	DRY DAY	TURN PDO	PRVTE PSNGR CAR	SW-NW	01 DRVR	NONE	00 M	OP_V		028	015 000	00 02
		ī			01	Ü		14	DAI	FDO	FSNGK CAR		OI DRVR	NONE	00 14	UNK		020	000	02
											02 NONE 0	STRGHT								
											PRVTE	NW-SE							000	00
											PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	58 F	OR-Y OR<25		000	000	00
00842	N N N	03/07/2015	16	CENTRAL POINT RD	INTER	3-LEG	N	N	CLR	ANGL-OTH	01 NONE 0	TURN-L								02
NO RPT		SA	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	CN		STOP SIGN	N	DRY	TURN	PRVTE	SW-NW							015	00
		11A			04	0		N	DAY	INJ	PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	41 M	OR-Y OR<25		028	000	02
											02 NONE 0 PRVTE	STRGHT NW-SE							000	00

Disclaimer: The information contained in this report is compiled from individual driver and police crash reports submitted to the Oregon Department of Transportation as required in ORS 811.720. The Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit is committed to providing the highest quality crash data to customers. However, because submittal of crash report forms is the responsibility of the individual driver, the Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit can not guarantee that all qualifying crashes are represented nor can assurances be made that all details pertaining to a single crash are accurate. Note: Legislative changes to DMV's vehicle crash reporting requirement, effective 01/01/2004, may result in fewer property damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.

OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION Page: 2 CDS380 04/06/2017

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY

#### URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING CENTRAL POINT RD at WARNER-PARROTT RD, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

Total crash records: 7

	S	D																		
	P	R S W				INT-TYPE					SPCL USE									
	E A	U C O DATE	CLASS	CITY STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			Α	S				
SER#	E L	G H R DAY	DIST	FIRST STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G	E LICNS	PED			
INVEST	D C	S L K TIME	FROM	SECOND STREET	LOCTN	(#LANES)	CONTL	DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	TO	P# TYPE	SVRTY	E	X RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE
											PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	INJC	21	M OR-Y		000	000	0 0
																OR<25				

#### TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

CRASH SUMMARIES BY YEAR BY COLLISION TYPE

CENTRAL POINT RD at WARNER-PARROTT RD, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

		NON-	PROPERTY										INTER-	
COLLISION TYPE	FATAL CRASHES	FATAL CRASHES	DAMAGE ONLY	TOTAL CRASHES	PEOPLE KILLED	PEOPLE INJURED	TRUCKS	DRY SURF	WET SURF	DAY	DARK	INTER- SECTION	SECTION RELATED	OFF- ROAD
YEAR: 2015														
BACKING	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
REAR-END	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
YEAR 2015 TOTAL	0	1	2	3	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	3	0	0
YEAR: 2014	0	0	-		0	0	0		0	0	1	-	0	-
FIXED / OTHER OBJECT	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
REAR-END	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
YEAR 2014 TOTAL	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1
YEAR: 2013														
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
YEAR 2013 TOTAL	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
YEAR: 2012														
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
YEAR 2012 TOTAL	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
FINAL TOTAL	0	3	4	7	0	3	0	6	1	5	2	7	0	1

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY

#### URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING LELAND RD at WARNER-PARROTT RD, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

Total crash records: 2

	S D																			
	P R S	W				INT-TYPE					SPCL USE									
	E A U C	O DATE	CLASS	CITY STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			A S	3				
SER#	E L G H	R DAY	DIST	FIRST STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G E	LICNS	PED			
INVEST	DCSL	K TIME	FROM	SECOND STREET	LOCTN	(#LANES)	CONTL	DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	TO	P# TYPE	SVRTY	E X	RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE
00720	N N N	03/02/2013	16	LELAND RD	INTER	CROSS	N	N	RAIN	PED	01 NONE 0	TURN-R								02
CITY		SA	0	WARNER-PARROTT RD	SW		TRF SIGNAL	N	WET	PED	PRVTE	W -S							000	00
		8P			06	0		N	DUSK	INJ	PSNGR CAR		01 DRVR	NONE	42 M			029	000	02
																OR<25				
												- STRGHT	01 PED	TNJTB	14 M		T XWI.K	000	035	0.0
												- STRGHT W E	01 PED	INJB	14 M		I XWLK	000	035	00
04120	N N N	10/26/2013	16	LELAND PD	TNTER	POSS	N	N	CI.R	ANGIOTH	0.1 NONE 0	STRGHT W E	01 PED	INJB	14 M		I XWLK	000	035	
04120 NONE	N N N	10/26/2013 SA	16	LELAND RD	INTER CN	CROSS	N TRF SIGNAL	N N	CLR	ANGL-OTH	01 NONE 0	STRGHT W E STRGHT	01 PED	INJB	14 M		I XWLK	000		04
04120 NONE	N N N	SA	16	LELAND RD WARNER-PARROTT RD	CN	CROSS	N TRF SIGNAL	N N	DRY	ANGL	PRVTE	STRGHT W E							000	04
	N N N		16					N				STRGHT W E STRGHT	01 PED 01 DRVR					000		04
	N N N	SA	16		CN			N	DRY	ANGL	PRVTE	STRGHT W E STRGHT				OR-Y			000	04
	N N N	SA	16		CN			N	DRY	ANGL	PRVTE PSNGR CAR	STRGHT W E STRGHT S -N				OR-Y			000	04
	N N N	SA	16		CN			N	DRY	ANGL	PRVTE PSNGR CAR 02 NONE 0	STRGHT W E STRGHT S -N		NONE		OR-Y			000	04 00 00

#### TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT

CRASH SUMMARIES BY YEAR BY COLLISION TYPE

LELAND RD at WARNER-PARROTT RD, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2011 to 12/31/2015

		NON-	PROPERTY										INTER-	
COLLISION TYPE	FATAL CRASHES	FATAL CRASHES	DAMAGE ONLY	TOTAL CRASHES	PEOPLE KILLED	PEOPLE INJURED	TRUCKS	DRY SURF	WET SURF	DAY	DARK	INTER- SECTION	SECTION RELATED	OFF- ROAD
YEAR: 2013														
ANGLE	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
PEDESTRIAN	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
YEAR 2013 TOTAL	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0
FINAL TOTAL	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0





## **Exhibit F: Public Facilities Memorandum**

12965 SW HERMAN RD., SUITE 100 . TUALATIN, OR 97062

P: (503) 563-6151 F: (503) 563-6152

April 3, 2017

City of Oregon City Planning Department 221 Molalla Avenue, Suite 200 Oregon City, OR 97045

Re: Adequacy of Public Facilities (Water, Sanitary Sewer, Storm Drainage, and Streets) for a Zone Change on Properties located along Central Point Road (identified as Clackamas County 3 2E 07C 1001, 1100, 1180, and 1291 and 3 1E 12D 1700, and 1790)

City Planning Department Staff:

AKS has performed significant engineering due diligence for the subject properties including reviewing City Master Plans for transportation and utilities, reviewing City GIS Maps and as-built records, and performing field surveys. AKS is familiar with this area, as we have performed engineering services on several projects near to and/or adjacent to the subject properties. In addition, AKS reviewed the project with City Engineering Staff. Through our extensive research, we are not aware of any deficiencies with public facilities. It is our understanding that public facilities are available and adequate for the zone change of these properties.

Sincerely,


AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC

Montgomery B. Hurley – PE, PLS


Principal



## **Exhibit G: Neighborhood Meeting Documentation**



AKS DRAWING FILE: 5621 NEIGHBOOR PLANS,DWG | LAYOUT: 1





Date:	F-18-17	South	uth End Neighborhood Association Meeting	leeting	
#	Name:	Address:		Phone #:50	
_	Harryste Shroot	Street:	19067 maynosolso	Home: 503-722846	
-1	9	Email:	shuell 8055 & comcastnet	Cell:	-
C	LEC + SUE MULLER	Street:	11957 HADE PARTAL	Ноте:	
7		Email:	harley lee Jung, com	Cell:	
ď	CHRIS GOODELL	Street:	12965 SW HERMARY ROAD TLANTIN HOME:	Home:	T
)		Email:	chrisgeaks-eng.cem	Cell:	
4	ion O'Brien	Street:	19364 HAZELGROVE DA	Home: 505 773 5534	11
•		Email:	HAUE 17	Cell:	
Lſ		Street:	1196 SUNNY LAND	Home:	
)	JOHN WILLAMS	Email:		Cell:	-
٧		Street:	19925 Orchord From Drive	Home:	( Jul
0	Break Wisseld	Email:	brendispiduluhaderosmillicom	Cell:	
	+	Street:	19725 Orchard Grove Dr.	Home:	
`	Loe Wheeler	Email:	ioe.e.wheeler @comcast.not	cell: 583,260.6104	
OX	Serve Bill	Street:	11835 Part LOW R	Home: 208-816-14413	<del>(1)</del>
0		Email:	reneshus/10 g Mail, Com	Cell:	
o	M. W.	Street:	$\mathcal{L}$	Ноте:	
<u> </u>		Email:	Maya Jose 74.059	Cell: (Seg) 753-222	

Date:	4181-5	South	South End Neighborhood Association Meeting	eeting
#	Name:	Address:		Phone #:
_		Street:	OREGIN CITY - CITY HAVE	Home: 503 657.0871
-1		Email:		Cell:
~		Street:	19310 Tower Hill Drive	Home: 503-735-5741
1	Cimp Ownin	Email:		Cell:
ď	The sector	Street:	19380 Hazel Grove Dr.	Home: 523-655-7977
)	Z Z	Email:		Cell:
	Jim J. L	Street:	<i>'' ') ') '' ''</i>	Home:
r	I RITZ	Email:	Jim Peitzegmail.com.	Cell:
г	Cost Sepuson	Street:	18813 PANISON DE	Ноте:
)		Email:		Cell:
ر د	Dow wheeled	Street:	1999 S. Whelpe	Ноте:
)		Email:		Cell:
	my Charle	Street:	1804 Jaza Ct	Home:
		Email:	etas Luva @ Loom	cell: 503-313-73-38
00		Street:		Ноте:
0	Ling Thurs	Email:		Cell:
σ	7	Street:	889 South End Rd	Home:
)	KEUS CIFE	Email:	Krertel@hotmail.com	Cell:

