

Technical Memorandum

To: Mark Handris, Icon Construction & Development
From: Daniel Stumpf, EI
Todd Mobley, PE
Date: March 27, 2018
Subject: Park Place Annexation:
Transportation Impact Study Addendum #1



**LANCASTER
ENGINEERING**

321 SW 4th Ave., Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
phone: 503.248.0313
fax: 503.248.9251
lancasterengineering.com

Introduction

This memorandum is written as an addendum to the original *Park Place Annexation Transportation Impact Study* (TIS), dated August 2nd, 2017. Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) staff have requested that trip generation assumptions within the TIS be revised. Accordingly, this addendum re-assesses site trip generation and includes capacity analyses for year 2035 build-out conditions reflecting this change in projected trips generated.

A draft version of this memorandum was distributed to ODOT and City of Oregon City staff in mid-March. This final version of the memo incorporates revisions made by public agency review of the draft report. This includes:

1. Explanation of the assumed number of homes on the site,
2. Additional discussion of pass-by trips and the use of internal trip reduction,
3. Explanation of ODOT's recent interpretation regarding the application of intersection performance standards,
4. A proposal to establish a trip cap for the subject site.

Trip Generation

Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenarios

The subject site consists of 34.57 acres currently zoned RRF-5 and 57.43 acres currently zoned Future-Use 10-acre minimum (FU-10) under Clackamas County. Upon annexation of the site into the Oregon City, the area will be rezoned for 87.5 acres of residential use under R-5 zoning with a minimum lot size of 5,000 square-feet and 4.5 acres of Neighborhood Commercial (NC) zoning.



Under the existing Clackamas County zoning, the site can be developed with up to 11 lots with properties zoned RRFF-5 requiring a minimum lot size of 5 acres and properties zoned FU-10 having a minimum lot size of 10 acres. Each lot may be developed with a single-family home.

Under the proposed zoning, the project site can be developed with up to 533 residential lots in the area zoned R-5¹, as well as the 4.5 acres of neighborhood commercial space. The lots zoned R-5 could each hold a single-family dwelling, and the area zoned NC can accommodate up to 42,000 square-feet of gross floor area for neighborhood commercial uses.

Based on the comparison between the “reasonable worst-case” development scenarios for the existing and proposed zonings, annexation of the subject properties could result in a net increase of up to 522 new homes, as well as 42,000 square-feet of commercial uses.

Trip Generation Methodology

To estimate the number of trips that could be generated under the existing and proposed zones, data from the *TRIP GENERATION MANUAL*² was used. The trip projections for the residential uses was determined using data from land-use code 210, *Single-Family Detached Housing*, and is based on the number of dwelling units. Based on correspondence with ODOT and Oregon City staff, trip generation for the NC zone was determined utilizing the following land-use codes:

- 850 – *Supermarket*, 25,000 square-feet;
- 851 – *Convenience Market (Open 24 Hours)*, 2,000 square-feet;
- 881 – *Pharmacy/Drugstore with Drive-Through Window*, 8,000 square-feet;
- 932 – *High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant*, 5,000 square-feet; and
- 934 – *Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window*, 2,000 square-feet.

Given the variety of land-uses that could be developed within the project site (including residential, retail, and restaurant land-uses), some trips generated will be shared or internally captured by other future developed uses and will not impact the nearby transportation system. Using the NCHRP Report 684, internal capture rates for the “reasonable worst-case” development scenario under the proposed zoning were calculated for each land-use during the morning and evening peak hours.

The retail and restaurant land-uses of the “reasonable worst-case” development scenario under the proposed zoning are expected to attract pass-by and diverted trips to the site. Pass-by trips are trips that leave the adjacent roadway to patronize a land-use and then continue in their original direction of travel. Similar to

¹ See attached calculation of residential density from Rick Givens, Planning Consultant, dated March 21, 2018

² Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), *TRIP GENERATION MANUAL*, 9th Edition, 2012.



pass-by trips, diverted trips are trips that divert from a nearby roadway not adjacent to the site to patronize a land-use before continuing to their original destination. Pass-by trips do not add additional vehicles to the surrounding transportation system; however, they do add additional turning movements at site access intersections. Diverted trips may add turning movements at both site accesses and other nearby intersections.

For the purposes of this analysis, diverted trips were treated as primary trips while pass-by trip rates were determined using data provided in the *TRIP GENERATION HANDBOOK*³. Data from land-use codes 850, 851, 881, 932, and 934 were used to determine pass-by rates for the retail and restaurant portions of the “reasonable worst-case” development scenario under the proposed zone. It is assumed that the weekday pass-by rates would approximately match the evening peak hour rates. If no data was provided for a specific land-use during the morning peak hour, it was assumed the morning rate would similarly match the evening peak hour rate.

The calculations indicate that the proposed annexation and zone change could result in up to 538 additional trips during the morning peak hour, with 184 entering and 534 exiting the site. During the evening peak hour, 679 additional trips could be expected, with 412 entering and 267 exiting the site. A daily increase of 7,406 trips is projected, with half entering and half exiting the site.

A summary of the potential trip generation under the proposed zoning is provided in Table 1 on the following page. Table 2 provides a comparison between the trip generation potential under the existing and proposed zones. Detailed trip generation worksheets are included in the attached technical appendix.

ODOT staff has disagreed with the use of both pass-by and internal trips. Regarding this practice, the *TRIP GENERATION HANDBOOK* states on page 63:

*The application of pass-by trip reductions presented in Chapter 10 should likewise be applicable to mixed-use sites. However, none of the internal trips can be of a pass-by nature because they do not travel on the adjacent (external) street system. **Pass-by trip percentages are applicable only to trips that enter or exit the adjacent street system.** (emphasis not added, bold text is included in the document referenced)*

The trip generation calculations in the original TIS and in this addendum were prepared in strict compliance with common industry practice and with the guidance from the handbook.

³ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), *TRIP GENERATION HANDBOOK*, 3rd Edition, 2014.



