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## ExECUTIVE SumMARY

1. A 35.65 -acre property located on the north side of Holcomb Boulevard between S Oak Tree Terrace and S Winston Drive is proposed for annexation into the City of Oregon City. Upon annexation, the property will be rezoned to R-10 zoning in conformance with the city's Comprehensive Plan.
2. Based on a comparison of the allowed uses under the existing and proposed zoning of the subject property, the proposed annexation could result in up to 91 additional trips during the morning peak hour, with 22 entering and 69 exiting the site. During the evening peak hour121 additional site trips are projected, with 76 entering and 45 exiting the site.
3. Based on the detailed review of crash history at the study area intersections, the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety.
4. The intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road was found to be among the top ten percent of high-crash intersections in the State of Oregon, with the vast majority of the reported crashes being rear-end collisions. One potential safety mitigation would be installing flashing warning signs that alert drivers to the potential for stopped queues ahead. These warning signs are most appropriate for the high-speed approaches on Highway 213, and particularly the southbound approach which has uninterrupted flow for 2.5 miles. This project is already included as a "likely to be funded" project for near-term implementation in the city's Transportation System Plan. Since the proposed annexation and zone change will not significantly impact either operation or safety of this intersection, no specific mitigation is recommended in conjunction with the currently-proposed land use action.
5. Based on the operational analysis, the study area intersections are not projected to meet the relevant operational standards of Oregon City and ODOT under year 2035 traffic conditions either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed annexation and zone. Although the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road is not projected to experience a change in operation as a result of the proposed annexation and zone change, the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard are projected to experience further degradation in performance upon development within the subject property. Potential mitigations include the addition of an eastbound right-turn lane on the Abernethy Road approach to Redland Road and providing three eastbound left-turn lanes from Redland Road onto Highway 213.
6. Based on the Transportation Planning Rule analysis, the city may find that the proposed annexation and zone change will not significantly effect an existing or planned transportation facility since the city's acknowledged Transportation System Plan already accounted for development under the proposed zoning. Alternatively, conditions of development or a development agreement may be implemented to ensure that no development can occur except as permitted under the existing zoning until refinement plans for the impacted intersections are prepared to address future capacity concerns, or proportionate mitigation is provided concurrent with development to offset the actual traffic impacts of the development.

## Project Description

## INTRODUCTION

A 35.65 -acre property located on the north side of Holcomb Boulevard between S Oak Tree Terrace and S Winston Drive is proposed for annexation into the City of Oregon City. The proposed annexation will also result in a zone change from the existing Clackamas County zoning to R-10 zoning on conformance with Oregon City's Comprehensive Plan.

The purpose of this study is to assess the potential impacts of the proposed annexation and address the transportation analysis requirements of Oregon City, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), and Oregon's Transportation Planning Rule. The report will identify the potential net increase in traffic and examine the transportation impacts of the added trips at the planning horizon. The report will include level of service calculations and volume-to-capacity calculations for existing conditions as well as year 2035 traffic conditions both with and without the proposed annexation. The analysis will also include a detailed examination of crash history at the study intersections.

Detailed information on traffic counts, crash data, and level of service calculations are included in the appendix to this report.

## LOCATION DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located on the north side of Holcomb Boulevard east of S Oak Tree Terrace and west of S Winston Drive. It is also located immediately east of the Holcomb Elementary School site. The subject property has frontage along Holcomb Boulevard, which will ultimately provide access to the future development within the site.

Based on discussions with Oregon City and ODOT staff, three intersections were identified as requiring analysis. These consisted of Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road, Highway 213 at Redland Road, and Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road. These intersections were examined during the morning and evening peak hours.

It should be noted that the need for analysis of other intersections was discussed with both Oregon City and ODOT staff and determined not to be necessary. In particular, the intersection of Highway 213 at Washington Street/Clackamas River Drive and Highway 213 at I-205 was determined not to be critical for analysis, since it was recently improved and has significant residual capacity. Similarly, the intersections of Highway 213 at the I-205 ramps were determined not to require analysis. Other intersections such as I-205 at Highway 99E will experience traffic increases far below the levels at which Oregon City and ODOT would require analysis to determine whether there is a significant effect from the proposed annexation and zone change ( 20 peak-hour trips and 400 daily trips, respectively).

Highway 213 is classified by ODOT as a District Highway. Its cross-section varies between four and six through lanes between I-205 and Molalla Avenue. The posted speed varies between 35 mph and

55 mph and additional left and right turn lanes are provided at intersections along this segment of Highway 213. Sidewalks are partially provided along this roadway south of Beavercreek Road and north of Clackamas River Drive. Bicycle facilities are provided along both sides of this roadway.

Redland Road is classified by Oregon City as a Minor Arterial. The segment of Redland Road between Highway 213 and Abernethy Road/Holcomb Road has a roadway cross-section of five lanes, which consists of through, left-turn, and right-turn lanes. South of Holcomb Road the roadway consists of one through lane in each direction. It has a posted speed of 45 mph . Sidewalks extend south of the intersection of Redland Road at Abernethy Road for approximately 450 feet. Bike lanes are available along both sides of Redland Road.

Abernethy Road is classified by Oregon City as a Minor Arterial. This roadway has one through lane in each direction and a shared left turning median lane with a posted speed of 35 mph . Sidewalks are provided on the southern side of the roadway and partially on the northern side. Bike lanes are in place along both sides of the roadway near the vicinity of Redland Road.

Holcomb Boulevard is classified by Oregon City as a Minor Arterial. The roadway has one through lane in each direction with a posted speed of 40 mph . Partial sidewalks and bike lanes are in place on both sides of the roadway.

Beavercreek Road is classified by Oregon City as a Major Arterial east of Molalla Avenue. It has two through lanes in each direction, with additional left-turn and right-turn lanes provided at intersecting roads. The posted speed is 35 mph . Sidewalks and bike lanes are provided on both sides of the roadway.

## Intersection Descriptions

The intersection of Highway 213 at Redland Road is a T-intersection controlled by a traffic signal. The eastbound approach has two left-turn lanes and one right-turn lane. The southbound approach has two through lanes and one right-turn lane. The northbound approach has two through lanes and one left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing. The eastbound and northbound approaches have marked crosswalks with pedestrian signals in place.

The intersection of Redland Road at Abernethy Road and Holcomb Boulevard is a 4-way intersection controlled by a traffic signal. The eastbound and northbound approaches each have a left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing and a shared through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach has a left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing, one through lane, and one shared through/right-turn lane. The southbound approach has one left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing, one through lane, and one right-turn lane. All four approaches have marked crosswalks with pedestrian signals in place.

The intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road is a 4-way intersection controlled by a traffic signal. The eastbound approach has two left-turn lanes operating with protected signal phasing, one through lane, and one shared through/right-turn lane. The westbound and southbound approaches have two left-turn lanes operating with protected signal phasing, two through lanes, and one rightturn lane. The northbound approach has one left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing,
two through lanes, and one right-turn lane. All four approaches have marked crosswalks with pedestrian signals in place.

Manual turning movement counts were made at the study intersections during January 2017 from 7:00 to 9:00 AM and from 4:00 to 6:00 PM. The peak hours occurred from about 7:10 to 8:10 AM and from 4:30 to 5:30 PM. Detailed traffic count data is included in the appendix to this report.

Figure 1 on page seven shows the project study area and the location of the site. Figure 2 on page eight shows the existing traffic volumes at the study area intersections.



## Trip Generation \& Distribution

## TRIP Generation

The subject property consists of 35.65 acres currently zoned Future-Use 10 -acre minimum (FU-10) by Clackamas County. Upon annexation of the property into the City of Oregon City, the property will be rezoned for residential use with a minimum lot size of $10,000 \mathrm{sf}(\mathrm{R}-10)$.

Under the existing Clackamas County zoning, the property can be developed with up to 3 lots with each lot having an area of at least 10 acres. Each lot may be developed with a single-family home.

Under the proposed zoning, the property can be developed with up to 124 lots of at least 10,000 square feet. Again, each lot may be developed with a single-family home.

Based on the comparison between the existing and proposed zonings, annexation of the subject property would be expected to result in the addition of up to 121 new homes within the subject property.

To estimate the number of trips that could be generated under the existing and proposed zonings, trip generation data from the Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers was used. The trip data was drawn from land use 210, Single-Family Detached Housing, and is based on the number of dwelling units.

The calculations indicate that the proposed annexation and zone change could result in up to 91 additional trips during the morning peak hour, with 22 entering and 69 exiting the site. During the evening peak hour, 121 additional trips could be expected, with 76 entering and 45 exiting the site. A daily increase of 1,152 trips is projected, with half entering and half exiting the site.

A summary of the trip generation calculation is provided in the table below. Detailed trip generation worksheets are included in the attached technical appendix.

|  | TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily Trips |  |  |
|  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| 3 Single-Family Homes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 28 |
| 124 Single-Family Homes | 23 | 70 | 93 | 78 | 46 | 124 | 590 | 590 | 1180 |
| Net New Site Trips | 22 | 69 | 91 | 76 | 45 | 121 | 576 | 576 | 1,152 |

TRIP DISTRIBUTION
The distribution of site trips was determined based on existing travel patterns in the site vicinity. Approximately 85 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the west through the intersection of Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road. The remaining 15 percent of site trips are projected to travel either to and from the east along Holcomb Boulevard or to destinations east of Redland Road along Holcomb Boulevard, such as other homes in the site vicinity, Holcomb Elementary School, Steve's Marketplace and the Quick Stop Market.

It should be noted that Holly Lane is planned for an extension from its current terminus at Redland Road to Holcomb Boulevard. Upon completion of this extension, it is expected that some trips currently traveling west along Holcomb Boulevard and then south on Redland Road to Holly Lane will divert to the new street connection. Some site trips from the proposed development will likewise divert to this new travel path, thereby bypassing the intersection of Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road.

A detailed diagram showing the distribution and assignment of site trips is provided on the following page. Based on the existing travel patterns in the site vicinity, 16 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the south along Redland Road, 25 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the west along Abernethy Road, 40 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the north along Highway 213, and 4 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the south along Highway 213.

The trip distribution patterns and trip assignment for the net increase in site trips associated with the proposed development are shown in Figure 3 on page 10.


## Safety Analysis

## Crash History

In order to identify any existing safety hazards in the site vicinity, a three-year crash history was obtained from ODOT's Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit. The data covered the period from January, 2013 through December 2015.

A brief discussion of crashes is provided for each of the study area intersections. In addition to the crash descriptions, calculated crash rates were determined for each location. Examination of the crash rate, expressed as the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (CMEV), allows intersections with widely different traffic volumes to be compared on the basis of relative crash risk. Based on ODOT data for signalized intersections in urban areas throughout the state, the intersections were evaluated to determine whether the crash history may be indicative of design deficiencies.