Date:	2-18-17	South	South End Neighborhood Association Meeting	eeting
#	Name:	Address:		Phone #:
-	KENTU / CHERRY STRUCKLAND	Street:	18967 PAUSEN DR., 0.C	Home:
<b></b>		Email:	,	Cell:
C	NJ WILLAM	Street:	PO Box 601 OC	Home:
٦		Email:	militarite@att.net	Cell:
r		Street:		Ноте:
)		Email:		Cell:
		Street:		Ноте:
		Email:		Cell:
L		Street:		Ноте:
)		Email:		Cell:
u		Street:		Ноте:
)		Email:		Cell:
<u> </u>		Street:		Home:
		Email:		Cell:
O		Street:		Home:
0		Email:		Cell:
O		Street:		Home:
		Email:		Cell:

May 30, 2017

**Neighborhood Meeting Minutes:** Wheeler Farms Subdivision

19566 Central Point Road, Oregon City, OR

Meeting Date: May 19, 2017

**Time:** 7:00 PM

Location: Oregon City United Methodist Church, 18955 South End Road, Oregon City, OR

The Applicant attended a South End Neighborhood Association meeting to present details to neighbors and community members in preparation for the submission of land use applications for a zone change and subdivision. Chris Goodell, with AKS Engineering & Forestry, was present. An overview of the project location, current and future zoning, lot sizes, lot configuration, public utilities, public streets, open space tracts, and recent surrounding developments was provided. The planned applications and a general process and timeframe for the land use reviews and construction permitting process were described. Sign-in sheets and business cards were provided.

Following the presentation, attendees asked questions and/or provided general comments about the project. The following topics were discussed:

- Other projects in the area
- Traffic/ construction traffic on S. Central Point Road
- Estimated price of future homes
- Growth in area over time
- Off-site intersections
- Wheeler family history (provided by Don Wheeler)

The meeting concluded at approximately 8:00 p.m.

Sincerely,

AKS ENGINEERING & FORESTRY, LLC

Chris Goodell, AICP, LEEDAP



# **Exhibit H: City Pre-Application Conference Summary**



### **Pre-Application Conference Notes**


(PA 16-54, December 6, 2016)

These are preliminary notes based on the application submitted.

Proposed Project: Approximately 83± lot subdivision and rezone

#### **General Information:**

- Location: Located in the vicinity southeast of Orchard Grove Dr.
   Clackamas County Map 3-2E-07C, tax lots: 101, 1291, 1100, 1180
   Clackamas County Map 3-1E-12D, tax lots: 1700 and 1790
- Zoning: R-10 Single-Family Dwelling District
- Overlay Districts: Geologic Hazards, Natural Resource Overlay District
- Street Designations: Central Point RD: Collector
- Transportation System Plan:



#### Planning Review and Application Fees:

The Planning Division fees for this application are anticipated to increase on January 1st. The 2017 Planning applications and fees include-

- Subdivision: \$4,136 plus \$344 per lot
- Mailing Labels: \$15 or provided by applicant
- Transportation Study: \$1,365 + \$682 for Large Study Area/Near Key Corridor + \$2,046 for Zone Change
- Zone Change: \$2,798
- Natural Resource Overlay District: \$1,959
- Property Line Adjustment: \$1,159
- Geologic Hazards Review: See Public Works Notes

#### **Review Process:**

Though the Subdivision itself is a **Type II** application the Zone Change is a **Type IV** application, and thus the combined applications are subject to a Type IV process. Type IV decisions include only quasi-judicial plan amendments and zone changes. These applications involve the greatest amount of discretion and evaluation of subjective approval standards and must be heard by the city commission for final action. The process for these land use decisions is controlled by ORS 197.763. At the evidentiary hearing held


before the planning commission, all issues are addressed. If the planning commission denies the application, any party with standing (i.e., anyone who appeared before the planning commission either in person or in writing within the comment period) may appeal the planning commission denial to the city commission. If the planning commission denies the application and no appeal has been received within fourteen days of the issuance of the final decision then the action of the planning commission becomes the final decision of the city. If the planning commission votes to approve the application, that decision is forwarded as a recommendation to the city commission for final consideration. In either case, any review by the city commission is on the record and only issues raised before the planning commission may be raised before the city commission. The city commission decision is the city's final decision and is subject to review by the land use board of appeals (LUBA) within twenty-one days of when it becomes final.