Table 1 – Trip Generation Summary (Proposed Zoning)

| | ITE Code | Size & Rate | Morning Peak Hour | | | Evening Peak Hour | | | Weekday Total |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | |
| Single-Family Homes | 210 | 533 units | 100 | 300 | 400 | 299 | 175 | 474 | 4,896 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 24 | 24 | 48 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 636 |
| External Trips | | | 76 | 276 | 352 | 268 | 144 | 412 | 4,260 |
| Supermarket | 850 | 25 ksf | 53 | 32 | 85 | 121 | 116 | 237 | 2,556 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 332 |
| External Trips | | | 48 | 27 | 75 | 106 | 101 | 207 | 2,224 |
| <i>Pass-by Trips</i> | | 36% (36%) | 14 | 14 | 28 | 37 | 37 | 74 | 800 |
| Primary Trips | | | 34 | 13 | 47 | 69 | 64 | 133 | 1,424 |
| Convenience Market (24 Hr) | 851 | 2 ksf | 67 | 67 | 134 | 54 | 51 | 105 | 1,476 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 8 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 192 |
| External Trips | | | 59 | 59 | 118 | 47 | 44 | 91 | 1,284 |
| <i>Pass-by Trips</i> | | 51% (51%) | 30 | 30 | 60 | 23 | 23 | 46 | 654 |
| Primary Trips | | | 29 | 29 | 58 | 24 | 21 | 45 | 630 |
| Pharmacy w/ Drive-Thru | 881 | 8 ksf | 15 | 13 | 28 | 40 | 39 | 79 | 776 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 100 |
| External Trips | | | 13 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 34 | 69 | 676 |
| <i>Pass-by Trips</i> | | 49% (49%) | 6 | 6 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 332 |
| Primary Trips | | | 7 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 344 |
| High-Turnover Restaurant | 932 | 5 ksf | 30 | 24 | 54 | 29 | 20 | 49 | 636 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 82 |
| External Trips | | | 27 | 21 | 48 | 26 | 17 | 43 | 554 |
| <i>Pass-by Trips</i> | | 43% (43%) | 10 | 10 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 238 |
| Primary Trips | | | 17 | 11 | 28 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 316 |
| Fast-Food Rest w/ Drive-Thru | 934 | 2 ksf | 46 | 45 | 91 | 34 | 31 | 65 | 992 |
| <i>Internal Trips</i> | | 12% (13%) | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 128 |
| External Trips | | | 41 | 40 | 81 | 30 | 27 | 57 | 864 |
| <i>Pass-by Trips</i> | | 49% (50%) | 20 | 20 | 40 | 14 | 14 | 28 | 432 |
| Primary Trips | | | 21 | 20 | 41 | 16 | 13 | 29 | 432 |
| Total Vehicular Trips | | | 311 | 481 | 792 | 577 | 432 | 1,009 | 11,332 |
| Total Internal Trips | | | 47 | 47 | 94 | 65 | 65 | 130 | 1,470 |
| Total External Trips | | | 264 | 434 | 698 | 512 | 367 | 879 | 9,862 |
| Total Pass-by Trips | | | 80 | 80 | 160 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 2,456 |
| Net New Site Trips | | | 184 | 354 | 538 | 412 | 267 | 679 | 7,406 |

Note: AM (PM and ADT).



Table 2 – Trip Generation Comparison (Existing and Proposed Zoning)

| | Morning Peak Hour | | | Evening Peak Hour | | | Weekday Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | |
| Existing Zoning Trips | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 104 |
| Proposed Zoning Trips | 184 | 354 | 538 | 412 | 267 | 679 | 7,406 |
| Net Increase in Site Trips | 182 | 348 | 530 | 405 | 263 | 668 | 7,302 |

Operational Analysis

To reflect the change in projected site trip generation, the TIS capacity analysis was revised for the 2035 build-out scenarios during the morning and evening peak hours. The analysis was conducted for each of the study intersections per the signalized and unsignalized intersection analysis methodologies in the *HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL* (HCM)⁴. The level of service (LOS) of an intersection can range from LOS A, which indicates very little or no delay experienced by vehicles, to LOS F, which indicates a high degree of congestion and delay. The volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio is a measure that compares the traffic volumes (demand) against the available capacity of an intersection.

Oregon City Capacity Standards

Per Section 12.04.205 of the *Oregon City Municipal Code*, the following minimum acceptable operation standards apply when evaluating traffic impacts associated with the proposed annexation. This language is quoted directly from the City's code:

- For intersections within the Regional Center (Downtown Community Plan), a maximum v/c ratio of 1.10 is permissible during the peak hour, provided that during the second hour the v/c ratio is 0.99 or less. For signalized intersections, these standards apply to the intersection as a whole. For unsignalized intersections, these standards apply to the major-street approaches only. There is no performance standard for unsignalized minor-street approaches.
- For intersections outside the Regional Center but designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network, a maximum v/c ratio of 0.99 shall be maintained. This standard applies to signalized

⁴ Transportation Research Board, *HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL 2000* and *2010*.



intersections as a whole, and to the major-street approaches at unsignalized intersections. There is no performance standard for unsignalized minor-street approaches.

- Signalized intersections located outside the Regional Center boundaries and not designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network shall operate at LOS D or better for the intersection as a whole, no approach shall operate worse than LOS E, and the intersection shall operate with a v/c ratio no higher than 1.0 for the sum of critical movements.
- Unsignalized intersections located outside the Regional Center boundaries and not designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network shall operate at LOS E or better for all approaches serving more than 20 peak hour vehicles. LOS F will be tolerated at movements serving no more than 20 vehicles during the peak hour.
- Until the city adopts new performance measures that identify alternative mobility targets, the city exempts proposed developments that are permitted, either conditionally, outright, or through a detailed development master plan approval from compliance with the above mobility standards for identified intersections, including the intersections of OR-99E at the I-205 northbound and southbound ramp terminals, and the intersection of Beaver Creek Road at OR-213.

According to Oregon City's Downtown Community Plan, the Regional Center encompasses all of the study intersections except Beaver Creek Road at OR-213, the future Holly Lane at S Holcomb Boulevard, and Holly Lane at S Redland Road.

ODOT staff has recently made the interpretation that trips generated outside of a Regional Center, which impact intersections within a Regional Center, are subject to a maximum v/c ratio of 0.99 and not 1.10 during the peak hour. It has not been made clear by ODOT how a state highway intersection within the Regional Center could be analyzed with a mix of vehicles that carry separate applicable performance standards. However, this issue does not change the findings or recommendations contained within the original TIS or this addendum.

The future intersection of Holly Lane at S Holcomb Boulevard will be an unsignalized intersection currently not designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network whereas Holly Lane at S Redland Road is designated on the Arterial and Throughway Network.

Clackamas County Capacity Standards

The roadways of Abernethy Road and S Redland Road operate under the jurisdiction of Clackamas County; therefore, intersections along these roadways must operate acceptably per County standards. According to the *Clackamas County Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 5 – Transportation System Plan*, the following operational standards apply to study intersections along these roadways:



- Urban intersections within Town Centers (Incorporated Cities) are required to operate with a v/c ratio of 1.10 or less during the first hour of the evening peak of traffic and with a v/c ratio of 0.99 or less during the second hour.
- Rural intersections inside a City's Urban Growth Boundary are required to operate with a v/c ratio between 0.80 to 0.95 during the evening peak hour.

