## City of Oregon City - Glen Oak Park

	Overall Site Suitability 0-10 pts	Discretionary Committee Member Evaluation 0-10 pts	Community Support 0-5 pts	Financial Commitment 0-10 pts	Consistency with Statewide Priorities - SCORP 20 pts	Local Needs and Benefits - SCORP	Long-term Commitment to Maintenance - SCORP 0-15 pts
Scores:	10	5	5	5	10	12	10
Comments:		T	T	1	1		
Scores:	10	7	3	7	10	28	8
Comments:  Scores:	SS: Undeveloped park site centrally located in under served neighborhood identifed in Master Plan.  CC: Letters of support from Neighborhood ASS; Park Foundation and Parks Advisory Committee. (3 of 4 letters submitted on City letterhead.)  FC: Worksheet/budget presented in large round numbers. Large ask (\$500,000) What is the source of city funds? Worksheet lists \$165,000 in site survey and construction drawings as part of match. Has this work been done?  SWSCORP: Active use and sustainable elements. Trail connectivity appears limited to sidewalk and on-street bicycle connections.  LN&B: Addresses goals listed in 2008 Park Master Plan; 2015 site plan. Provides elements listed in Oregon Resident Survey, but which are in Phase I? Three public meetings held during design process.  LTM: Cursory description of maintenance requirements provided. 4 - 6 m/hrs. per week in season. What type of fall surface will be used in natural play ground?  Disc: Some of the facilities shown on the master plan appear to be in Phase II of the development and not part of the grant ask? Would the \$475,000 in ROW improvements listed as match be necessary sans the park development?.						
	10	8	5	10	18	25	15
Comments:	10	10	T F	T =	1 20	20	10
Scores:	10	10	5	5	20	20	10
Scores:	10	0	5	10	18	25	14
	1 10	U	3	10	10	25	14
Comments:	surrounded by neighbor	hood, oregon city high sc	hool and clackamas comi	munity college. Most rece	ent public input was in 2015	5	
	surrounded by neighbor	hood, oregon city high sc	hool and clackamas comi 5	munity college. Most rece 8	ent public input was in 2015	25	13
Comments:	, ,	, , , ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		13
Comments: Scores:	, ,	, , , ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		13
Comments:  Scores: Comments:	10	5	5	8	18	25	-
Comments: Scores: Comments: Scores:	10 9 Trail connectivity?	5	5	8	18	25	-
Comments: Scores: Comments: Scores: Comments:	10 9 Trail connectivity ? Last Public involvement	5 8 was in 2015 ?	5	8	18	25	12
Scores: Scores: Comments: Scores: Comments: Scores:	10 9 Trail connectivity ? Last Public involvement	5 8 was in 2015 ?	5	8	18	25	12
Scores: Scores: Comments: Scores: Comments: Scores:	10 9 Trail connectivity ? Last Public involvement	5 8 was in 2015 ?	5	8	18	25	12
Scores: Scores: Comments: Scores: Comments: Scores:	10 9 Trail connectivity ? Last Public involvement	5 8 was in 2015 ?	5	8	18	25	12

37

4.625

58

7.25

120

15

178

22.25

94

11.75

79

9.875

51

6.375