#### Subdivision Layout

- The proposed layout generally complies with the Planning requirements within the Oregon City Municipal Code.
- The right-of-way adjacent to lot 62 and 72 is awkward and should be contain a wider width.
- Submit documentation identifying the lot averages the minimum square footage of the zoning designation and that no lot is less than 20% of that size.. The lot widths, depths, and frontage appear to comply with minimums.
- A tree removal and mitigation plan is required which must include the lot setbacks and the caliper of the trees to be removed as well as the species, caliper and location of the mitigation trees. The tree mitigation plan report shall be prepared by a certified arborist, horticulturalist or forester or other environmental professional with experience and academic credentials in forestry or arborculture. Provide sufficient documentation if utilizing the following exemption in 17.41.040:

These standards are not intended to regulate farm and forest practices as those practices are defined under ORS 30.930. Farm or forest resources. An applicant for development may claim exemption from compliance with these standards if the development site containing the regulated grove or trees was a designated farm or forest use, tree farm, Christmas tree plantation, or other approved timber use within one year prior to development application. "Forest practices" and "forestlands" as used in this subsection shall have the meaning as set out in ORS 30.930.

- Provide additional details about Tract B. Will this include a heritage tree site?
- A street tree plan including one for every 35' of frontage is required in accordance with OCMC 12.08.
   Please provide total frontage length in the application to demonstrate the number of street trees is correct.
- Identify all existing structures to remain and demonstrate compliance with required setbacks, lot coverage, etc.
- Urban and Rural Reserve: The property is adjacent to the rural reserve designation.



#### Zone Change:


Identify compliance with the Zone Change criteria in OCMC 17.68.020, which includes findings for compliance with state land use goals and the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan.

#### **Property Line Adjustment**

The proposed Property Line Adjustment is unclear, please provide additional specificity. Please provide a clear depiction of the site before and after and indicate property ownership for tax lot 1180 and 1291. If under the same ownership in the site, they are considered part of the development.


#### Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD)

A portion of the site is within the Natural Resource Overlay District. An application prepared by a qualified professional and in compliance with OCMC 17.49 is required.



#### **Geologic Hazards Overlay District:**


Please refer to the notes by the Development Services Department.



#### Questions from the Applicant

1. Please discuss the zone change process and confirm if the R-8 is an acceptable zone for this site.

- Response: Please see the above description of the Type IV process. This may be further defined in OCMC 17.50.030.D. Criteria for the Zone Change is identified in OCMC 17.68.020.
- 2. Please confirm that a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment would not be necessary with a zone change to R-8, as all tax lots are within the Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan designation. Response: The Low Density Residential Comprehensive Plan designation includes the R-10, R-8 and R-6 Single-Family Dwelling Districts.
- 3. Please confirm the minimum and maximum residential densities in the R-8 and R-10 districts, and please confirm that the densities shown in the layouts provided are acceptable to the City. Response: Minimum and maximum densities are described in Title 16 as well as the zoning designation proposed. Minimum density is 80% of the maximum allowed. Lot sizes may be up to 20% smaller than the minimum, provided the subdivision averages to the minimum.
- 4. Please confirm if the preliminary layouts/lot configurations are acceptable to the City. Response: Please see the notes within this report.
- Please confirm the required setbacks, lot dimensions, and lot areas.
   Response: Please refer to the dimensional standards of the zoning designation in 17.08 or 17.10.
- 6. Are there any overlay designations that will apply to this property? Response: Yes, please see the first section of this report.
- Please let us know of any tree removal requirements or concerns.
   Response: Tree removal requirements are identified in OCMC 17.49 for those within the NROD and 17.41
- 8. Please confirm the City review procedure type and the required land use application(s). Response: Please see notes above.
- 9. Please let us know if any additional studies or analyses (natural resources, geotechnical, soils, etc.) are necessary.
  - Response: Please see notes above.
- 10. Please discuss any pending/future Municipal Code changes and what impact those Code changes may have on this application/project.
  - Response: None yet, but we will be working on code changes in 2017.



Transportation Review:

Your application was reviewed by John Replinger of Replinger and Associates, a city consultant for transportation engineering. You may contact John Replinger, at Replinger-Associates@comcast.net or at 503.719.3383.

Based on your submittal, a traffic engineer shall conduct a transportation study in conformance with the City's *Guidelines for Transportation Impact Analyses* available on the Oregon City website. Based on the information provided by the applicant, it appears the trip generation exceeds the level at which the project's transportation analysis requirements can be satisfied by submittal of a Transportation Analysis Letter (TAL). A full Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) will be required. Among other requirements, a full TIA includes conducting traffic counts and operational analysis of impacted intersections will be required. Intersections to be analyzed include the site access and intersections of collector/collector and higher where traffic volumes from the development exceed 20 peak hour trips.

Because the proposal includes a zone change, the applicant will also need to address the requirements of Oregon's Transportation Planning Rule. Specifically, the applicant shall address the provisions of 660-12-0060 Plan and Land Use Regulation Amendments. When a zone change is proposed, a future year analysis is required assessing the impact associated with the planning horizon specified in the city's adopted Transportation System Plan.