Intersection Capacity Analysis

The v/c, delay, and LOS results of the capacity analysis are shown in Table 3 and Table 4 for the morning and evening peak hours. The v/c ratio for two-way stop-controlled intersections represent that highest reported v/c for the major-street approach while LOS and delay are representative of the minor-street approach lane experiencing the highest delay. The reported results are generally based on the analysis methodologies provided in the 2010 HCM; however, for intersections where the 2010 methodology fails to report major-street v/c ratio or does not provide a v/c ratio for a signalized intersection, v/c ratios were evaluated using the HCM 2000 methodologies.

Additionally, the I-205 southbound ramps intersection at OR-213 was analyzed as two separate intersections due to its unique configuration that includes two distinct stop bars for southbound vehicles. Based on the capacity analysis, the highest average control delay experienced for any intersection approach was determined to be the eastbound left-turn from the I-205 off-ramp. The highest projected v/c ratio was for the northbound approach.

Detailed calculations as well as tables showing the relationship between delay and LOS are included in the appendix to this report.



Table 3 – Capacity Analysis Summary (Intersections 1 – 8)

| | Morning Peak Hour | | | Evening Peak Hour | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c |
| 1. I-205 SB Ramps at OR-99E | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 1.21 | E | 71 | 1.13 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 1.21 | E | 71 | 1.13 |
| 2. I-205 NB Ramps at OR-99E | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 1.33 | E | 57 | 1.17 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 1.33 | E | 57 | 1.17 |
| 3. 15th St at OR-99E | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | F | 54 | 0.92 | E | 43 | 0.78 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | 70 | 0.92 | F | 52 | 0.78 |
| 4. 14th St at OR-99E | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | E | 62 | 1.14 | C | 27 | 0.94 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | E | 60 | 1.14 | C | 32 | 0.96 |
| 5. Abernethy Rd/S Holcomb Blvd at Redland Rd | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | C | 30 | 0.79 | D | 41 | 0.91 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | D | 44 | 0.90 | F | 84 | 1.14 |
| 6. Abernethy Rd at Washington St | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | C | 21 | 0.85 | A | 9 | 0.61 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | C | 28 | 0.93 | B | 11 | 0.68 |
| 7. 15th St at Washington St | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | B | 19 | 0.81 | B | 18 | 0.73 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | C | 25 | 0.88 | C | 22 | 0.78 |
| 8. 14th St at Washington St | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | E | 56 | 1.06 | E | 70 | 1.17 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | E | 68 | 1.12 | F | > 80 | 1.27 |

BOLDED results exceed Oregon City and Clackamas County operational standards.



Table 4 – Capacity Analysis Summary (Intersections 9 - 16)

| | Morning Peak Hour | | | Evening Peak Hour | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c |
| 9. 14th St at Main St | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 0.25 | F | 106 | 0.35 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 0.26 | F | 131 | 0.37 |
| 10. I-205 SB Ramps at OR-213 | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 0.68 | E | 43 | 0.92 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 0.71 | F | 51 | 0.95 |
| 12. Prairie Schooner/Clackamas River Dr at OR-213 | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | B | 13 | 0.87 | B | 14 | 0.92 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | B | 14 | 0.90 | B | 16 | 0.96 |
| 13. Redland Rd at OR-213 | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | C | 33 | 1.01 | E | 77 | 1.19 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | D | 42 | 1.06 | F | > 80 | 1.24 |
| Mitigated Conditions (Add 1 NB & SB Th Lane) | C | 24 | 0.81 | C | 28 | 0.96 |
| 14. Beavercreek Rd at OR-213 | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | E | 61 | 1.01 | E | 64 | 1.04 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | E | 62 | 1.01 | E | 65 | 1.04 |
| 15. Holly Ln at S Holcomb Blvd (Future) | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | B | 11 | 0.07 | B | 12 | 0.16 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | B | 13 | 0.10 | C | 15 | 0.21 |
| 16. Holly Ln at S Redland Rd* | | | | | | |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/o Annexation Trips) | C | 21 | 0.07 | C | 22 | 0.03 |
| 2035 Planning Horizon (w/ Annexation Trips) | F | > 80 | 0.13 | F | > 80 | 0.22 |

* Intersection converted from three-legged to four-legged under year 2035 conditions.

BOLDED results exceed Oregon City and Clackamas County operational standards.



At the intersection of OR-213 at Redland Road, it was assumed that an additional through lane in each direction on OR-213 will be constructed prior to the planning horizon. This is allowed per the Transportation Planning Rule via OAR 660-12-0060(4)(b)(C) since the highway project is identified in the Financially Constrained project list in the Regional Transportation Plan.

Based on the capacity analysis, the following six study intersections that are not projected to meet the applicable minimum intersection performance standards:

1. I-205 southbound ramps at OR-99E;
2. I-205 northbound ramps at OR-99E;
3. 14th Street at OR-99E;
4. 14th Street at Washington Street;
5. Abernethy Road/S Holcomb Boulevard at Redland Road; and
6. Beavercreek Road at OR-213.

The above listed intersections were reported as not meeting operational standards or exceeding capacity within the original TIS and therefore, mitigation was suggested. All mitigations previously recommended within the TIS are still applicable and are expected to address any additional intersection impacts projected with the increased site trip generation.

Proposed Trip Cap

It is recognized that there is continued discussion with ODOT regarding the trip generation calculations relative to pass-by trips and internal trip capture. To simplify the analysis and come to a consensus, it is recommended that a trip cap be established as part of the annexation process. This will ensure that future development on the site does not generate external trips beyond what is analyzed in this addendum. It is recommended that the trip cap be established as follows:

The total number of external primary trips generated by development on the site shall not exceed the following:

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| AM Peak Hour: | 538 trips |
| PM Peak Hour: | 679 trips |
| Weekday: | 7,406 trips |

March 21, 2018

Todd Mobley
Lancaster Engineering, Inc.
321 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204

Rick Givens
Planning Consultant
18680 Sunblaze Dr.
Oregon City, Oregon 97045

RE: Park Place Annexation Density

Dear Todd:

The estimate of a maximum residential density of 533 units for the 91 acre Park Place annexation and zone change is based upon the following:

Total Area: 91 Acres
Less MUC Area: 4.5 Acres
Gross Residential Area: 86.5 Acres

Low Density Residential/R-10 Area: 9.5 Acres
Less Unbuildable Stream Corridor: 3.55 Acres
Buildable Site Area: 5.95 Acres
Net Site Area (Less 20% Streets): 4.76 Acres or 207,345 sq. ft.
Maximum Density @ 1 Unit per 10,000 sq. ft.: 21 Units


Medium Density Residential/R-5 Area: 78 Acres
Less Unbuildable Stream Corridor: 4.65 Acres
Buildable Site Area: 73.35 Acres
Net Site Area (Less 20% Streets): 58.68 Acres or 2,560,659 sq. ft.
Maximum Density @ 1 Unit per 5,000 sq. ft.: 512 Units

Total Maximum Density: 533 Units

Note that the actual density achieved would likely be significantly less than this due to the steepness of certain areas of the site.