The intersection of Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road had 11 reported crashes during the three-year analysis interval. These included 6 read-end collisions, 4 turning-movement collisions and 1 angle collision. The crashes resulted in 2 non-incapacitating injuries and 2 reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain". The crash rate for the intersection was calculated to be 0.54 crashes per million entering vehicles. This crash rate is below the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate for signalized 4-legged intersections in the State of Oregon ( 0.860 CMEV ). Based on the crash rate and crash severity, no significant safety hazards were identified and no specific safety mitigation is recommended.

The intersection of Highway 213 at Redland Road had had 27 reported collisions during the threeyear analysis period. The crashes included 22 rear-end collisions, 4 turning-movement collisions, and 1 sideswipe-overtaking collision. The crashes resulted in no significant injuries or fatalities; however, there were 27 reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain". The crash rate for the intersection was calculated to be 0.46 crashes per million entering vehicles. This crash rate is below the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate for signalized 3-legged intersections in the State of Oregon (0.509 CMEV). Based on the crash rate and crash severity, no significant safety hazards were identified and no specific safety mitigation is recommended.

The intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road had 80 reported collisions during the threeyear analysis period. These included 70 rear-end collisions, 5 turning-movement collisions, 3 side-swipe-overtaking collisions, 1 angle collision and 1 backing collision. The crashes resulted in 6 nonincapacitating injuries and 41 reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain". The crash rate for the intersection was calculated to be 1.27 crashes per million entering vehicles. This crash rate is above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate for signalized 4-legged intersections in the State of Oregon ( 0.860 CMEV), indicating that the intersection is among the top 10 percent of high crash intersections in the State of Oregon. The intersection is also noted as a high-crash location in the city's Transportation System Plan.

It should also be noted that a fatal collision occurred at this intersection in September, 2011, prior to the most recent three years for which data is available. The fatality occurred when an eastbound
driver entered the intersection against the red indication and was struck by a southbound vehicle. Alcohol was determined to be a contributing factor in the collision.

Based on the detailed review of crash history at the intersection of Highway 213 and Beavercreek Road, the number of crashes occurring at the intersection is higher than would be expected for a typical intersection with similar characteristics. The vast majority of the reported crashes $(87.5 \%)$ were rear-end collisions. However, the rear-end collisions were distributed evenly among the intersection approaches, with 18 on the northbound approach, 18 on the southbound approach, 21 on the westbound approach and 10 on the eastbound approach. (The remaining two rear-end collisions were noted as "unknown" with respect to travel direction.) Since the rear-end collisions are occurring with similar frequency on the approaches, it is unlikely that the crashes result from any specific deficiency of the intersection design.

Based on the detailed crash data, no specific safety mitigations are recommended for the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road.

For the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road, it is recommended that consideration be given to installing flashing warning signs that alert drivers to the potential for stopped queues ahead. These warning signs are most appropriate for the high-speed approaches on Highway 213, and particularly the southbound approach since the nearest traffic signal to the north is approximately 2.5 miles away at Redland Road. It should be noted that such a system is included in the city's Transportation System Plan (project D14), which will install a queue warning system for southbound drivers on OR 213 which includes a variable message sign. The project is within the "likely to be funded" list and is designated for near-term implementation.

## Operational Analysis

## BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

In order to assess the impacts of the proposed annexation on traffic conditions at the planning horizon, the existing traffic volumes at the study area intersections were increased to account for anticipated growth through year 2035. Growth for other facilities within Oregon City was estimated based on in conformance with growth data from the 2013 Transportation System Plan, which shows an overall growth from 33,012 trips during the evening peak hour in 2010 to 54,461 trips during the evening peak hour in 2035. This equates to an exponential growth rate of 2.02 percent per year, which was applied to all intersection movements except the through movements along Highway 213. Traffic volume growth along Highway 213 was estimated using data from ODOT's Future Volume Tables, which show a projected linear growth rate of 0.73 percent per year.

For the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road, Oregon City's 2013 Transportation System Plan included projected year 2035 volumes for the PM peak hour. In order to ensure consistency with the city's TSP, these volumes were used for this intersection's evening peak hour analysis scenario. Additionally, traffic volumes along Highway 213 were balanced between the Beavercreek and Redland Road intersections to ensure that the Redland Road intersection analysis was also consistent with the TSP.

In addition to the increase in traffic volumes within the site vicinity, completion of the North Holly Lane Extension is expected to result in some re-distribution of existing traffic volumes in the site vicinity. Specifically, it is anticipated that approximately two thirds of the trips between Holcomb Boulevard and Redland Road south of Holcomb Boulevard will utilize the new Holly Lane extension, since this route will provide a more direct connection between these roadways. It is also anticipated that some site trips between Holcomb Boulevard and Highway 213 south of Redland Road will divert to the new North Holly Lane extension, since this route will provide a good connection between Holcomb Boulevard and destinations such as Oregon City High School and the Berry Hill Shopping Center.

The background conditions analysis volumes include all city-identified projected development through the 2035 planning horizon, with the exception of the Serres Farm property. Omission of this property from the background conditions allows for a meaningful comparison between future background conditions without approval of the proposed zone change and the 2035 "zone change" conditions, which include the addition of site trips from the Serres Farm property.

Figure 4 on page 15 shows the year 2035 background traffic volumes at the study intersections. Figure 5 on page 16 shows the year 2035 background traffic with addition of potential site trips from the proposed annexation and zone change.



## CAPACITY ANALYSIS

To determine the level of service at the study intersections, a capacity analysis was conducted. The analysis was conducted according to the signalized intersection analysis methodologies in the HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board. Level of service can range from A , which indicates little or no delay, to F , which indicates a significant amount of congestion and delay. Oregon City has recently established new operational standards for intersection performance. Intersections outside the Regional Center but designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network are required to operate with a v/c ratio of 0.99 or less. Signalized intersections outside the boundaries of the Regional Center and not on the Arterial and Throughway Network are required to operate at level of service "D" or better and with no approach operating at worse than LOS " $E$ " and with a v/c ratio not higher than 1.0 for the sum of the critical movements.

The intersection of Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road is currently operating with $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.87 during the morning peak hour and 1.01 during the evening peak hour. Under year 2035 background conditions, the intersection is projected to operate with a v/c ratio of 1.04 during the morning peak hour and 1.23 during the evening peak hour. With the addition of site trips from the proposed annexation and zone change, the intersection is projected to operate with a v/c ratio of 1.05 during the morning peak hour and 1.24 during the evening peak hour. If the eastbound approach was converted to have two dedicated left-turn lanes and a shared left/right lane, intersection operation would improve to $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.00 during the morning peak hour and 1.22 during the evening peak hour. This potential mitigation is sufficient to offset the impacts of the proposed annexation and zone change; however, it should be noted that a more comprehensive solution will be necessary to restore acceptable operation of the intersection. The city's Transportation System Plan includes unfunded improvements to the intersection such as converting the northbound and southbound approaches to have three through lanes in each direction.

The intersection of Highway 213 at Redland Road currently operates at level of service C with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.76 during the morning peak hour and at level of service C with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.78 during the evening peak hour. Under year 2035 background conditions the intersection is projected to operate at level of service D with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.88 during the morning peak hour and level of service E with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.07 during the evening peak hour. With the addition of site trips from the proposed annexation and zone change, the intersection is projected to operate at level of service D with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.90 during the morning peak hour and at level of service E with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.11 during the evening peak hour. If an eastbound right-turn lane is added to the intersection, it is projected to operate at level of service D or better and with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.95 or less under all year 2035 scenarios.

The Synchro operational analysis for the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road indicates that the westbound right-turn movement is currently operating with volumes far in excess of capacity during the morning peak hour. Since the count data demonstrates that all observed vehicles were able to make this turning movement during the morning peak hour, it is clear that the operational analysis is inaccurate with respect to the westbound right-turn movement. Accordingly, the Synchro model was modified to remove this yield-controlled movement from the analysis and obtain accurate analysis with respect to the other intersection movements. It should be noted that this analysis approach
inherently acknowledges that the westbound right-turn movement is operating near capacity and will require some form of capacity enhancement in the near future.

Based on the analysis, the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road is currently operating with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.79 during the morning peak hour and 0.92 during the evening peak hour. Under year 2035 traffic conditions, the intersection is projected to operate with a v/c ratio of 1.04 during the morning and evening peak hours either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed annexation and zone change. Since the proposed land use action will not significantly affect operation of the intersection, no mitigation is proposed in conjunction with the Serres Farm Annexation.

The results of the capacity analysis, along with the Levels of Service (LOS) and delay are shown in the table on the following page. Detailed capacity analysis results are included in the appendix to this report.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE SUMMARY

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | V/C |  | LOS | Delay | V/C |  |
| Highway 213 at Redland Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Existing Conditions | C | 22 | 0.87 |  | D | 38 | 1.01 |  |
| 2035 Background | D | 39 | 1.04 |  | F | 84 | 1.23 |  |
| 2035 Bkgd plus Zone Change | D | 41 | 1.05 |  | F | 85 | 1.24 |  |
| 2035 Bkgd plus ZC Mitigated | C | C | 33 | 1.00 |  | F | 82 | 1.22 |

Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road

| 2017 Existing Conditions | C | 27 | 0.76 | C | 29 | 0.78 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2035 Background | D | 40 | 0.88 | E | 57 | 1.07 |
| 2035 Bkgd plus Zone Change | D | 44 | 0.90 | E | 69 | 1.11 |
| 2035 Bkgd plus ZC Mitigated ${ }^{2}$ | D | 44 | 0.90 | D | 52 | 0.95 |
| hway 213 at Beavercreek Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Existing Conditions | D | 39 | 0.79 | D | 49 | 0.92 |
| 2035 Background | E | 66 | 1.04 | E | 64 | 1.04 |
| 2035 Bkgd plus Zone Change | E | 66 | 1.04 | E | 64 | 1.04 |

LOS = Level of Service
Delay $=$ Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds
V/C = Volume-to-Capacity ratio

[^0]Although specific mitigation sufficient to offset the impacts of future development following the proposed annexation and zone change on the subject property, it should be noted that there may be other mitigations that would equally or better serve the needs of the development, Oregon City, and the Oregon Department of Transportation.

In particular, the mitigation described for the intersection of Highway 213 at Redland Road is sufficient to ensure there is no further degradation to performance of the intersection as a result of development within the subject property; however additional mitigation would be required to restore the intersection to acceptable operation per ODOT and Oregon City standards. It is therefore advisable that a more comprehensive study be conducted for the intersection which accommodates the anticipated traffic volumes at the planning horizon and results in acceptable intersection operation.

The applicant is not currently proposing to make any physical improvements at any area intersections in conjunction with the proposed zone change. Rather, the improvements listed were included as suggestions of possible mitigation. The currently-proposed mitigation for the requested annexation and zone change consists of conditions of approval pursuant to OAR 660-012-0060(2)(d), as described in the Transportation Planning Rule Analysis portion of this report on pages 20-22.

## Transportation Planning Rule Analysis

The Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) is in place to ensure that the transportation system is capable of supporting the potential increase in traffic intensity that could result from changes to adopted plans and land use regulations. The applicable portions of the TPR are quoted in italics below, with responses directly following.