The applicant and his traffic engineer should review the *Guidelines for Transportation Impact Analyses* and the most recent mobility standards as specified in Oregon City Municipal Code section 12.04.205.

The applicant and his traffic engineer should review the Guidelines for Transportation Impact Analyses and the most recent mobility standards as specified in Oregon City Municipal Code section 12.04.205.

#### **Planning Division**

Laura Terway, Planning Manager with the Oregon City Planning Division reviewed your pre-application. You may contact Laura Terway at 503.496.1553 or Iterway@orcity.org.

#### **Development Services Division**

Wendy Marshall, Development Project Manager with the Oregon City Development Services Division reviewed your pre-application. You may contact Wendy Marshall at 503.496.1548 or wmarshall@orcity.org.

#### **Building Division:**

Your application was transmitted to our Building Official whom provided comments. You may contact Mike Roberts, Building Official, at 503.496.1517 or mroberts@orcity.org if you have any building related questions.

#### **Clackamas County Fire:**

Your application was transmitted to Mike Boumann, Lieutenant Deputy Fire Marshal of Clackamas County Fire District #1. No comments were returned regarding your application. You may contact Mr. Boumann at 503.742.2660 or at michaelbou@ccfd1.com.

#### Other notes:

 A neighborhood meeting is required. You are in the Hazel Grove-Westling Farms (Non-active Neighborhood Association). Contact the South End Neighborhood Association to setup a meeting.

Chair: Bill McConnel, sena97045@gmail.com Vice Chair: Gary Fergus, Interim Vice Chair fergusfamily@gmail.com

Secretary/Treasurer: vacant

- Per Annexation AN 06-02, each new home is required to pay a Police fee of \$3,500 if submitted prior to July 1, 2018. Copies of the recorded agreements are attached.
- The questions regarding the park space were forwarded to Phil Lewis, Community Services Director.

- Notice of your proposed development has been provided to the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and all affected tribes per OCMC chapter 17.62.040.H.
- The existing dwellings and all accessory structures shall be removed prior to final plat unless they comply with all new standards.
- Fence height limitations provided in OCMC 17.54.100.
- If you would like to build a sign for the subdivision, the sign code can be found in OCMC 15.28.
- Residential Design Standards are provided in OCMC chapter 17.20 and 17.21.
- All applicable System Development Charges (SDC) shall be due and payable upon building permit issuance.
- No proposed changes to the Oregon City Municipal Code are proposed which would affect your proposal.

#### Oregon City Municipal Code Criteria:

The following chapters of the Oregon City Municipal Code (OCMC) may be applicable to this proposal:

OCMC 12.04 - Streets, Sidewalks and Public Places

OCMC 12.08 - Public and Street Trees

OCMC 13.12 - Stormwater Management

OCMC 16.08 - Subdivisions - Processes and Standards

OCMC 16.12 - Minimum Improvements and Design Standards for Land Divisions

OCMC 16.20 - Property Line Adjustments and Abandonments

OCMC 17.08 - "R-10" Single-Family Dwelling District

OCMC 17.10 - "R-8" Single-Family Dwelling District

OCMC 17.20 - Residential Design Standards

OCMC 17.41- Tree Protection Standards

OCMC 17.44 – Geologic Hazards

OCMC 17,49 - Natural Resources Overlay District

OCMC 17.50 - Administrative Processes

OCMC 17.68 – Zone Changes and Amendments

#### Pre-application conferences are required by Section 17.50.050 of the City Code, as follows:

A. Preapplication Conference. Prior to submitting an application for any form of permit, the applicant shall schedule and attend a preapplication conference with City staff to discuss the proposal. To schedule a preapplication conference, the applicant shall contact the Planning Division, submit the required materials, and pay the appropriate conference fee. At a minimum, an applicant should submit a short narrative describing the proposal and a proposed site plan, drawn to a scale acceptable to the City, which identifies the proposed land uses, traffic circulation, and public rights-of-way and all other required plans. The purpose of the preapplication conference is to provide an opportunity for staff to provide the applicant with information on the likely impacts, limitations, requirements, approval standards, fees and other information that may affect the proposal. The Planning Division shall provide the applicant(s) with the identity and contact persons for all affected neighborhood associations as well as a written summary of the preapplication conference. Notwithstanding any representations by City staff at a preapplication conference, staff is not authorized to waive any requirements of this code, and any omission or failure by staff to recite to an applicant all relevant applicable land use requirements shall not constitute a waiver by the City of any standard or requirement.

B. A preapplication conference shall be valid for a period of six months from the date it is held. If no application is filed within six months of the conference or meeting, the applicant must schedule and attend another conference before the City will accept a permit application. The community development director may waive the preapplication requirement if, in the Director's opinion, the development does not warrant this step. In no case shall a preapplication conference be valid for more than one year.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: A property owner may apply for any permit they wish for their property. HOWEVER, THERE ARE NO GUARANTEES THAT ANY APPLICATION WILL BE APPROVED. No decisions are made until all reports and testimony have been submitted. This form will be kept by the Community Development Department. A copy will be given to the applicant. If the applicant does not submit an application within six (6) months from the Pre-application Conference meeting date, a NEW Pre-Application Conference will be required.