Please let me know if you have any questions or need further information.

Sincerely yours,



Rick Givens

Cc: Mike Robinson, Mark Handris



Park Place Annexation

Oregon City, Oregon
Proposed Zoning

SCALE 1" = 400'

DATE: 3-21-2018


PROJECT 15-ICN-105

Richard E. Givens, Planning Consultant

18680 Sunblaze Dr.

Oregon City, Oregon 97045

PH: (503) 479-02097




*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION
 Inbound & Outbound Percentages – Site Trips
 AM & PM Peak Hours

FIGURE 1






LEGEND

XX% PERCENT OF PROJECT TRIPS

| NET ADDITIONAL TRIP GENERATION | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| AM | 182 | 348 | 530 |





*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



SITE TRIP ASSIGNMENT
Proposed Zone Change – Net Additional Site Trips
AM Peak Hour

FIGURE 2





*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP




SITE TRIP ASSIGNMENT
Proposed Zone Change – Net Additional Site Trips
PM Peak Hour

FIGURE 3



OR-99E FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.81 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 OR-213 FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.73 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 LOCAL FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 2.02 PERCENT PER YEAR COMPOUNDED



*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP




TRAFFIC VOLUMES
 Year 2035 Planning Horizon – w/ Holly Extension
 AM Peak Hour

FIGURE 4





OR-99E FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.81 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 OR-213 FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.73 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 LOCAL FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 2.02 PERCENT PER YEAR COMPOUNDED



*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP




TRAFFIC VOLUMES
 Year 2035 Planning Horizon – w/ Holly Extension
 PM Peak Hour

FIGURE 5





OR-99E FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.81 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 OR-213 FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.73 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 LOCAL FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 2.02 PERCENT PER YEAR COMPOUNDED



*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP




TRAFFIC VOLUMES
 Year 2035 Planning Horizon plus Annexation
 AM Peak Hour

FIGURE 6





OR-99E FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.81 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 OR-213 FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 0.73 PERCENT PER YEAR LINEAR
 LOCAL FACILITY GROWTH RATE: 2.02 PERCENT PER YEAR COMPOUNDED



*Matches the alignment in the City's TSP



TRAFFIC VOLUMES
 Year 2035 Planning Horizon plus Annexation
 PM Peak Hour

FIGURE 7





TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Supermarket
Land Use Code: 850
Variable: 1000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area
Variable Value: 25

AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 3.40

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 62% | 38% | |
| Trip Ends | 53 | 32 | 85 |

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 9.48

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 51% | 49% | |
| Trip Ends | 121 | 116 | 237 |

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 102.24

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 1,278 | 1,278 | 2,556 |

SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 177.59

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 2,220 | 2,220 | 4,440 |



TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Convenience Market (Open 24 Hours)
Land Use Code: 851
Variable: 1,000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area
Variable Value: 2.0

AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 67.03

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 67 | 67 | 134 |

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 52.41

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 51% | 49% | |
| Trip Ends | 54 | 51 | 105 |

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 737.99

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 738 | 738 | 1,476 |

SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 863.10

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 863 | 863 | 1,726 |



TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Pharmacy/Drugstore with Drive-Through Window
Land Use Code: 881
Variable: 1,000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area
Variable Value: 8.0

AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 3.45

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 52% | 48% | |
| Trip Ends | 15 | 13 | 28 |

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 9.91

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 40 | 40 | 79 |

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 96.91

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 388 | 388 | 776 |

SATURDAY PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 8.20

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 49% | 51% | |
| Trip Ends | 32 | 34 | 66 |



TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant
Land Use Code: 932
Variable: 1000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area
Variable Quantity: 5

AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 10.81

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 55% | 45% | |
| Trip Ends | 30 | 24 | 54 |

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 9.85

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 60% | 40% | |
| Trip Ends | 29 | 20 | 49 |

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 127.15

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 318 | 318 | 636 |

SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 158.37

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 396 | 396 | 792 |



TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window
Land Use Code: 934
Variable: 1000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area
Variable Quantity: 2

AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 45.42

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 51% | 49% | |
| Trip Ends | 46 | 45 | 91 |

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 32.65

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Directional Distribution | 52% | 48% | |
| Trip Ends | 34 | 31 | 65 |

WEEKDAY

Trip Rate: 496.12

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 496 | 496 | 992 |

SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 722.03

| | Enter | Exit | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Directional Distribution | 50% | 50% | |
| Trip Ends | 722 | 722 | 1,444 |

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Project Name: | Park Place | Organization: | Lancaster Engineering |
| Project Location: | Oregon City, OR | Performed By: | Daniel Stumpf, EI |
| Scenario Description: | Background + Site Trips | Date: | |
| Analysis Year: | 2035 | Checked By: | |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour | Date: | |

| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) | | | Estimated Vehicle-Trips | | |
| | ITE LUCs ¹ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | | | | 0 | | |
| Retail | 850, 851, 881 | 35,000 | Sq. Ft. | 247 | 135 | 112 |
| Restaurant | 932, 934 | 7,000 | Sq. Ft. | 145 | 76 | 69 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | 0 | | |
| Residential | 210 | 533 | Dwelling Units | 400 | 100 | 300 |
| Hotel | | | | 0 | | |
| All Other Land Uses ² | | | | 0 | | |
| Total | | | | 792 | 311 | 481 |

| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Land Use | Entering Trips | | | Exiting Trips | | |
| | Veh. Occ. | % Transit | % Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | % Transit | % Non-Motorized |
| Office | | | | | | |
| Retail | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Restaurant | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | | | |
| Residential | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Hotel | | | | | | |
| All Other Land Uses ² | | | | | | |

| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | | | | | |
| Retail | | | | | | |
| Restaurant | | | | | | |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | | | |
| Residential | | | | | | |
| Hotel | | | | | | |

| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 12 | | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Table 5-A: Computations Summary | | | |
|---|-------|----------|---------|
| | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| All Person-Trips | 990 | 389 | 601 |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 12% | 15% | 10% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ³ | 697 | 263 | 434 |
| External Transit-Trips ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Office | N/A | N/A |
| Retail | 9% | 15% |
| Restaurant | 39% | 17% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| Residential | 5% | 6% |
| Hotel | N/A | N/A |