## 660-012-0060

(1) If an amendment to a functional plan, an acknowledged comprehensive plan, or a land use regulation (including a zoning map) would significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility, then the local government must put in place measures as provided in section (2) of this rule, unless the amendment is allowed under section (3), (9) or (10) of this rule. A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it would:
(a) Change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility (exclusive of correction of map errors in an adopted plan);

The proposed zone change will not necessitate changes to the functional classification of existing or planned transportation facilities. Accordingly, this section is not triggered.
(b) Change standards implementing a functional classification system; or

The proposed zone change will not change any standards implementing the functional classification system. Accordingly, this section is also not triggered.
(c) Result in any of the effects listed in paragraphs (A) through (C) of this subsection based on projected conditions measured at the end of the planning period identified in the adopted TSP. As part of evaluating projected conditions, the amount of traffic projected to be generated within the area of the amendment may be reduced if the amendment includes an enforceable, ongoing requirement that would demonstrably limit traffic generation, including, but not limited to, transportation demand management. This reduction may diminish or completely eliminate the significant effect of the amendment.
(A) Types or levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;
(B) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility such that it would not meet the performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan; or
(C) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility that is otherwise projected to not meet the performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan.

In this instance the proposed zone change would be expected to degrade the performance of the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road. Both intersections are projected not to meet the relevant performance standards of Oregon City and the Oregon Department of Transportation.

The proposed zone change is not projected to degrade the performance of the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road since the annexation and zone chance would be projected to result in no more than five additional peak-hour trips or 50 additional daily trips through the intersection. The Oregon Highway Plan's Policy 1.F. 5 specifically indicates that "a small increase in traffic does not cause 'further degradation' of the facility" and defines a "small increase in traffic" as "Any proposed amendment that does not increase the average daily trips by more than 400 ."

Since the proposed zone change does not result in an increase in the intersection $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and is defined as a small increase in traffic under the Oregon Highway Plan, no mitigation is necessary or recommended for the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road in conjunction with the proposed annexation and zone change.

Having determined that the proposed annexation and zone change may result in a significant effect on operation of the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard/Abernethy Road, the Transportation Planning Rule also includes the following language:
(9) Notwithstanding section (1) of this rule, a local government may find that an amendment to a zoning map does not significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility if all of the following requirements are met.
(a) The proposed zoning is consistent with the existing comprehensive plan map designation and the amendment does not change the comprehensive plan map;
(b) The local government has an acknowledged TSP and the proposed zoning is consistent with the TSP; and
(c) The area subject to the zoning map amendment was not exempted from this rule at the time of an urban growth boundary amendment as permitted in OAR 660-024-0020(1)(d), or the area was exempted from this rule but the local government has a subsequently acknowledged TSP amendment that accounted for urbanization of the area.

In this instance, the proposed zoning is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan map designation, Oregon City has an acknowledged Transportation System Plan that accounted for future development under the proposed zoning, and the area was not exempted from the rule at the time of the urban growth boundary amendment. Accordingly, the city may find that the proposed annexation and zone change is consistent with the city's adopted plans and does not significantly effect an existing or planned transportation facility.

Alternatively, if it is determined that mitigation may be required for the proposed annexation and zone change, the requirements of the Transportation Planning Rule are as follows:
(2) If a local government determines that there would be a significant effect, then the local government must ensure that allowed land uses are consistent with the identified function, capacity, and performance standards of the facility measured at the end of the planning period identified in the adopted TSP through one or a combination of the remedies listed in (a) through (e) below, unless the amendment meets the balancing test in subsection (2)(e) of this section or qualifies for partial mitigation in section (11) of this rule. A local government using subsection (2)(e), section (3), section (10) or section (11) to approve an amendment recognizes that additional motor
vehicle traffic congestion may result and that other facility providers would not be expected to provide additional capacity for motor vehicles in response to this congestion.
(a) Adopting measures that demonstrate allowed land uses are consistent with the planned function, capacity, and performance standards of the transportation facility.
(b) Amending the TSP or comprehensive plan to provide transportation facilities, improvements or services adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirements of this division; such amendments shall include a funding plan or mechanism consistent with section (4) or include an amendment to the transportation finance plan so that the facility, improvement, or service will be provided by the end of the planning period.
(c) Amending the TSP to modify the planned function, capacity or performance standards of the transportation facility.
(d) Providing other measures as a condition of development or through a development agreement or similar funding method, including, but not limited to, transportation system management measures or minor transportation improvements. Local governments shall, as part of the amendment, specify when measures or improvements provided pursuant to this subsection will be provided.
(e) Providing improvements that would benefit modes other than the significantly affected mode, improvements to facilities other than the significantly affected facility, or improvements at other locations, if:
(A) The provider of the significantly affected facility provides a written statement that the system-wide benefits are sufficient to balance the significant effect, even though the improvements would not result in consistency for all performance standards;
(B) The providers of facilities being improved at other locations provide written statements of approval; and
(C) The local jurisdictions where facilities are being improved provide written statements of approval.

In this instance, option (d) would allow conditions of development or a development agreement to be adopted for the subject property to mitigate any potential traffic impacts. Appropriate conditions of approval could consist either of requirements to construct mitigation sufficient to offset traffic impacts at the intersections of Highway 213 and Redland Road as well as Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard or a requirement to delay development until the city's Transportation System Plan is amended to specifically address operation of these intersections.

Since site development is not currently proposed and it is anticipated that refinement plans will be developed by Oregon City in conjunction with the Oregon Department of Transportation, a condition of approval is proposed which will limit development within the subject property to levels permissible under the existing zoning until a refinement plan including financially constrained projects and alternative mobility standards is completed for the intersection of Highway 213 at Redland Road. Similarly, for the Redland Road at Holcomb Road intersection, either proportional mitigation or adoption of alternative mobility standards will be required.

CONCLUSIONS

The intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road was found to be among the top ten percent of high-crash intersections in the State of Oregon, with the vast majority of the reported crashes being rear-end collisions. One potential safety mitigation would be installing flashing warning signs that alert drivers to the potential for stopped queues ahead. These warning signs are most appropriate for the high-speed approaches on Highway 213, and particularly the southbound approach which has uninterrupted flow for 2.5 miles. This project is already included as a "likely to be funded" project for near-term implementation in the city's Transportation System Plan. Since the proposed annexation and zone change will not significantly impact either operation or safety of this intersection, no specific mitigation is recommended in conjunction with the currently-proposed land use action.

Based on the operational analysis, the study area intersections are not projected to meet the relevant operational standards of Oregon City and ODOT under year 2035 traffic conditions either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed annexation and zone. Although the intersection of Highway 213 at Beavercreek Road is not projected to experience a change in operation as a result of the proposed annexation and zone change, the intersections of Highway 213 at Redland Road and Redland Road at Holcomb Boulevard are projected to experience further degradation in performance upon development within the subject property. Potential mitigations include the addition of an eastbound right-turn lane on the Abernethy Road approach to Redland Road and providing three eastbound left-turn lanes from Redland Road onto Highway 213.

Based on the Transportation Planning Rule analysis, the city may find that the proposed annexation and zone change will not significantly effect an existing or planned transportation facility since the city's acknowledged Transportation System Plan already accounted for development under the proposed zoning. Alternatively, conditions of development or a development agreement may be implemented to ensure that no development can occur except as permitted under the existing zoning until refinement plans for the impacted intersections are prepared to address future capacity concerns, or proportionate mitigation is provided concurrent with development to offset the actual traffic impacts of the development.

## APPENDIX

LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of service is used to describe the quality of traffic flow. Levels of service A to C are considered good, and rural roads are usually designed for level of service C. Urban streets and signalized intersections are typically designed for level of service D. Level of service E is considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E is generally considered acceptable. Here is a more complete description of levels of service:

Level of service A: Very low delay at intersections, with all traffic signal cycles clearing and no vehicles waiting through more than one signal cycle. On highways, low volume and high speeds, with speeds not restricted by other vehicles.

Level of service B: Operating speeds beginning to be affected by other traffic; short traffic delays at intersections. Higher average intersection delay than for level of service A resulting from more vehicles stopping.

Level of service C: Operating speeds and maneuverability closely controlled by other traffic; higher delays at intersections than for level of service B due to a significant number of vehicles stopping. Not all signal cycles clear the waiting vehicles. This is the recommended design standard for rural highways.

Level of service D: Tolerable operating speeds; long traffic delays occur at intersections. The influence of congestion is noticeable. At traffic signals many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. The number of signal cycle failures, for which vehicles must wait through more than one signal cycle, are noticeable. This is typically the design level for urban signalized intersections.

Level of service E: Restricted speeds, very long traffic delays at traffic signals, and traffic volumes near capacity. Flow is unstable so that any interruption, no matter how minor, will cause queues to form and service to deteriorate to level of service F. Traffic signal cycle failures are frequent occurrences. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E or better is generally considered acceptable.

Level of service F: Extreme delays, resulting in long queues which may interfere with other traffic movements. There may be stoppages of long duration, and speeds may drop to zero. There may be frequent signal cycle failures. Level of service F will typically result when vehicle arrival rates are greater than capacity. It is considered unacceptable by most drivers.

LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL <br> OF <br> SERVICE | CONTROL DELAY <br> PER VEHICLE <br> (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-20$ |
| C | $20-35$ |
| D | $35-55$ |
| E | $55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA
FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL <br> OF <br> SERVICE | CONTROL DELAY <br> PER VEHICLE <br> (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-15$ |
| C | $15-25$ |
| D | $25-35$ |
| E | $35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Hwy 213 \& Redland Rd
Wednesday, January 25, 2017
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound <br> Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes | L | R | Bikes |  | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 8 | 139 | 0 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 55 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 | 312 |
| 7:05 AM | 7 | 183 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 0 | 49 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 369 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 164 | 0 | 93 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 | 333 |
| 7:15 AM | 7 | 154 | 0 | 105 | 26 | 0 | 67 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 374 |
| 7:20 AM | 10 | 197 | 0 | 125 | 40 | 0 | 34 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 | 418 |
| 7:25 AM | 4 | 189 | 0 | 116 | 35 | 0 | 40 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 | 402 |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 172 | 0 | 109 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 | 388 |
| 7:35 AM | 14 | 179 | 0 | 112 | 31 | 0 | 48 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 | 396 |
| 7:40 AM | 10 | 178 | 0 | 168 | 35 | 0 | 33 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 433 |
| 7:45 AM | 7 | 169 | 0 | 116 | 32 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 384 |
| 7:50 AM | 13 | 149 | 0 | 132 | 26 | 0 | 45 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 378 |
| 7:55 AM | 8 | 160 | 0 | 149 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 378 |
| 8:00 AM | 6 | 148 | 0 | 121 | 30 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 349 |
| 8:05 AM | 7 | 154 | 0 | 90 | 31 | 0 | 62 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 354 |
| 8:10 AM | 8 | 181 | 0 | 119 | 31 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 384 |
| 8:15 AM | 9 | 159 | 0 | 148 | 22 | 0 | 48 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 395 |
| 8:20 AM | 6 | 132 | 0 | 89 | 41 | 0 | 58 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 339 |
| 8:25 AM | 3 | 137 | 0 | 112 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 313 |
| 8:30 AM | 6 | 141 | 0 | 148 | 33 | 0 | 40 | 14 | 0 |  | 0 | 382 |
| 8:35 AM | 5 | 142 | 0 | 106 | 15 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 316 |
| 8:40 AM | 11 | 154 | 0 | 128 | 33 | 0 | 53 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 388 |
| 8:45 AM | 9 | 129 | 0 | 147 | 21 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 355 |
| 8:50 AM | 4 | 143 | 0 | 118 | 26 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 338 |
| 8:55 AM | 12 | 123 | 0 | 124 | 18 | 0 | 49 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 | 337 |
| Total Survey | 178 | 3,776 | 0 | 2,850 | 662 | 0 | 1,096 | 253 | 0 |  | 0 | 8,815 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:20 AM to 8:20 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 2,135 | 1,631 | 3,766 | 0 | 1,872 | 2,561 | 4,433 | 0 | 652 | 467 | 1,119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 4.0\% |  |  |  | 4.3\% |  |  |  | 3.4\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 4.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T |  | Total |  | T | R | Total | L |  | R | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 100 | 2,035 |  | 2,135 |  | 1,505 | 367 | 1,872 | 526 |  | 126 | 652 |  |  |  | 0 | 4,659 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | NA | 4.0\% | NA | 4.5\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.4\% | NA | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 4.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.81 | 0.91 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.87 |  | 0.68 | 0.85 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval <br> Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes | L | R | Bikes |  | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 92 | 2,033 | 0 | 1,400 | 336 | 0 | 554 | 150 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 98 | 2,030 | 0 | 1,462 | 371 | 0 | 545 | 132 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,638 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 95 | 1,918 | 0 | 1,465 | 358 | 0 | 542 | 113 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,491 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 89 | 1,826 | 0 | 1,458 | 344 | 0 | 536 | 107 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 86 | 1,743 | 0 | 1,450 | 326 | 0 | 542 | 103 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 17
In 22

Hwy 213 \& Redland Rd
Wednesday, January 25, 2017
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 19 |
| 7:05 AM | 1 | 5 | 6 |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |  | 0 | 12 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 17 |
| 7:15 AM | 2 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 5 |  | 0 | 24 |
| 7:20 AM | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 12 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 21 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 10 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 12 |
| 7:45 AM | 1 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 26 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 16 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 10 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 19 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 19 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 25 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 8:35 AM | 1 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 24 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 19 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | , | 2 |  | 0 | 13 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 24 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 18 |
| Total Survey | 9 | 165 | 174 | 153 | 36 | 189 | 46 | 12 | 58 |  | 0 | 421 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 2 | 20 | 22 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 11 |  | 0 | 48 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 9 |  | 0 | 57 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 0 | 31 |
| 7:45 AM | 1 | 32 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 7 |  | 0 | 60 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 5 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 47 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 17 | 18 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 10 |  | 0 | 62 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 28 | 29 | 16 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 8 |  | 0 | 61 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 6 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 | 55 |
| Total Surver | 9 | 165 | 174 | 153 | 36 | 189 | 46 | 12 | 58 |  | 0 | 421 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:20 AM to 8:20 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 86 | 72 | 158 | 81 | 100 | 181 | 22 | 17 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| PHF | 0.65 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.79 |



Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 6 | 83 | 89 | 62 | 12 | 74 | 27 | 6 | 33 |  | 0 | 196 |
| 7:15 AM | 5 | 82 | 87 | 67 | 15 | 82 | 21 | 5 | 26 |  | 0 | 195 |
| 7:30 AM | 3 | 78 | 81 | 74 | 18 | 92 | 21 | 6 | 27 |  | 0 | 200 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 96 | 100 | 77 | 24 | 101 | 23 | 6 | 29 |  | 0 | 230 |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 82 | 85 | 91 | 24 | 115 | 19 | 6 | 25 |  | 0 | 225 |



Hwy 213 \& Redland Rd
Tuesday, January 24, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes | L | R | Bikes |  | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 11 | 148 | 0 | 204 | 67 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 462 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 7 | 140 | 0 | 216 | 54 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 | 457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 9 | 160 | 0 | 187 | 58 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 14 | 153 | 0 | 202 | 63 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 0 |  | 0 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 11 | 151 | 0 | 207 | 65 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 6 | 113 | 0 | 216 | 57 | 0 | 34 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 436 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 14 | 128 | 0 | 194 | 60 | 0 | 34 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 8 | 140 | 0 | 232 | 74 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 498 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 3 | 157 | 0 | 228 | 51 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 12 | 147 | 1 | 181 | 61 | 0 | 42 | 18 | 0 |  | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 8 | 144 | 0 | 221 | 71 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 8 | 169 | 0 | 223 | 68 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 508 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 15 | 148 | 0 | 178 | 54 | 0 | 31 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 | 446 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 5 | 153 | 0 | 222 | 63 | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 11 | 144 | 0 | 226 | 46 | 0 | 32 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 11 | 130 | 0 | 198 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 447 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 17 | 148 | 0 | 194 | 44 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 6 | 127 | 0 | 229 | 69 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 6 | 114 | 0 | 205 | 58 | 0 | 25 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 | 425 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 14 | 137 | 0 | 177 | 58 | 0 | 32 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 8 | 134 | 0 | 217 | 63 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 447 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 7 | 148 | 0 | 220 | 60 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 8 | 129 | 0 | 177 | 62 | 0 | 32 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 9 | 115 | 0 | 197 | 40 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 228 | 3,377 | 1 | 4,951 | 1,422 | 0 | 710 | 266 | 0 |  | 0 | 10,954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Peak Hour Summary
4:10 PM to 5:10 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 1,876 | 2,657 | 4,533 | 1 | 3,236 | 2,142 | 5,378 | 0 | 545 | 858 | 1,403 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,657 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 2.6\% |  |  |  | 2.2\% |  |  |  | 2.4\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |
| By Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | T | R | Total | L |  | R | Total |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 113 | 1,763 |  | 1,876 |  | 2,491 | 745 | 3,236 | 379 |  | 166 | 545 |  |  |  | 0 | 5,657 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | NA | 2.6\% | NA | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | NA | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | NA | NA | NA | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.83 | 0.94 |  | 0.94 |  | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.94 |  | 0.85 | 0.95 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Bikes | T | R | Bikes | L | R | Bikes |  | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 111 | 1,750 | 1 | 2,511 | 749 | 0 | 377 | 145 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 115 | 1,747 | 1 | 2,530 | 733 | 0 | 369 | 162 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 118 | 1,735 | 1 | 2,526 | 717 | 0 | 375 | 145 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 121 | 1,695 | 1 | 2,471 | 711 | 0 | 360 | 136 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 117 | 1,627 | 0 | 2,440 | 673 | 0 | 333 | 121 | 0 |  | 0 | 5,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 16
In 13

Hwy 213 \& Redland Rd
Tuesday, January 24, 2017 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 12 |
| 4:05 PM | 1 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 21 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 14 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 15 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 14 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 11 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 10 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 5:20 PM | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 7 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 10 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 |
| Total Survey | 2 | 85 | 87 | 105 | 27 | 132 | 17 | 9 | 26 |  | 0 | 245 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:10 PM to 5:10 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 49 | 59 | 108 | 71 | 58 | 129 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| PHF | 0.77 |  |  | 0.68 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.77 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| Volume | 0 | 49 | 49 | 55 | 16 | 71 | 9 | 4 | 13 |  | 0 | 133 |
| PHF | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.65 |  | 0.00 | 0.77 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Redland Rd |  |  | Westbound Redland Rd |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | Total | T | R | Total | L | R | Total |  | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 53 | 54 | 63 | 18 | 81 | 9 | 6 | 15 |  | 0 | 150 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 46 | 46 | 54 | 17 | 71 | 8 | 3 | 11 |  | 0 | 128 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 39 | 40 | 45 | 13 | 58 | 9 | 4 | 13 |  | 0 | 111 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 34 | 35 | 42 | 12 | 54 | 7 | 3 | 10 |  | 0 | 99 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 32 | 33 | 42 | 9 | 51 | 8 | 3 | 11 |  | 0 | 95 |




5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 12 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 27 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:05 AM | 15 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:10 AM | 12 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 34 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 16 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:20 AM | 22 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:25 AM | 16 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 26 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 18 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:35 AM | 22 | 35 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:40 AM | 16 | 32 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 9 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:50 AM | 18 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:55 AM | 12 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 22 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 13 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:05 AM | 12 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 25 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:10 AM | 18 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 19 | 47 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:20 AM | 8 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:25 AM | 21 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 15 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 27 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:35 AM | 14 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:40 AM | 14 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 14 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:50 AM | 16 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:55 AM | 5 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 25 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 357 | 771 | 132 | 0 | 248 | 479 | 107 | 0 | 42 | 150 | 95 | 0 | 150 | 270 | 532 | 0 | 3,333 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval <br> Start <br> Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 39 | 104 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 41 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 81 | 0 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 54 | 94 | 9 | 0 | 32 | 65 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 37 | 77 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 56 | 109 | 29 | 0 | 31 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 43 | 71 | 0 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 39 | 83 | 30 | 0 | 34 | 72 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 51 | 58 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 43 | 89 | 13 | 0 | 38 | 58 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 33 | 72 | 0 | 416 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 48 | 94 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 65 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 56 | 0 | 402 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 43 | 100 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 57 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 61 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 35 | 98 | 16 | 0 | 37 | 41 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 56 | 0 | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 357 | 771 | 132 | 0 | 248 | 479 | 107 | 0 | 42 | 150 | 95 | 0 | 150 | 270 | 532 | 0 | 3,333 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:20 AM to 8:20 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 674 | 412 | 1,086 | 0 | 457 | 683 | 1,140 | 0 | 150 | 413 | 563 | 0 | 524 | 297 | 821 | 0 | 1,805 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 3.3\% |  |  |  | 3.5\% |  |  |  | 9.3\% |  |  |  | 2.9\% |  |  |  | 3.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.87 |  |  |  | 0.91 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 195 | 393 | 86 | 674 | 128 | 278 | 51 | 457 | 23 | 83 | 44 | 150 | 90 | 167 | 267 | 524 | 1,805 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 2.1\% | 3.6\% | 4.7\% | 3.3\% | 6.3\% | 1.8\% | 5.9\% | 3.5\% | 26.1\% | 7.2\% | 4.5\% | 9.3\% | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.9\% | 3.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.57 | 0.87 | 0.74 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Interval } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 188 | 390 | 72 | 0 | 117 | 258 | 49 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 38 | 0 | 66 | 162 | 287 | 0 | 1,727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 192 | 375 | 81 | 0 | 135 | 275 | 50 | 0 | 21 | 83 | 47 | 0 | 82 | 164 | 278 | 0 | 1,783 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 186 | 375 | 91 | 0 | 128 | 275 | 50 | 0 | 28 | 81 | 43 | 0 | 88 | 152 | 257 | 0 | 1,754 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 173 | 366 | 74 | 0 | 128 | 252 | 51 | 0 | 25 | 74 | 51 | 0 | 97 | 126 | 247 | 0 | 1,664 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 169 | 381 | 60 | 0 | 131 | 221 | 58 | 0 | 22 | 70 | 57 | 0 | 84 | 108 | 245 | 0 | 1,606 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Out 12
In 14