625 Center Street | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 657-0891 | Fax (503) 657-7829

#### **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES**

#### PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES

Date: 12-6-2016

Planning Project Number: PA 16-54

Address:

19566 Central Point Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

Map Number(s):

3-2E-07C; 3-1E-12D

Tax Lot(s):

1001, 1291, 1100, 1180; 1700, 1790

Project Name:

Wheeler Farms

Meeting Date:

December 6, 2016 (Notes updated December 28, 2016)

Reviewer(s):

Hunter Bennett-Daggett, PE

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

- The Applicant is responsible for this project's compliance with Engineering Policy 00-01. The
  policy pertains to any land use decision requiring the Applicant to provide any public
  improvements.
- 2. A performance bond and agreement shall be provided to the City prior to issuance of construction plans.
- 3. The Applicant may be required to sign a Non-Remonstrance Agreement for the purpose of making sanitary sewer, storm sewer, water or street improvements in the future that benefit the Property and assessing the cost to benefited properties.
- 4. All applicable System Development Charges (SDC) shall be due and payable upon building permit issuance. Applicant will need to complete a SDC request form, found on the City's website, for an estimate of fees.

#### **ENGINEERING - UTILITIES**

#### Streets

- 1. The proposed development includes several new streets and extensions of existing streets. All new streets within the proposed development will be functionally classified as a Local (Residential). For a residential local, the Oregon City Municipal Code (OCMC) requires a 54-foot-wide right-of-way (ROW), two (2) 16-foot-wide shared travel lanes, two (2) 5-foot-wide planter strips, two (2) 5-foot-wide sidewalks, and two (2) 0.5-foot-wide public access strips. Additional requirements include curb, gutter, street trees, and street lights.
- 2. The southwestern edge of the proposed development includes a narrow strip of land that will eventually be part of an extension of White Lane. This strip of land is not wide enough to create a functional half street that aligns with the existing street; however, the aligned portion will need to be dedicated as right-of-way. Fee-in-lieu payment will be required for



625 Center Street | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 657-0891 | Fax (503) 657-7829

street improvements that cannot be constructed due to space constraints. Fee-in-lieu shall be paid to the centerline of the future street.

- 3. Block length standards, as outlined in OCMC 12.04.195, must be met even for proposed developments adjacent to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). The proposed development meets block length requirements, with the exception of the "island" created at the center, which exceeds the 530-foot max block length when considered with the relevant portion of the Ed's Orchard subdivision. As a result, a mid-block pedestrian path is required. The applicant may request that this pedestrian path requirement be waived by providing appropriate justifications, addressing such items as: location of pedestrian generators within the subdivision, number of pedestrians served, most likely routes for pedestrians through the subdivision, the availability of pedestrian routes and circulation, and the available route to Central Point Road (a Collector road).
- With the exception of White Lane, streets in the proposed development will not be required to extend to the UGB.
- 5. A 10-foot-wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) will be required along the frontage of all lots.
- 6. Reduction to the standard improvements and right-of-way dedication may be requested through the modification process outlined in OCMC 12.04.007.
- 7. The proposed development includes a section of Shared-Use Path, connecting Larence Lane to Orchard Grove Drive. The standard residential local street section shall be considered acceptable for the Shared-Use Path.
- 8. The portion of Orchard Grove Drive that was not previously built during construction of the Highland Park subdivision shall be constructed to meet residential local street requirements. Fee-in-lieu previously paid for this unconstructed street portion shall be available for construction of the full street improvements as part of the proposed development.
- 9. Two large trees (54-inch and 43-inch diameter) are located within the proposed Orchard Grove Drive ROW. The applicant has requested consideration of modifications to the required street section to allow preservation of the trees. Due to the location of the trees with respect to the proposed ROW and 10-foot PUE, it does not appear feasible to protect the trees. The root systems of the trees are unknown but are likely to be negatively impacted by grading and utility construction, thereby reducing the long-term health of the trees. In addition, proximity of the root systems to the ROW and PUE causes concern for future root growth effects on utilities in the area, including curb/gutter, sidewalk, pavement, and underground pipe systems. A constrained ROW does not appear to provide adequate space for the ROW, PUE, and grading and utility construction without negative impacts to the trees. If the applicant wishes to preserve the trees, an arborist's report shall be provided addressing the City's concerns and showing that there would be no adverse impacts to the trees from the construction of the grading and underground improvements proposed in the ROW and 10-foot PUE, no long-term adverse impacts to the trees as the root system grows, and no adverse impacts to the public and private improvements as the root system grows.