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Informational Report*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

³Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

⁴Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas Transportation Institute

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Project Name: | Park Place |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |

| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips | | | Table 7-A (O): Exiting Trips | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.25 | 135 | 169 | 1.25 | 112 | 140 |
| Restaurant | 1.25 | 76 | 95 | 1.25 | 69 | 86 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.25 | 100 | 125 | 1.25 | 300 | 375 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |

| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 41 | | 18 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 27 | 12 | | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 8 | 4 | 75 | 0 | | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 54 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | | 48 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 14 | | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 29 | 19 | 0 | | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | |

| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates | | | External Trips by Mode* | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ¹ | Transit ² | Non-Motorized ² |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 16 | 153 | 169 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 37 | 58 | 95 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 6 | 119 | 125 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ³ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates | | | External Trips by Mode* | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ¹ | Transit ² | Non-Motorized ² |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 21 | 119 | 140 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 15 | 71 | 86 | 57 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 23 | 352 | 375 | 282 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ³ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A
²Person-Trips
³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Project Name: | Park Place | Organization: | Lancaster Engineering |
| Project Location: | Oregon City, OR | Performed By: | Daniel Stumpf, EI |
| Scenario Description: | Background + Site Trips | Date: | |
| Analysis Year: | 2035 | Checked By: | |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour | Date: | |

| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) | | | Estimated Vehicle-Trips | | |
| | ITE LUCs ¹ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | | | | 0 | | |
| Retail | 850, 851, 881 | 35,000 | Sq. Ft. | 421 | 215 | 206 |
| Restaurant | 932, 934 | 7,000 | Sq. Ft. | 114 | 63 | 51 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | 0 | | |
| Residential | 210 | 533 | Dwelling Units | 474 | 299 | 175 |
| Hotel | | | | 0 | | |
| All Other Land Uses ² | | | | 0 | | |
| Total | | | | 1009 | 577 | 432 |

| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Land Use | Entering Trips | | | Exiting Trips | | |
| | Veh. Occ. | % Transit | % Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | % Transit | % Non-Motorized |
| Office | | | | | | |
| Retail | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Restaurant | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | | | |
| Residential | 1.25 | 0% | 0% | 1.25 | 0% | 0% |
| Hotel | | | | | | |
| All Other Land Uses ² | | | | | | |

| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | | | | | |
| Retail | | | | | 3000 | |
| Restaurant | | | | | 3000 | |
| Cinema/Entertainment | | | | | | |
| Residential | | 3000 | 3000 | | | |
| Hotel | | | | | | |

| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 26 | | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Table 5-P: Computations Summary | | | |
|---|-------|----------|---------|
| | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| All Person-Trips | 1,263 | 722 | 541 |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 13% | 11% | 15% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ³ | 883 | 514 | 369 |
| External Transit-Trips ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Office | N/A | N/A |
| Retail | 11% | 17% |
| Restaurant | 30% | 47% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| Residential | 7% | 2% |
| Hotel | N/A | N/A |

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Informational Report*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

³Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

⁴Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas Transportation Institute

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Project Name: | Park Place |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |

| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips | | | Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips | | |
| | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.25 | 215 | 269 | 1.25 | 206 | 258 |
| Restaurant | 1.25 | 63 | 79 | 1.25 | 51 | 64 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.25 | 299 | 374 | 1.25 | 175 | 219 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |

| Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 5 | | 75 | 10 | 22 | 13 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 26 | | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 9 | 9 | 5 | 0 | | 7 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) | | | | | |
| | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | | 22 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | | 23 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 135 | | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 11 | 2 | | 15 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |

| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates | | | External Trips by Mode* | | |
| | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ¹ | Transit ² | Non-Motorized ² |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 29 | 240 | 269 | 192 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 24 | 55 | 79 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 26 | 348 | 374 | 278 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ³ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates | | | External Trips by Mode* | | |
| | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ¹ | Transit ² | Non-Motorized ² |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 45 | 213 | 258 | 170 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 30 | 34 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 4 | 215 | 219 | 172 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ³ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Table 7.1a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Origins within a Multi-Use Development

| Land Use Pairs | | Weekday | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| From OFFICE | To Office | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Retail | 28.0% | 20.0% |
| | To Restaurant | 63.0% | 4.0% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Residential | 1.0% | 2.0% |
| | To Hotel | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| From RETAIL | To Office | 29.0% | 2.0% |
| | To Retail | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Restaurant | 13.0% | 29.0% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 4.0% |
| | To Residential | 14.0% | 8.6% |
| | To Hotel | 0.0% | 5.0% |
| From RESTAURANT | To Office | 31.0% | 3.0% |
| | To Retail | 14.0% | 41.0% |
| | To Restaurant | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 8.0% |
| | To Residential | 4.0% | 5.9% |
| | To Hotel | 3.0% | 7.0% |
| From CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | To Office | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| | To Retail | 0.0% | 21.0% |
| | To Restaurant | 0.0% | 31.0% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Residential | 0.0% | 8.0% |
| | To Hotel | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| From RESIDENTIAL | To Office | 2.0% | 4.0% |
| | To Retail | 1.0% | 4.2% |
| | To Restaurant | 20.0% | 2.1% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Residential | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Hotel | 0.0% | 3.0% |
| From HOTEL | To Office | 75.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Retail | 14.0% | 16.0% |
| | To Restaurant | 9.0% | 68.0% |
| | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | To Residential | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| | To Hotel | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table 7.2a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a Multi-Use Development