Redland Rd \& Holcomb Blvd
Wednesday, January 25, 2017


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| 7:50 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:55 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8 |
| Total Survey | 5 | 33 | 5 | 43 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 44 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 31 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 36 | 154 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 24 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 18 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 28 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| Total Surver | 5 | 33 | 5 | 43 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 44 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 31 | 8 | 13 | 15 | 36 | 154 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:20 AM to 8:20 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 22 | 11 | 33 | 16 | 26 | 42 | 14 | 12 | 26 | 15 | 18 | 33 | 67 |
| PHF | 0.79 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.70 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 4 | 14 | 4 | 22 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 67 |
| PHF | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.70 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 5 | 21 | 2 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 74 |
| 7:15 AM | 5 | 18 | 4 | 27 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 71 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 23 | 87 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 27 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 20 | 80 |



Redland Rd \& Holcomb Blvd
Tuesday, January 24, 2017
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 4 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 3 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 6 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 9 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 8 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 5 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 4 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 4 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 8 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 2 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 8 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 44 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 9 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 5 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 6 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 4 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 3 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 7 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 43 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 2 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 5 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 5 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 7 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 5 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 127 | 549 | 104 | 0 | 572 | 1,000 | 110 | 0 | 81 | 272 | 300 | 1 | 89 | 185 | 353 | 0 | 3,742 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 11 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 77 | 112 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 19 | 0 | 15 | 26 | 56 | 0 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 23 | 68 | 8 | 0 | 78 | 122 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 49 | 0 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 13 | 74 | 11 | 0 | 57 | 131 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 38 | 29 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 59 | 0 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 18 | 70 | 12 | 0 | 69 | 138 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 27 | 34 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 46 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 18 | 85 | 16 | 0 | 72 | 129 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 14 | 23 | 53 | 0 | 516 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 13 | 68 | 17 | 0 | 72 | 117 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 58 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 27 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 14 | 53 | 15 | 0 | 73 | 140 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 41 | 47 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 482 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 17 | 58 | 7 | 0 | 74 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 48 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 26 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 127 | 549 | 104 | 0 | 572 | 1,000 | 110 | 0 | 81 | 272 | 300 | 1 | 89 | 185 | 353 | 0 | 3,742 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:40 PM to 5:40 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 405 | 734 | 1,139 | 0 | 850 | 510 | 1,360 | 0 | 354 | 212 | 566 | 1 | 318 | 471 | 789 | 0 | 1,927 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 1.7\% |  |  |  | 1.6\% |  |  |  | 1.7\% |  |  |  | 0.9\% |  |  |  | 1.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 62 | 286 | 57 | 405 | 280 | 509 | 61 | 850 | 51 | 134 | 169 | 354 | 56 | 89 | 173 | 318 | 1,927 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 11.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.82 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.67 | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 65 | 285 | 49 | 0 | 281 | 503 | 60 | 0 | 35 | 129 | 112 | 0 | 43 | 100 | 210 | 0 | 1,872 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 72 | 297 | 47 | 0 | 276 | 520 | 60 | 0 | 43 | 136 | 128 | 0 | 42 | 97 | 207 | 0 | 1,925 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 62 | 297 | 56 | 0 | 270 | 515 | 59 | 0 | 44 | 131 | 156 | 0 | 50 | 90 | 185 | 0 | 1,915 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 63 | 276 | 60 | 0 | 286 | 524 | 56 | 0 | 49 | 134 | 174 | 1 | 50 | 88 | 163 | 0 | 1,923 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 62 | 264 | 55 | 0 | 291 | 497 | 50 | 0 | 46 | 143 | 188 | 1 | 46 | 85 | 143 | 0 | 1,870 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |



Redland Rd \& Holcomb Blvd
Tuesday, January 24, 2017
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM
Out 9
Clay Carney
(503) 833-2740

In 6

Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval <br> Start <br> Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 4:10 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Survey | 2 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 30 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 78 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval <br> Start <br> Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 19 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Total Survey | 2 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 30 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 78 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:40 PM to 5:40 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 7 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 22 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 30 |
| PHF | 0.88 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.75 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 30 |
| PHF | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.75 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Southbound Redland Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Westbound Holcomb Blvd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 52 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 41 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 33 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 27 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 26 |




5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 4 | 71 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 28 | 50 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 67 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:05 AM | 2 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 34 | 46 | 0 | 32 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:10 AM | 1 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 32 | 35 | 0 | 29 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 32 | 66 | 0 | 361 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 93 | 10 | 0 | 34 | 32 | 36 | 0 | 24 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 73 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:20 AM | 4 | 91 | 10 | 0 | 44 | 48 | 54 | 0 | 36 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 65 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:25 AM | 5 | 90 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 49 | 40 | 0 | 25 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 39 | 71 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 78 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 49 | 39 | 0 | 31 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 69 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:35 AM | 2 | 81 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 56 | 53 | 0 | 30 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 44 | 72 | 0 | 404 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:40 AM | 1 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 57 | 41 | 0 | 32 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 71 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 64 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 64 | 51 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 32 | 68 | 0 | 390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:50 AM | 3 | 71 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 60 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:55 AM | 2 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 61 | 57 | 0 | 34 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 62 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 4 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 45 | 55 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 54 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:05 AM | 4 | 75 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 49 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 57 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:10 AM | 6 | 73 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 42 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 66 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 3 | 65 | 14 | 0 | 23 | 38 | 47 | 0 | 38 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 32 | 38 | 0 | 326 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:20 AM | 4 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 40 | 53 | 66 | 0 | 27 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 43 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:25 AM | 6 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 45 | 42 | 0 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 298 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 2 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 51 | 53 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 65 | 0 | 338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:35 AM | 3 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 47 | 48 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:40 AM | 2 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 72 | 33 | 0 | 34 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 1 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 58 | 40 | 0 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 45 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:50 AM | 3 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 40 | 48 | 51 | 0 | 37 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 36 | 38 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:55 AM | 9 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 57 | 46 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 39 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 78 | 1,725 | 187 | 0 | 785 | 1,161 | 1,140 | 0 | 671 | 508 | 43 | 0 | 164 | 757 | 1,365 | 0 | 8,584 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 2 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | EastboundBeavercreek Rd |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Westbound } \\ & \text { Beavercreek Rd } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 7 | 215 | 27 | 0 | 88 | 94 | 131 | 0 | 87 | 80 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 92 | 191 | 0 | 1,036 |
| 7:15 AM | 12 | 274 | 28 | 0 | 116 | 129 | 130 | 0 | 85 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 107 | 209 | 0 | 1,232 |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 242 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 162 | 133 | 0 | 93 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 106 | 212 | 0 | 1,166 |
| 7:45 AM | 8 | 214 | 18 | 0 | 124 | 175 | 160 | 0 | 87 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 108 | 190 | 0 | 1,146 |
| 8:00 AM | 14 | 217 | 20 | 0 | 70 | 132 | 160 | 0 | 67 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 63 | 177 | 0 | 995 |
| 8:15 AM | 13 | 194 | 38 | 0 | 86 | 136 | 155 | 0 | 90 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 79 | 111 | 0 | 973 |
| 8:30 AM | 7 | 169 | 16 | 0 | 98 | 170 | 134 | 0 | 88 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 87 | 153 | 0 | 1,001 |
| 8:45 AM | 13 | 200 | 20 | 0 | 103 | 163 | 137 | 0 | 74 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 115 | 122 | 0 | 1,035 |
| Total Survey | 78 | 1,725 | 187 | 0 | 785 | 1,161 | 1,140 | 0 | 671 | 508 | 43 | 0 | 164 | 757 | 1,365 | 0 | 8,584 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 8 | 0 | 2 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

| By | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | EastboundBeavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 1,069 | 653 | 1,722 | 0 | 1,542 | 2,099 | 3,641 | 0 | 676 | 998 | 1,674 | 0 | 1,293 | 830 | 2,123 | 0 | 4,580 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 5.0\% |  |  |  | 4.5\% |  |  |  | 7.0\% |  |  |  | 2.8\% |  |  |  | 4.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.85 |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| By Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | Total |  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R |  |  |  |  | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 31 | 945 | 93 | 1,069 | 428 | 560 | 554 | 1,542 | 352 | 309 | 15 | 676 | 78 | 413 | 802 | 1,293 | 4,580 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 9.7\% | 5.1\% | 2.2\% | 5.0\% | 3.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.5\% | 8.5\% | 4.5\% | 20.0\% | 7.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.8\% | 4.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval <br> Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 31 | 945 | 93 | 0 | 428 | 560 | 554 | 0 | 352 | 309 | 15 | 0 | 78 | 413 | 802 | 0 | 4,580 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 38 | 947 | 86 | 0 | 410 | 598 | 583 | 0 | 332 | 271 | 16 | 0 | 86 | 384 | 788 | 0 | 4,539 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 39 | 867 | 96 | 0 | 380 | 605 | 608 | 0 | 337 | 200 | 17 | 0 | 85 | 356 | 690 | 0 | 4,280 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| 7:45 AM | 42 | 794 | 92 | 0 | 378 | 613 | 609 | 0 | 332 | 182 | 22 | 0 | 83 | 337 | 631 | 0 | 4,115 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 47 | 780 | 94 | 0 | 357 | 601 | 586 | 0 | 319 | 199 | 28 | 0 | 86 | 344 | 563 | 0 | 4,004 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
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Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | EastboundBeavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 25 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 23 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| 7:20 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 24 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 7:35 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 19 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 16 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| 8:05 AM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 22 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 3 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 20 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 29 |
| 8:35 AM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total Survey | 5 | 98 | 8 | 111 | 24 | 99 | 41 | 164 | 49 | 19 | 6 | 74 | 7 | 24 | 32 | 63 | 412 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 16 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 60 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 55 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 36 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 54 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 12 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 48 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 53 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 21 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 62 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 44 |
| Total Surver | 5 | 98 | 8 | 111 | 24 | 99 | 41 | 164 | 49 | 19 | 6 | 74 | 7 | 24 | 32 | 63 | 412 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 53 | 44 | 97 | 69 | 96 | 165 | 47 | 36 | 83 | 36 | 29 | 65 | 205 |
| PHF | 0.74 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.73 |  |  | 0.60 |  |  | 0.83 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 3 | 48 | 2 | 53 | 13 | 39 | 17 | 69 | 30 | 14 | 3 | 47 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 36 | 205 |
| PHF | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.83 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | EastboundBeavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 3 | 48 | 2 | 53 | 13 | 39 | 17 | 69 | 30 | 14 | 3 | 47 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 36 | 205 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 44 | 5 | 52 | 13 | 42 | 17 | 72 | 29 | 8 | 3 | 40 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 29 | 193 |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 44 | 7 | 53 | 16 | 44 | 18 | 78 | 29 | 5 | 2 | 36 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 24 | 191 |
| 7:45 AM | 2 | 54 | 6 | 62 | 15 | 52 | 20 | 87 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 39 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 29 | 217 |
| 8:00 AM | 2 | 50 | 6 | 58 | 11 | 60 | 24 | 95 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 27 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 207 |