625 Center Street | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 657-0891 | Fax (503) 657-7829

#### Stormwater

1. The proposed development shall adhere to the requirements of the current Stormwater and Grading Design Standards. The current Standards can be found online here:

### http://www.orcity.org/sites/default/files/final_manual_0.pdf

- 2. The General Threshold(s) for Applicability of the Stormwater and Grading Design Standards:
  - a. Development activities that result in **5,000 square feet of new or replaced impervious surface**, cumulative over a 5-year period, are subject to the requirements of these standards.
- 3. If the above threshold is met, the Applicant must submit a completed *Site Assessment and Planning Checklist* (and other attachments as described in **Section 9.1.1**) as part of the land use application process. This submittal shall constitute a preliminary drainage report and form the basis for developing the Stormwater Management Plan described in **Section 9.1.2**. Submittal of the final Stormwater Management Plan will be required prior to issuance of Public Works permits.
- 4. It does not appear possible to convey stormwater from the proposed development to any existing City stormwater system by gravity. The applicant proposes to construct a new stormwater facility within the proposed development. The proposed facility shall meet the requirements of the City's Stormwater and Grading Design Standards.
- 5. The submitted materials for some layouts show an access easement to the proposed stormwater facility. An access road shall be provided within the easement, complying with the requirements of the Stormwater and Grading Design Standards. If the access road exceeds 300 feet in length, a truck turn-around shall be provided.

#### Water

- There are existing 8-inch ductile iron water mains within Orchard Grove Drive and Larence Lane. New 8-inch ductile iron water mains shall be provided in all streets within the proposed development and shall connect to existing water mains wherever possible. Stubs shall be provided at the end of any streets with future connections.
- 2. The Highland Park subdivision installed a 4-inch ductile iron water main in the half-street portion of Orchard Grove Drive adjacent to White Lane. This street portion will be extended to full width by the proposed project, and the improvements shall include an 8-inch ductile iron water main that allows the 4-inch water main to be taken out of service.
- 3. The narrow portion of land at the southwestern edge of the proposed development that will eventually be part of an extension of White Lane is not wide enough to accommodate a water main extension along the frontage, as required. Temporary looping is required along the White Lane ROW until an 8-inch water main is extended when White Lane ROW is fully developed. Proposed water system improvements shall include an 8-inch waterline



625 Center Street | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 657-0891 | Fax (503) 657-7829

extension to the southeast in the proposed intersection improvements of White Lane and Orchard Grove Drive with reduction to a temporary 4-inch waterline extension in an easement along proposed White Lane ROW and looped to the proposed water pipe at the street intersection of the southeasterly subdivision street.

4. Any new fire hydrants shall be located per the requirements and direction of Clackamas Fire District No. 1.

#### **Sanitary Sewer**

- 1. There are existing 8-inch PVC sanitary sewer pipes within Orchard Grove Drive and Larence Lane. The applicant proposes to connect to these existing sewer pipes via gravity. The City's Sanitary Sewer Master Plan calls for a new public sewer pump station in the area of the proposed development. In order to justify the deviation from the master plan, the applicant will need to demonstrate that the proposed gravity sewer can adequately serve all proposed lots and not negatively impact future development. Proposed gravity sewers shall comply with all applicable City design standards, including minimum sanitary sewer slope requirements.
- 2. Proposed sanitary sewer depths, slopes, and covers will need to be provided to the City to allow evaluation of shallow sewers. Where shallow sewer laterals are approved by the City, ductile iron pipe is typically required for any sewer with less than three feet of cover.
- 3. The narrow portion of land at the southwestern edge of the proposed development that will eventually be part of an extension of White Lane is not wide enough to accommodate a sanitary sewer extension along the frontage, as required. Fee-in-lieu will be required to allow for future extension of this sewer.
- 4. As part of this proposed development, any existing SFRs that are to be demolished must abandon their septic system per State, County, and City requirements. Contact the Building Department regarding abandonment requirements.

#### Other

- 1. A portion of the proposed development is within the Natural Resource Overlay District (NROD). Section 17.49 of the OCMC will need to be addressed in the application.
- 2. A portion of the proposed development is within the Geologic Hazard area. Section 17.44 of the OCMC will need to be addressed in the application. A geotechnical report will be required and shall provide recommendations as applicable for the proposed public and private subdivision improvements. There is a pass-through fee for the City's geotechnical peer review. Total peer review costs shall be paid by the applicant.
- A portion of the proposed development is within the High Water Table area. The geotechnical report addressing the Geologic Hazard area shall also address high groundwater impacts on construction.