| Land Use Pairs | | Weekday | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| To OFFICE | From Office | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Retail | 4.0% | 31.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 14.0% | 30.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 6.0% |
| | From Residential | 3.0% | 57.0% |
| | From Hotel | 3.0% | 0.0% |
| To RETAIL | From Office | 32.0% | 8.0% |
| | From Retail | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 8.0% | 50.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 4.0% |
| | From Residential | 17.0% | 1.0% |
| | From Hotel | 4.0% | 2.0% |
| To RESTAURANT | From Office | 23.0% | 2.0% |
| | From Retail | 50.0% | 29.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 3.0% |
| | From Residential | 20.0% | 1.4% |
| | From Hotel | 6.0% | 5.0% |
| To CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | From Office | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| | From Retail | 0.0% | 26.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 0.0% | 32.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Residential | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Hotel | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| To RESIDENTIAL | From Office | 0.0% | 4.0% |
| | From Retail | 2.0% | 46.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 5.0% | 16.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 4.0% |
| | From Residential | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Hotel | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| To HOTEL | From Office | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | From Retail | 0.0% | 17.0% |
| | From Restaurant | 4.0% | 71.0% |
| | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| | From Residential | 0.0% | 12.0% |
| | From Hotel | 0.0% | 0.0% |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: OR-99E & I-205 SB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 535 | 321 | 1627 | 388 | 859 | 1060 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 535 | 321 | 1627 | 388 | 859 | 1060 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3273 | 1509 | 4988 | 1510 | 1752 | 5036 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3273 | 1509 | 4988 | 1510 | 1752 | 5036 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 563 | 338 | 1713 | 408 | 904 | 1116 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 563 | 338 | 1713 | 121 | 904 | 1116 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 3 | 3 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 7% | 7% | 4% | 4% | 3% | 3% |
| Turn Type | Prot | pm+ov | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | | 8 | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 17.6 | 50.5 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 32.9 | 63.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 17.6 | 50.5 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 32.9 | 63.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.70 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 640 | 922 | 1440 | 436 | 640 | 3547 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.17 | 0.13 | c0.34 | | c0.52 | 0.22 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.09 | | 0.08 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.37 | 1.19 | 0.28 | 1.41 | 0.31 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 35.2 | 10.9 | 32.0 | 24.7 | 28.6 | 5.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 2.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 89.8 | 1.0 | 194.8 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | 48.3 | 11.2 | 124.6 | 67.9 | 223.4 | 5.3 |
| Level of Service | D | B | F | E | F | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 34.4 | | 113.7 | | | 102.9 |
| Approach LOS | C | | F | | | F |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 95.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.21 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 105.5% | ICU Level of Service | G |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: OR-99E & I-205 NB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 205 | 576 | 1473 | 1261 | 347 | 1220 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 205 | 576 | 1473 | 1261 | 347 | 1220 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1719 | 1538 | 4940 | 1496 | 1719 | 4940 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1719 | 1538 | 4940 | 1496 | 1719 | 4940 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 216 | 606 | 1551 | 1327 | 365 | 1284 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 225 | 0 | 328 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 216 | 381 | 1551 | 999 | 365 | 1284 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 3 | 3 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | | 8 | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.5 | 18.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 14.5 | 62.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 18.5 | 18.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 14.5 | 62.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.69 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 353 | 316 | 2387 | 723 | 276 | 3430 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.13 | | 0.31 | | c0.21 | 0.26 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | c0.25 | | c0.67 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.61 | 1.21 | 0.65 | 1.38 | 1.32 | 0.37 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.5 | 35.8 | 17.5 | 23.2 | 37.8 | 5.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.82 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.1 | 118.8 | 1.4 | 180.6 | 165.7 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 35.6 | 154.6 | 18.9 | 203.9 | 195.9 | 10.6 |
| Level of Service | D | F | B | F | F | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | 123.3 | | 104.2 | | | 51.6 |
| Approach LOS | F | | F | | | D |

Intersection Summary


| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 90.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.33 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 105.1% | ICU Level of Service | G |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

3: OR-99E & 15th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | | ↑↑↑ | | ↗ |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 2236 | 18 | 0 | 1416 | 0 | 303 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 2236 | 18 | 0 | 1416 | 0 | 303 |
| Sign Control | Free | | | Free | Stop | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2354 | 19 | 0 | 1491 | 0 | 319 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | None | | | None | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | 335 | | | 372 | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.54 |
| vC, conflicting volume | | | | 2373 | 2860 | 1186 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | | | | 1832 | 1718 | 0 |
| tC, single (s) | | | | 4.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | | | | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | | | | 100 | 100 | 45 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | | | | 168 | 47 | 582 |
| Direction, Lane # | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 | NW 1 |
| Volume Total | 1569 | 804 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 319 |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 582 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.92 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.55 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 |
| Lane LOS | | | | | | C |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | | 18.4 |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | C |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | | 1.4 | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | | 87.8% | ICU Level of Service | E |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | | 15 | | |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 | | | | | |
| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | | ↑↑↑ | | ↑ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2236 | 18 | 0 | 1416 | 0 | 303 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2236 | 18 | 0 | 1416 | 0 | 303 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2354 | 19 | 0 | 1491 | 0 | 319 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1186 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 340 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 340 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | NB | SB | NW |
|----------------------|----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 69.9 |
| HCM LOS | | | F |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRNWLn1 | SBT |
|-----------------------|-----|----------|-------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 340 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.938 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 69.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | F |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 9.7 |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

4: OR-99E & 14th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | ↙ | ↑↑ | ↙ | ↗ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1696 | 32 | 427 | 1069 | 102 | 666 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1696 | 32 | 427 | 1069 | 102 | 666 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3395 | | 1703 | 3406 | 1736 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | | 0.08 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3395 | | 139 | 3406 | 1736 | 1553 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1785 | 34 | 449 | 1125 | 107 | 701 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1817 | 0 | 449 | 1125 | 107 | 697 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 4% | 4% |
| Turn Type | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Prot | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 6 | | | 4 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 47.0 | | 79.5 | 79.5 | 11.5 | 39.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 47.0 | | 79.5 | 79.5 | 11.5 | 39.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.12 | 0.40 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1595 | | 548 | 2707 | 199 | 683 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.54 | | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.06 | c0.29 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.42 | | | 0.16 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.14 | | 0.82 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 1.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 26.5 | | 27.9 | 3.1 | 41.7 | 30.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 70.9 | | 9.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 39.9 |
| Delay (s) | 97.4 | | 37.3 | 3.2 | 44.5 | 70.1 |
| Level of Service | F | | D | A | D | E |
| Approach Delay (s) | 97.4 | | | 12.9 | 66.7 | |
| Approach LOS | F | | | B | E | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 59.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.14 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 100.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 96.6% | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