5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | EastboundBeavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 48 | 10 | 0 | 76 | 94 | 49 | 0 | 57 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 33 | 29 | 0 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 2 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 56 | 88 | 54 | 0 | 62 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 35 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 8 | 67 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 90 | 82 | 0 | 55 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 38 | 0 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 40 | 91 | 78 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 42 | 45 | 0 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 46 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 69 | 66 | 0 | 59 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 1 | 51 | 21 | 0 | 53 | 91 | 56 | 0 | 26 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 29 | 0 | 453 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 5 | 69 | 9 | 0 | 63 | 115 | 66 | 0 | 59 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 33 | 29 | 0 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 2 | 68 | 12 | 0 | 60 | 105 | 72 | 0 | 65 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 4 | 45 | 10 | 1 | 72 | 101 | 64 | 0 | 63 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 42 | 39 | 0 | 525 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 56 | 10 | 0 | 74 | 102 | 58 | 0 | 61 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 40 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:50 PM | 2 | 53 | 15 | 0 | 46 | 74 | 56 | 0 | 66 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 38 | 46 | 0 | 481 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 3 | 75 | 18 | 0 | 46 | 86 | 64 | 0 | 59 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 36 | 42 | 0 | 508 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 6 | 70 | 9 | 0 | 75 | 94 | 64 | 0 | 51 | 47 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 39 | 0 | 511 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 5 | 61 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 107 | 61 | 0 | 41 | 55 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 33 | 45 | 0 | 501 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:10 PM | 4 | 66 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 103 | 80 | 0 | 56 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 521 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 | 59 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 97 | 47 | 0 | 66 | 68 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 29 | 0 | 501 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 5 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 70 | 84 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 72 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 34 | 0 | 491 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 5 | 54 | 12 | 0 | 64 | 89 | 46 | 0 | 41 | 67 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 32 | 0 | 461 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 60 | 88 | 55 | 0 | 71 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 34 | 35 | 0 | 462 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 7 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 78 | 100 | 58 | 0 | 57 | 66 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 29 | 32 | 0 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 1 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 64 | 45 | 0 | 64 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 33 | 0 | 449 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 43 | 16 | 0 | 76 | 101 | 46 | 0 | 66 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 32 | 0 | 484 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 48 | 11 | 0 | 93 | 83 | 55 | 0 | 33 | 61 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 37 | 0 | 471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 4 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 53 | 78 | 52 | 0 | 47 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 36 | 35 | 0 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 84 | 1,348 | 278 | 1 | 1,528 | 2,194 | 1,434 | 0 | 1,334 | 1,422 | 140 | 0 | 261 | 837 | 857 | 0 | 11,717 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 6 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eastbound } \\ \text { Beavercreek Rd } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 16 | 184 | 32 | 0 | 179 | 272 | 185 | 0 | 174 | 181 | 16 | 0 | 30 | 109 | 102 | 0 | 1,480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 5 | 164 | 36 | 0 | 154 | 251 | 200 | 0 | 133 | 157 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 123 | 110 | 0 | 1,377 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 11 | 182 | 31 | 1 | 195 | 321 | 202 | 0 | 187 | 169 | 13 | 0 | 36 | 95 | 101 | 0 | 1,543 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 8 | 184 | 43 | 0 | 166 | 262 | 178 | 0 | 186 | 187 | 23 | 0 | 37 | 111 | 128 | 0 | 1,513 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 15 | 197 | 31 | 0 | 208 | 304 | 205 | 0 | 148 | 154 | 22 | 0 | 43 | 89 | 117 | 0 | 1,533 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 12 | 151 | 35 | 0 | 212 | 270 | 153 | 0 | 168 | 207 | 17 | 0 | 27 | 106 | 95 | 0 | 1,453 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 9 | 143 | 37 | 0 | 192 | 252 | 158 | 0 | 192 | 187 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 1,415 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM |  | 143 | 33 | 0 | 222 | 262 | 153 | 0 | 146 | 180 | 17 | 0 | 31 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 1,403 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Survey | 84 | 1,348 | 278 | 1 | 1,528 | 2,194 | 1,434 | 0 | 1,334 | 1,422 | 140 | 0 | 261 | 837 | 857 | 0 | 11,717 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 6 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:30 PM to 5:30 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 900 | 1,375 | 2,275 | 1 | 2,676 | 1,844 | 4,520 | 0 | 1,481 | 1,185 | 2,666 | 0 | 985 | 1,638 | 2,623 | 0 | 6,042 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| \%HV | 3.3\% |  |  |  | 1.6\% |  |  |  | 0.9\% |  |  |  | 1.3\% |  |  |  | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.88 |  |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |  | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 46 | 714 | 140 | 900 | 781 | 1,157 | 738 | 2,676 | 689 | 717 | 75 | 1,481 | 143 | 401 | 441 | 985 | 6,042 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 6.5\% | 3.6\% | 0.7\% | 3.3\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.77 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 40 | 714 | 142 | 1 | 694 | 1,106 | 765 | 0 | 680 | 694 | 67 | 0 | 132 | 438 | 441 | 0 | 5,913 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| 4:15 PM | 39 | 727 | 141 | 1 | 723 | 1,138 | 785 | 0 | 654 | 667 | 73 | 0 | 145 | 418 | 456 | 0 | 5,966 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| 4:30 PM | 46 | 714 | 140 | 1 | 781 | 1,157 | 738 | 0 | 689 | 717 | 75 | 0 | 143 | 401 | 441 | 0 | 6,042 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:45 PM | 44 | 675 | 146 | 0 | 778 | 1,088 | 694 | 0 | 694 | 735 | 79 | 0 | 135 | 406 | 440 | 0 | 5,914 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 44 | 634 | 136 | 0 | 834 | 1,088 | 669 | 0 | 654 | 728 | 73 | 0 | 129 | 399 | 416 | 0 | 5,804 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |

Out 21
In 14

Hwy 213 \& Beavercreek Rd
Tuesday, January 24, 2017
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| 5:25 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 5:55 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Survey | 6 | 49 | 2 | 57 | 31 | 49 | 27 | 107 | 19 | 15 | 6 | 40 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 23 | 227 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval <br> Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound <br> Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 50 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 40 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 20 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 29 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| Total Survey | 6 | 49 | 2 | 57 | 31 | 49 | 27 | 107 | 19 | 15 | 6 | 40 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 23 | 227 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:30 PM to 5:30 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 30 | 27 | 57 | 43 | 34 | 77 | 14 | 21 | 35 | 13 | 18 | 31 | 100 |
| PHF | 0.83 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.70 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.83 |


| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 3 | 26 | 1 | 30 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 43 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 100 |
| PHF | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.83 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 213 |  |  |  | Eastbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Beavercreek Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 3 | 31 | 1 | 35 | 20 | 30 | 17 | 67 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 141 |
| 4:15 PM | 3 | 26 | 2 | 31 | 15 | 25 | 9 | 49 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 111 |
| 4:30 PM | 3 | 26 | 1 | 30 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 43 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 100 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 22 | 1 | 26 | 8 | 21 | 7 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 91 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 18 | 1 | 22 | 11 | 19 | 10 | 40 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 86 |



## TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing Land Use Code: 210<br>Variable: Dwelling Units<br>Variable Value: 3

## AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 0.75

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

WEEKDAY
Trip Rate: 9.52

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |

PM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 1.00

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

## SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 9.91

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing
Land Use Code: 210
Variable: Dwelling Units
Variable Value: 124

## AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 0.75

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |

WEEKDAY
Trip Rate: 9.52

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{5 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 0}$ |

PM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 1.00

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ |

## SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 9.91

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 8}$ |
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CITY Of OREGon CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(
D
$\begin{array}{ccc}M \operatorname{lat} & \text { a } \\ & a & S\end{array}$


| (MEDIAN) | INT-REL | OFF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| LEGS | TRAF- | RND |
| (\#LANES) | CONTL | DRV |
| -LEG | N | N |
|  | TRF | SIGNAL |
|  |  | N |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| ¢ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{1}{n}}$ | : : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ion } \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | : : | กั่ ำ | : : | : : | ヘิ \% ก | : : | ヘัค ก | : : | ㄷ:앙 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION DATA SECTION - CRASH anAylysis and reporting unit
Urban non-SyStem crash Listing
REDLAND RD at CASCADE HY SOUTH, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2013 to 12/31/2015
Total crash records: 27


OREGON．．DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION－TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION data section－Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
Urban non－System crash Listing
REDLAND RD at CASCADE HY South，City of Oregon City，Clackamas County，01／01／2013 to $12 / 31 / 2015$
Total crash records： 27 otal
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CDS380
$01 / 18 / 2017$
OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISIon
DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAyLYSIS AND REporting unit
URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

y of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2013 to 12/31/201
Total crash records: 27

 the responsibility of the individual driver, the Crash Analysis and Reporting untircan
damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.
oregon．．department of transportation－transportation development division DATA SECTION－CRASH aNAylysis and reporting unit
URBAN NON－SYSTEM CRASH LISTING

 | SPCL USE |
| :---: |
| STAS： 27 |



号空気
 the responsibility of the individual driver，the Crash Analysis and Reporing Unat
damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File．
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TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT
URBAN NON-SYSTEM CRASH LISTING
of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2013 to 12/31/2015
Total crash records: 10




transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
 Total crash records: 80
Total crash records: 80
 transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
 Total crash records: 80




transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
 H, City of Oregon City, Clackamas
Total crash records: 80



transportation data section - Crash analysis and reporting unit
TH, City of Oregon City, Clackamas
Total crash records: 80
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CDS 380
$01 / 18 / 2017$
CITY OF OREGON CITY, CLACKAMAS COUNTY噱
Q
s

| $M$ | a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a |
| a |  |
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 TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT
 Total crash records: 80 Total crash records: 80

TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT
 Total crash records: 80
Total crash records: 80



transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit

Total crash records: 80

 transportation data section - CRASh anaylysis and reporting unit
 City of Oregon City, Clacka
Total crash records: 80 Total crash records: 80


TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT
 Total crash records: 80
Total crash records: 80