625 Center Street | Oregon City OR 97045 Ph (503) 657-0891 | Fax (503) 657-7829

P:\15285\135-15285-15001\Docs\PA 16-54 Wheeler Farms\20161205 Pre-App Conf Meeting Notes_DevServices_PA 16-54 Updated.docx




## **Exhibit I: Clackamas County Assessor's Maps**







## **Exhibit J: Mailing Labels**



31E12D 01501	31E12D 01500	31E12D 01700
Naomi Lawyer	Naomi Lawyer	Wheeler Enterprises LLC
19624 Central Point Rd	19624 Central Point Rd	19566 Central Point Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
	enegen enty, enter to	oregon dity, entere
31E13 00100	31E13 00200	32E07C 00800
William J L Vandermolen	William J L Vandermolen	West Rictor
20016 White Ln	20016 White Ln	19500 Orchard Grove Dr
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
32E07C 01001	32E07C 01002	32E07C 01003
Wheeler Enterprises LLC 19566 Central Point Rd	Rian Park Development Inc Po Box 2559	Rian Park Development Inc Po Box 2559
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
oregon city, on 97043	oregon city, on 97043	oregon city, on 97043
32E07C 01100	32E07C 01180	32E07C 01201
David Wheeler Sr.	David Wheeler Sr.	Donald & Roxanne Wheeler
19566 Central Point Rd	19566 Central Point Rd	19898 White Ln
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
31E13 00101	31E13 00191	31E13 00280
Donald & Michele Jahn	Donald & Michele Jahn	William J L Vandermolen
19918 White Ln	19918 White Ln	20016 White Ln
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
31E12D 01790	32E07C 01291	32E07C 01101
Wheeler Enterprises LLC	Donald & Roxanne Wheeler	David Wheeler Jr.
19566 Central Point Rd	19898 White Ln	19588 Central Point Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
32E07C 01191	31E12DD02400	31E12DD02503
David Wheeler Jr. 19588 Central Point Rd	Payson Farms Homeowners Assoc 722 Main St #D	Joshua Lewis Bell 11839 White Ln
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
oregon city, on 97045	oregon city, ok 97045	Oregon City, On 97043
31E12DD02504	31E12DD02505	31E12DD02506
Joshua Cantley	Julie & Tyler Newsome	Bryan Esler
11845 White Ln	11851 White Ln	11857 White Ln
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, OR 97045
31E12DD02507	31E12DD02508	31E12DD02509
Brian Fosmark	Jo Ann Rose	Adam Holtgrew
11863 White Ln	11860 Payson Ln Oregon City, OR 97045	11854 Payson Ln Oregon City, OR 97045
Oregon City, OR 97045	Oregon City, On 37045	Gregori City, Ok 97045
31E12DD02510	31E12DD02511	31E12DD02520
R Martin Berglund	Maryann Meaney	Jessica & Brian Graham

Maryann Meaney

11842 Payson Ln

Oregon City, OR 97045

Jessica & Brian Graham

11853 Payson Ln

Oregon City, OR 97045

R Martin Berglund

2209 Quail Point Ter

Medford, OR 97504

31E12D 01701 Rian Park Development Inc Po Box 2559 Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB01800 David Shoup 19483 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04100 Eric & Hanh Batdorf 12069 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB04200 Jesse & Tammy Baldwin 12079 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04300 Roger Dunigan 12099 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04400 Timothy & Amy Manzella 12101 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB04500 Brian & Shawn Ziettlow 12111 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04600 Leo Marsh 12121 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04700 Jeffrey & Kathleen Boeckel 12131 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB04800 Scott & Brenda Martin 12141 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB04900 Dennis Kennedy 12140 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB05000 Charles & Sherry Gregory 12130 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB05100 Jonathan & Mary Heins 12120 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB05200 Howard & Pamela Burge 12110 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB05300 Michael & Sarah Eubanks 12100 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB05400 Henry Miller III 12090 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB05500 Douglas & Tamami Thurston 12070 Hazeldell Ave Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB07000 Gregory Hoff 12109 Hazel Park Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB07100 Richard & Jill Durr 12119 Hazel Park Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB07200 Michael & Shelley McCoy 12129 Hazel Park Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB07300 Alice Co-E Hayden 19493 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB07500 West Rictor 19500 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB07600 David Sundquist 19496 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 32E07CB07700 Terry Boyd 19492 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

32E07CB07800 George & Linda Myers 19488 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 31E12DA04900 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 31E12DA05000 Eric Piper 11855 Blanchet Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA05100 Brian Grigsby 11849 Blanchet Dr Oregon City, OR 97045 31E12DA05200 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 31E12DA05300 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 31E12DA05400 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA05700 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA06000 Brenda & Joseph Wheeler 19725 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA03400 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA03700 Jon & Lynnette McHenry 12072 Hazelnut Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA04000 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04300 Debra & Douglas Steele 19722 Larence Ln Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA04600 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04990 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 31E12DA05500 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA05800 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA06100 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 19717 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA03500 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA03800 Marie Laird Jensen 12071 Hazelnut Ave Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA04100 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04400 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04700 Dawn Ashpole 19691 Orchard Grove Dr Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA05090 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 31E12DA05600 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA05900 Kevin Sr & Karma McDowell 11858 White Ln Oregon City, OR 97045

31E12DA03300 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA03600 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA03900 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04200 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04500 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

31E12DA04800 Stone Bridge Homes Nw LLC 4230 Galewood St #100 Lake Oswego, OR 97035