04596 N N N $11 / 04 / 2015 \quad 14 \quad$ S BEAVERCREEK RD $\quad$ INTER $\quad$ CROSS $\quad$ N $\quad$ NTRGHT

 transportation data section - Crash anaylysis and reporting unit
 , City of Oregon City, Clackama
Total crash records: 80
S BEAVERCREEK RD at CASCADE HY south, City of Oregon City, Clackamas County, 01/01/2013 to $12 / 31 / 2015$
Total crash records: 80
 transportation data section - CRASh anaylysis and reporting unit
 City of Oregon City, Clacka
Total crash records: 80
Total crash records: 80

 transportation data section - CRASh anaylysis and reporting unit
 City of Oregon City, Clacka
Total crash records: 80
Total crash records: 80



 transportation data section - CRASh anaylysis and reporting unit
 City of Oregon crash records: 80
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c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 23 | 83 | 44 | 90 | 167 | 267 | 195 | 393 | 86 | 128 | 278 | 51 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 23 | 83 | 44 | 90 | 167 | 267 | 195 | 393 | 86 | 128 | 278 | 51 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1656 | 1640 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1778 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1656 | 1640 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1778 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 25 | 89 | 47 | 97 | 180 | 287 | 210 | 423 | 92 | 138 | 299 | 55 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 25 | 109 | 0 | 97 | 180 | 95 | 210 | 504 | 0 | 138 | 299 | 18 |


| Confl. Peds. (\#hr) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | +ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot |  |  |


| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permitted Phases |  | 12.1 | 4.1 | 13.3 | 21.1 | 11.3 | 21.6 | 7.8 | 18.1 | 21.0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 2.9 | 12.1 | 4.1 | 13.3 | 21.1 | 11.3 | 21.6 | 7.8 | 18.1 | 21.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.33 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 75 | 312 | 112 | 385 | 631 | 308 | 603 | 214 | 525 | 628 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.07 | c0.06 | c0.10 | 0.02 | c0.12 | c0.28 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.87 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.68 | 0.84 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 29.4 | 22.3 | 29.5 | 22.0 | 14.9 | 24.5 | 19.4 | 26.6 | 19.4 | 14.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 45.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 32.0 | 23.0 | 75.3 | 22.9 | 15.1 | 30.6 | 29.2 | 33.1 | 20.8 | 14.4 |
| Level of Service | C | C | E | C | B | C | C | C | C | B |


| Approach Delay (s) | 24.4 | 27.9 | 29.6 | 23.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach LOS | C | C | C | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 27.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.76 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 63.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $61.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

C Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 51 | 134 | 169 | 56 | 89 | 173 | 62 | 286 | 57 | 280 | 509 | 61 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 51 | 134 | 169 | 56 | 89 | 173 | 62 | 286 | 57 | 280 | 509 | 61 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1681 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1817 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1681 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1817 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 55 | 144 | 182 | 60 | 96 | 186 | 67 | 308 | 61 | 301 | 547 | 66 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 55 | 264 | 0 | 60 | 96 | 77 | 67 | 359 | 0 | 301 | 547 | 31 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+0 \mathrm{v}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.7 | 15.3 |  | 2.6 | 14.2 | 28.3 | 3.8 | 18.1 |  | 14.1 | 28.4 | 32.1 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.7 | 15.3 |  | 2.6 | 14.2 | 28.3 | 3.8 | 18.1 |  | 14.1 | 28.4 | 32.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.22 |  | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.27 |  | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.47 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 96 | 377 |  | 68 | 392 | 770 | 98 | 482 |  | 366 | 776 | 850 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.03 | c0.16 |  | c0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.20 |  | c0.17 | c0.29 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.70 |  | 0.88 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.75 |  | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 31.4 | 24.3 |  | 32.6 | 22.5 | 12.1 | 31.6 | 22.9 |  | 25.8 | 16.4 | 9.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 8.0 | 5.8 |  | 69.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 17.9 | 6.2 |  | 13.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 39.4 | 30.1 |  | 102.0 | 22.8 | 12.2 | 49.5 | 29.1 |  | 39.6 | 19.3 | 9.7 |
| Level of Service | D | C |  | F | C | B | D | C |  | D | B | A |


| Approach Delay (s) | 31.4 | 30.9 | 32.2 | 25.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS | C | C | C | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 28.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.78 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 68.1 | Sum of lost time (s) | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $70.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个t |  | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 689 | 717 | 75 | 143 | 401 | 441 | 46 | 714 | 140 | 781 | 1157 | 738 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 689 | 717 | 75 | 143 | 401 | 441 | 46 | 714 | 140 | 781 | 1157 | 738 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Utill．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3467 | 3516 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3467 | 3516 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 710 | 739 | 77 | 147 | 413 | 455 | 47 | 736 | 144 | 805 | 1193 | 761 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 308 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 710 | 810 | 0 | 147 | 413 | 136 | 47 | 736 | 35 | 805 | 1193 | 453 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |



| Approach Delay（s） | E4．7 | E | E | E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach LOS | D | D | 53.8 | 41.0 |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 48.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.92 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $87.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | * | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 119 | 63 | 43 | 239 | 383 | 279 | 563 | 41 | 183 | 398 | 73 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 119 | 63 | 43 | 239 | 383 | 279 | 563 | 41 | 183 | 398 | 73 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1656 | 1640 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1808 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1656 | 1640 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1808 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 35 | 128 | 68 | 46 | 257 | 412 | 300 | 605 | 44 | 197 | 428 | 78 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 35 | 170 | 0 | 46 | 257 | 286 | 300 | 646 | 0 | 197 | 428 | 27 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.8 | 16.1 |  | 2.8 | 15.1 | 23.9 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.8 | 16.1 |  | 2.8 | 15.1 | 23.9 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.23 |  | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 88 | 370 |  | 68 | 390 | 624 | 338 | 649 |  | 216 | 530 | 633 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.10 |  | c0.03 | c0.14 | 0.06 | c0.17 | c0.36 |  | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.46 |  | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 1.00 |  | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.6 | 23.8 |  | 33.8 | 25.7 | 18.6 | 27.9 | 22.8 |  | 30.9 | 23.6 | 15.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.9 | 0.9 |  | 23.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 23.3 | 33.9 |  | 37.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 35.6 | 24.7 |  | 57.3 | 29.7 | 19.2 | 51.2 | 56.7 |  | 68.7 | 32.4 | 15.7 |
| Level of Service | D | C |  | E | C | B | D | E |  | E | C | B |


| Level of Service | D | C | E | C | B | D | E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay (s) | 26.4 | 25.4 |  | E | C |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | C |  | D | 40.7 |  |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 40.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.88 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 71.3 | Sum of lost time (s) | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $74.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 505 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1069 | 133 | 613 | 634 | 794 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 505 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1069 | 133 | 613 | 634 | 794 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3273 | 3347 |  | 3335 | 3438 |  | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3273 | 3347 |  | 3335 | 3438 |  | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 543 | 476 | 23 | 120 | 637 | 0 | 47 | 1149 | 143 | 659 | 682 | 854 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 543 | 496 | 0 | 120 | 637 | 0 | 47 | 1149 | 48 | 659 | 682 | 634 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |


| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 18.9 | 31.2 | 8.3 | 20.6 | 4.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 18.9 | 31.2 | 8.3 | 20.6 | 4.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 515 | 870 | 230 | 590 | 60 | 1146 | 512 | 625 | 1670 | 747 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．17 | 0.15 | 0.04 | c0．19 | 0.03 | c0．33 |  | c0． 20 | 0.20 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.41 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 1.08 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 1.05 | 0.41 | 0.85 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.6 | 38.6 | 53.9 | 49.7 | 57.4 | 40.0 | 27.5 | 48.8 | 19.8 | 27.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 54.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 60.4 | 47.5 | 27.2 | 0.4 | 51.2 | 0.7 | 11.6 |
| Delay（s） | 105.3 | 39.5 | 56.1 | 110.1 | 105.0 | 67.2 | 27.9 | 99.9 | 20.5 | 38.5 |
| Level of Service | F | D | E | F | F | E | C | F | C | D |


| Approach Delay（s） | 73.8 | 101.5 | 64.3 | 51.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach LOS | E | F | E | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 66.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $92.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

C Critical Lane Group


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 192 | 242 | 27 | 128 | 248 | 89 | 410 | 27 | 401 | 730 | 87 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 192 | 242 | 27 | 128 | 248 | 89 | 410 | 27 | 401 | 730 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1680 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1846 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1680 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1846 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 77 | 202 | 255 | 28 | 135 | 261 | 94 | 432 | 28 | 422 | 768 | 92 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 397 | 0 | 28 | 135 | 184 | 94 | 457 | 0 | 422 | 768 | 44 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 4.0 | 18.4 |  | 1.9 | 16.3 | 31.9 | 4.0 | 19.5 |  | 15.6 | 31.1 | 35.1 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 4.0 | 18.4 |  | 1.9 | 16.3 | 31.9 | 4.0 | 19.5 |  | 15.6 | 31.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.27 |  | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.48 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 96 | 421 |  | 46 | 417 | 792 | 96 | 490 |  | 376 | 789 | 854 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.04 | c0.24 |  | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | c0.24 | c0.41 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.94 |  | 0.61 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.98 | 0.93 |  | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.05 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 34.3 | 27.0 |  | 35.4 | 23.9 | 13.1 | 34.7 | 26.3 |  | 28.9 | 20.7 | 10.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 36.6 | 29.7 |  | 20.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 84.1 | 24.9 |  | 83.9 | 25.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 70.9 | 56.7 |  | 56.1 | 24.4 | 13.2 | 118.8 | 51.2 |  | 112.8 | 46.2 | 10.3 |
| Level of Service | E | E |  | E | C | B | F | D |  | F | D | B |


| Approach Delay (s) | 58.7 | 19.6 | 62.6 | 65.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS | E | B | E | E |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 56.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.07 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 73.4 | Sum of lost time (s) | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $89.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 41 | 虫 |  | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 489 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 559 | 55 | 709 | 130 | 1044 | 1424 | 660 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 489 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 559 | 55 | 709 | 130 | 1044 | 1424 | 660 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3467 | 3532 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3467 | 3532 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 504 | 974 | 72 | 93 | 722 | 576 | 57 | 731 | 134 | 1076 | 1468 | 680 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 504 | 1042 | 0 | 93 | 722 | 180 | 57 | 731 | 29 | 1076 | 1468 | 496 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 16.8 | 35.2 |  | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 35.5 | 57.7 | 57.7 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 16.8 | 35.2 |  | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 35.5 | 57.7 | 57.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.29 |  | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 485 | 1036 |  | 147 | 699 | 308 | 58 | 765 | 337 | 1015 | 1701 | 747 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．15 | c0．29 |  | 0.03 | 0.20 |  | 0.03 | c0．21 |  | c0．31 | 0.41 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.32 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 1.01 |  | 0.63 | 1.03 | 0.59 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.09 | 1.06 | 0.86 | 0.66 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 51.6 | 42.4 |  | 56.5 | 48.2 | 43.8 | 58.0 | 46.3 | 37.4 | 42.2 | 27.6 | 23.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 51.4 | 29.3 |  | 8.6 | 42.8 | 2.8 | 111.4 | 23.3 | 0.5 | 45.6 | 6.1 | 4.6 |
| Delay（s） | 103.0 | 71.7 |  | 65.1 | 91.0 | 46.7 | 169.4 | 69.7 | 37.9 | 87.8 | 33.7 | 28.4 |
| Level of Service | F | E |  | E | F | D | F | E | D | F | C | C |


| Level of Service | F | E | E | F | D | F | E | D |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay（s） | 81.9 | 70.9 | $F$ | C | C |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F | E |  | E |  | 50.7 | D |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 64.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $97.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group


C Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 124 | 63 | 54 | 256 | 414 | 279 | 563 | 45 | 193 | 398 | 73 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 124 | 63 | 54 | 256 | 414 | 279 | 563 | 45 | 193 | 398 | 73 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1656 | 1642 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1807 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1656 | 1642 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1807 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 35 | 133 | 68 | 58 | 275 | 445 | 300 | 605 | 48 | 208 | 428 | 78 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 35 | 176 | 0 | 58 | 275 | 320 | 300 | 649 | 0 | 208 | 428 | 26 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.8 | 16.6 |  | 2.8 | 15.6 | 24.4 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.8 | 16.6 |  | 2.8 | 15.6 | 24.4 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.23 |  | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 87 | 379 |  | 68 | 400 | 631 | 336 | 644 |  | 214 | 526 | 628 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.11 |  | c0.03 | c0.15 | 0.06 | c0.17 | c0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.47 |  | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.89 | 1.01 |  | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.9 | 23.8 |  | 34.3 | 25.9 | 18.9 | 28.2 | 23.1 |  | 31.4 | 23.9 | 15.9 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.0 | 0.9 |  | 60.8 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 24.4 | 37.4 |  | 53.2 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 35.9 | 24.7 |  | 95.1 | 30.7 | 19.6 | 52.6 | 60.5 |  | 84.6 | 33.2 | 16.0 |
| Level of Service | D | C |  | F | C | B | D | E |  | F | C | B |


| Approach Delay (s) | 26.4 | 29.1 | 58.1 | 46.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS | C | C | E | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 43.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.90 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 71.8 | Sum of lost time (s) | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ |  |  | \％＊ | 4 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％＊ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 505 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1070 | 133 | 614 | 635 | 795 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 505 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1070 | 133 | 614 | 635 | 795 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3273 | 3347 |  | 3400 | 3505 |  | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3273 | 3347 |  | 3400 | 3505 |  | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 543 | 476 | 23 | 120 | 637 | 0 | 47 | 1151 | 143 | 660 | 683 | 855 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 543 | 496 | 0 | 120 | 637 | 0 | 47 | 1151 | 48 | 660 | 683 | 635 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ | 5\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |


| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 18.9 | 31.3 | 8.2 | 20.6 | 4.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 18.9 | 31.3 | 8.2 | 20.6 | 4.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 515 | 873 | 232 | 601 | 60 | 1146 | 512 | 625 | 1670 | 747 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．17 | 0.15 | 0.04 | c0．18 | 0.03 | c0．33 |  | c0． 20 | 0.20 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.41 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 1.06 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 1.06 | 0.41 | 0.85 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.6 | 38.5 | 54.0 | 49.7 | 57.4 | 40.0 | 27.5 | 48.8 | 19.8 | 27.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 54.8 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 53.6 | 47.5 | 27.6 | 0.4 | 51.7 | 0.7 | 11.6 |
| Delay（s） | 105.3 | 39.3 | 55.9 | 103.3 | 105.0 | 67.6 | 27.9 | 100.4 | 20.5 | 38.7 |
| Level of Service | F | D | E | F | F | E | C | F | C | D |


| Approach Delay（s） | 73.7 | 95.8 | 64.7 | 51.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach LOS | E | F | E | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 65.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $92.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 211 | 242 | 34 | 139 | 268 | 89 | 410 | 39 | 435 | 730 | 87 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 211 | 242 | 34 | 139 | 268 | 89 | 410 | 39 | 435 | 730 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1688 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1688 |  | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 77 | 222 | 255 | 36 | 146 | 282 | 94 | 432 | 41 | 458 | 768 | 92 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 422 | 0 | 36 | 146 | 206 | 94 | 469 | 0 | 458 | 768 | 44 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.9 | 18.2 |  | 2.9 | 17.2 | 32.8 | 3.9 | 19.5 |  | 15.6 | 31.2 | 35.1 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.9 | 18.2 |  | 2.9 | 17.2 | 32.8 | 3.9 | 19.5 |  | 15.6 | 31.2 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.26 |  | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.47 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 93 | 414 |  | 69 | 436 | 803 | 93 | 483 |  | 372 | 783 | 844 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.04 | c0.25 |  | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | c0.26 | c0.41 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 1.02 |  | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 1.01 | 0.97 |  | 1.23 | 0.98 | 0.05 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 34.8 | 28.0 |  | 35.0 | 23.7 | 13.0 | 35.1 | 27.1 |  | 29.3 | 21.2 | 10.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 42.7 | 49.2 |  | 6.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 96.3 | 33.2 |  | 125.4 | 27.3 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 77.6 | 77.2 |  | 41.9 | 24.2 | 13.2 | 131.4 | 60.3 |  | 154.7 | 48.5 | 10.6 |
| Level of Service | E | E |  | D | C | B | F | E |  | F | D | B |


| Level of Service | E | E | D | C | B | F | E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay (s) | 77.2 | 18.9 | 72.1 | F | B |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E | B | E | 82.8 |  |  |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 69.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.11 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 74.2 | Sum of lost time (s) | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $93.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{71}$ | 中 $\hat{\square}$ |  | 17 | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 䩗 | 「 | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 490 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 560 | 55 | 710 | 130 | 1045 | 1425 | 660 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 490 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 560 | 55 | 710 | 130 | 1045 | 1425 | 660 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3467 | 3532 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3467 | 3532 |  | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1546 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 505 | 974 | 72 | 93 | 722 | 577 | 57 | 732 | 134 | 1077 | 1469 | 680 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 505 | 1042 | 0 | 93 | 722 | 181 | 57 | 732 | 29 | 1077 | 1469 | 497 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 16.7 | 35.1 |  | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 35.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 16.7 | 35.1 |  | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 35.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.29 |  | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 482 | 1033 |  | 147 | 699 | 308 | 58 | 768 | 338 | 1015 | 1704 | 748 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．15 | c0．29 |  | 0.03 | 0.20 |  | 0.03 | c0．21 |  | c0．31 | 0.42 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.32 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.05 | 1.01 |  | 0.63 | 1.03 | 0.59 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.09 | 1.06 | 0.86 | 0.66 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 51.6 | 42.5 |  | 56.5 | 48.2 | 43.9 | 58.0 | 46.2 | 37.3 | 42.2 | 27.6 | 23.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 54.0 | 30.1 |  | 8.6 | 42.8 | 2.9 | 111.4 | 22.9 | 0.5 | 45.9 | 6.0 | 4.6 |
| Delay（s） | 105.7 | 72.5 |  | 65.1 | 91.0 | 46.7 | 169.4 | 69.1 | 37.8 | 88.2 | 33.6 | 28.3 |
| Level of Service | F | E |  | E | F | D | F | E | D | F | C | C |


| Level of Service | F | E | E | F | D | F | E | D |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay（s） | 83.3 | 70.9 | $F$ | C | C |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F | E |  | E |  | 50.7 | D |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 64.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $97.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ＊ | 个 |  | ＊ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 33 | 124 | 63 | 54 | 256 | 414 | 279 | 563 | 45 | 193 | 398 | 73 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 33 | 124 | 63 | 54 | 256 | 414 | 279 | 563 | 45 | 193 | 398 | 73 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1656 | 1743 | 1449 | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1807 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1656 | 1743 | 1449 | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1736 | 1807 |  | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 35 | 133 | 68 | 58 | 275 | 445 | 300 | 605 | 48 | 208 | 428 | 78 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 35 | 133 | 16 | 58 | 275 | 320 | 300 | 649 | 0 | 208 | 428 | 26 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 9\％ | 9\％ | 9\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 3.8 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 2.8 | 15.6 | 24.4 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 3.8 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 2.8 | 15.6 | 24.4 | 13.9 | 25.6 |  | 8.8 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 87 | 402 | 335 | 68 | 400 | 631 | 336 | 644 |  | 214 | 526 | 628 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.08 |  | c0．03 | c0．15 | 0.06 | c0．17 | c0．36 |  | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.89 | 1.01 |  | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 32.9 | 23.0 | 21.5 | 34.3 | 25.9 | 18.9 | 28.2 | 23.1 |  | 31.4 | 23.9 | 15.9 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 60.8 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 24.4 | 37.4 |  | 53.2 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 35.9 | 23.5 | 21.5 | 95.1 | 30.7 | 19.6 | 52.6 | 60.5 |  | 84.6 | 33.2 | 16.0 |
| Level of Service | D | C | C | F | C | B | D | E |  | F | C | B |


| Approach Delay（s） | 24.7 | 29.1 | 58.1 | 46.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS | C | C | E | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 43.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.90 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 71.8 | Sum of lost time（s） | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ＊ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 73 | 211 | 242 | 34 | 139 | 268 | 89 | 410 | 39 | 435 | 730 | 87 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 73 | 211 | 242 | 34 | 139 | 268 | 89 | 410 | 39 | 435 | 730 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1539 | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 1863 | 1539 | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 77 | 222 | 255 | 36 | 146 | 282 | 94 | 432 | 41 | 458 | 768 | 92 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 77 | 222 | 57 | 36 | 146 | 203 | 94 | 469 | 0 | 458 | 768 | 46 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{ov}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 3.8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 29.7 | 3.8 | 19.7 |  | 15.7 | 31.6 | 35.4 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 3.8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 29.7 | 3.8 | 19.7 |  | 15.7 | 31.6 | 35.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.28 |  | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.50 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 94 | 418 | 345 | 45 | 369 | 768 | 94 | 508 |  | 390 | 826 | 887 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．04 | c0．12 |  | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.25 |  | c0．26 | c0．41 | 0.00 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.17 | 0.93 | 0.05 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 33.4 | 24.3 | 22.2 | 34.5 | 24.9 | 13.6 | 33.7 | 25.0 |  | 27.8 | 18.7 | 9.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 40.4 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 63.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 92.8 | 22.4 |  | 102.3 | 16.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 73.7 | 25.6 | 22.5 | 98.2 | 25.6 | 13.8 | 126.5 | 47.4 |  | 130.1 | 35.3 | 9.3 |
| Level of Service | E | C | C | F | C | B | F | D |  | F | D | A |


| Approach Delay（s） | 30.8 | 24.1 | 60.5 | 66.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS | C | C | E | E |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 51.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.95 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 71.2 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $78.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eastbound approach has 2 exclusive left-turn lanes and a shared left/right lane.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eastbound approach has a left-turn lane, a through lane and a right-turn lane.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    