5: Redland Road & Abernethy Road/Holcomb Boulevard

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 | 128 | 80 | 43 | 259 | 420 | 292 | 585 | 41 | 200 | 427 | 73 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 | 128 | 80 | 43 | 259 | 420 | 292 | 585 | 41 | 200 | 427 | 73 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.94 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1656 | 1629 | | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1752 | 1827 | | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1656 | 1629 | | 1752 | 1845 | 1568 | 1752 | 1827 | | 1736 | 1827 | 1553 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 35 | 135 | 84 | 45 | 273 | 442 | 307 | 616 | 43 | 211 | 449 | 77 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 35 | 189 | 0 | 45 | 273 | 323 | 307 | 656 | 0 | 211 | 449 | 26 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 9% | 9% | 9% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.8 | 16.5 | | 2.8 | 15.5 | 24.9 | 13.9 | 25.0 | | 9.4 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.8 | 16.5 | | 2.8 | 15.5 | 24.9 | 13.9 | 25.0 | | 9.4 | 20.5 | 24.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.23 | | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.35 | | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.34 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 87 | 374 | | 68 | 398 | 642 | 339 | 637 | | 227 | 522 | 623 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.12 | | c0.03 | c0.15 | 0.07 | c0.18 | c0.36 | | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | 0.14 | | | | | | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.51 | | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 1.03 | | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 32.9 | 24.0 | | 34.0 | 25.9 | 18.5 | 28.3 | 23.4 | | 30.8 | 24.2 | 15.9 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.0 | 1.1 | | 21.6 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 26.4 | 43.4 | | 40.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 35.9 | 25.1 | | 55.6 | 30.7 | 19.1 | 54.7 | 66.8 | | 71.0 | 37.8 | 15.9 |
| Level of Service | D | C | | E | C | B | D | E | | E | D | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 26.6 | | | 25.4 | | | 62.9 | | | 45.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | C | | | E | | | D | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 44.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.90 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 71.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 77.2% | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

6: Washington Street & Abernethy Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↔ | | ↖ | ↗ | | ↖ | ↗ | ↖ | ↗ | ↖ | ↗ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 571 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 215 | 227 | 21 | 523 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 571 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 215 | 227 | 21 | 523 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1900 | | 1716 | 1544 | | 1701 | 1792 | 1491 | 1734 | 1827 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 1.00 | | 0.76 | 1.00 | | 0.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.57 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1900 | | 1368 | 1544 | | 360 | 1792 | 1491 | 1041 | 1827 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 634 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 239 | 252 | 23 | 581 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 634 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 239 | 87 | 23 | 581 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 1 | 1 | | | 3 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Turn Type | | NA | | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 6 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | 4 | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | 4 | | 8 | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 28.8 | | 28.8 | 28.8 | | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 28.8 | | 28.8 | 28.8 | | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.50 | | 0.50 | 0.50 | | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 948 | | 682 | 770 | | 124 | 618 | 514 | 359 | 630 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | 0.00 | | | 0.01 | | | 0.13 | | | c0.32 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | c0.46 | | | 0.00 | | 0.06 | 0.02 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.00 | | 0.93 | 0.03 | | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.92 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 7.2 | | 13.5 | 7.3 | | 12.4 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 18.2 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.0 | | 19.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 19.1 | |
| Delay (s) | | 7.2 | | 32.5 | 7.4 | | 12.4 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 37.3 | |
| Level of Service | | A | | C | A | | B | B | B | B | D | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 7.2 | | | 30.9 | | | 14.0 | | | 36.3 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | C | | | B | | | D | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-----|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 28.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.93 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 57.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 73.3% | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Washington Street & 15th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | ↗ | ↘ | | ↗ | ↘ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 92 | 44 | 36 | 396 | 122 | 70 | 728 | 283 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 20 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 92 | 44 | 36 | 396 | 122 | 70 | 728 | 283 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.96 | | | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | 0.96 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.98 | | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1478 | | | 1669 | | 1703 | 1720 | | 1735 | 1739 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.81 | | | 0.97 | | 0.07 | 1.00 | | 0.36 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1221 | | | 1624 | | 130 | 1720 | | 656 | 1739 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 22 | 21 | 16 | 102 | 49 | 40 | 440 | 136 | 78 | 809 | 314 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 40 | 565 | 0 | 78 | 1110 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 8% | 8% | 8% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 12.6 | | | 12.6 | | 58.1 | 55.2 | | 60.9 | 56.6 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 12.6 | | | 12.6 | | 58.1 | 55.2 | | 60.9 | 56.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.15 | | | 0.15 | | 0.68 | 0.64 | | 0.71 | 0.66 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 179 | | | 239 | | 141 | 1109 | | 520 | 1149 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | c0.01 | 0.33 | | 0.01 | c0.64 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.04 | | | c0.09 | | 0.18 | | | 0.10 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.26 | | | 0.62 | | 0.28 | 0.51 | | 0.15 | 0.97 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 32.4 | | | 34.3 | | 15.7 | 8.0 | | 4.5 | 13.6 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.8 | | | 5.0 | | 1.1 | 0.4 | | 0.1 | 18.8 | |
| Delay (s) | | 33.2 | | | 39.3 | | 16.8 | 8.4 | | 4.7 | 32.4 | |
| Level of Service | | C | | | D | | B | A | | A | C | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 33.2 | | | 39.3 | | | 9.0 | | | 30.6 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | D | | | A | | | C | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 24.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.88 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 85.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 74.6% | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

8: Washington Street & 14th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | ↕ | | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 253 | 46 | 152 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 420 | 280 | 10 | 1 | 381 | 399 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 253 | 46 | 152 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 420 | 280 | 10 | 1 | 381 | 399 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | 0.97 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.92 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.96 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1749 | 1506 | | 1838 | | 1752 | 1833 | | 1716 | 1646 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.76 | 1.00 | | 0.97 | | 0.08 | 1.00 | | 0.57 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1391 | 1506 | | 1782 | | 154 | 1833 | | 1036 | 1646 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 266 | 48 | 160 | 4 | 56 | 4 | 442 | 295 | 11 | 1 | 401 | 420 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 314 | 33 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 442 | 305 | 0 | 1 | 781 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 1 | | 4 | 4 | | 1 | 3 | | 2 | 2 | | 3 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 4% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 19.1 | 19.1 | | 19.1 | | 65.5 | 60.0 | | 44.4 | 43.4 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 19.1 | 19.1 | | 19.1 | | 65.5 | 60.0 | | 44.4 | 43.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.20 | 0.20 | | 0.20 | | 0.70 | 0.64 | | 0.47 | 0.46 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 283 | 307 | | 363 | | 408 | 1175 | | 498 | 763 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | c0.20 | 0.17 | | 0.00 | 0.47 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | c0.23 | 0.02 | | 0.03 | | c0.55 | | | 0.00 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 1.11 | 0.11 | | 0.17 | | 1.08 | 0.26 | | 0.00 | 1.02 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 37.2 | 30.3 | | 30.7 | | 30.3 | 7.2 | | 12.9 | 25.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 86.2 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | | 68.8 | 0.1 | | 0.0 | 38.6 | |
| Delay (s) | | 123.4 | 30.5 | | 30.9 | | 99.1 | 7.4 | | 12.9 | 63.7 | |
| Level of Service | | F | C | | C | | F | A | | B | E | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 92.0 | | | 30.9 | | | 61.6 | | | 63.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | C | | | E | | | E | |




















Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 68.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.12 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 93.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 102.2% | ICU Level of Service | G |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

9: Main Street & 14th Street

02/27/2018

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | | |  | | |  |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 29 | 387 | 37 | 171 | 747 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 78 | 0 | 16 | 14 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 29 | 387 | 37 | 171 | 747 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 78 | 0 | 16 | 14 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 31 | 407 | 39 | 180 | 786 | 17 | 7 | 35 | 82 | 0 | 17 | 15 |
| Pedestrians | | 2 | | | 10 | | | 4 | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | 12.0 | | | 12.0 | | | 12.0 | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 0 | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | 187 | | | 537 | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.88 | | | | | | 0.88 | 0.88 | | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| vC, conflicting volume | 803 | | | 450 | | | 1672 | 1656 | 440 | 1692 | 1666 | 796 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 712 | | | 450 | | | 1695 | 1676 | 440 | 1717 | 1688 | 705 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 96 | | | 84 | | | 83 | 46 | 86 | 100 | 75 | 96 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 777 | | | 1101 | | | 41 | 65 | 594 | 27 | 67 | 388 |
| Direction, Lane # | SE 1 | SE 2 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 31 | 446 | 983 | 124 | 32 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 31 | 0 | 180 | 7 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 39 | 17 | 82 | 15 | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 777 | 1700 | 1101 | 180 | 109 | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.29 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 3 | 0 | 15 | 104 | 28 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 57.6 | 51.0 | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | A | | A | F | F | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 | | 3.9 | 57.6 | 51.0 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | F | F | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 8.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 91.7% | | ICU Level of Service | | | | F | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM 2010 TWSC
9: Main Street & 14th Street

02/27/2018

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 7

| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 29 | 387 | 37 | 171 | 747 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 78 | 0 | 16 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 29 | 387 | 37 | 171 | 747 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 78 | 0 | 16 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 130 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 407 | 39 | 180 | 786 | 17 | 7 | 35 | 82 | 0 | 17 | 15 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 | Minor2 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 803 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | 4.13 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - | 2.227 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 812 | - | - | 1105 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 810 | - | - | 1094 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |

| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
|----------------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.6 | 1.6 | 62.4 | 51.6 |
| HCM LOS | | | F | F |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | NELn2 | NWL | NWT | NWR | SEL | SET | SERSWLn1 |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 58 | 594 | 1094 | - | - | 810 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.726 | 0.138 | 0.165 | - | - | 0.038 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 160.6 | 12 | 8.9 | 0 | - | 9.6 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | B | A | A | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

101: OR-213 & I-205 SB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 0 | 1058 | 36 | 26 | 6 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 0 | 1058 | 36 | 26 | 6 |
| Sign Control | Stop | | | Free | Free | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 0 | 1114 | 38 | 27 | 6 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | | | | None | None | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 2293 | 27 | 27 | | | |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 2293 | 27 | 27 | | | |
| tC, single (s) | *6.0 | 6.3 | 4.1 | | | |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | *2.0 | 3.4 | 2.2 | | | |
| p0 queue free % | 0 | 100 | 29 | | | |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 20 | 1037 | 1568 | | | |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | |
| Volume Total | 21 | 1114 | 38 | 27 | 6 | |
| Volume Left | 21 | 1114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | |
| cSH | 20 | 1568 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | |
| Volume to Capacity | 1.04 | 0.71 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 71 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Control Delay (s) | 482.3 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Lane LOS | F | B | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 482.3 | 12.3 | | 0.0 | | |
| Approach LOS | F | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 20.1 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 75.3% | ICU Level of Service | D | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | |

* User Entered Value

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 20.4 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ↘ | | ↘ | ↑ | ↑ | ↘ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 0 | 1058 | 36 | 26 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 0 | 1058 | 36 | 26 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Free |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 18 |
| Mvmt Flow | 21 | 0 | 1114 | 38 | 27 | 6 |

| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 | Major2 | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------|--------|---|---|
| Conflicting Flow All | 2292 | - 27 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 27 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 2265 | - - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6 | - 4.15 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.46 | - - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.46 | - - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2 | - 2.245 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 70 | 0 1568 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1741 | 0 - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 102 | 0 - | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 20 | - 1568 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | ~ 20 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1741 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 30 | - - | - | - | - |

| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
|----------------------|-------|------|----|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 489.1 | 12.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | F | | |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | EBLn1 | SBT |
|-----------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1568 | - | 20 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.71 | - | 1.053 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.7 | - | 489.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | F | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 6.6 | - | 2.9 | - |

Notes
 ~: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

102: I-205 SB Ramps & OR-213

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|----------------------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↑↑ | | ↑↑ | ↑ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 1094 | 26 | 0 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 1094 | 26 | 0 |
| Sign Control | | Free | Free | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 1948 | 0 | 1152 | 27 | 0 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | None | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1152 | | | | 974 | 0 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1152 | | | | 974 | 0 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.2 | | | | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.3 | | | | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | | 88 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 580 | | | | 223 | 1034 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | |
| Volume Total | 974 | 974 | 576 | 576 | 27 | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 576 | 576 | 0 | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 223 | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.12 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | |
| Lane LOS | | | | | C | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 23.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | C | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 0.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 61.2% | | ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ↑↑ | | ↑↑ | ↑ | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 1094 | 26 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 1094 | 26 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 18 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1948 | 0 | 1152 | 27 | 0 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor2 | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|------|
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | - | 974 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 974 |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 7.16 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 6.16 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 3.68 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 0 | - | 223 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 292 |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 223 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 223 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 292 |

| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
|----------------------|----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 23.4 |
| HCM LOS | | | C |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 223 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.123 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 23.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.4 |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 12: OR-213 & Prairie Schooner Way/Clackamas River Drive

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | TT | | | TT | | TTT | T | | TTT | T |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 598 | 0 | 2757 | 198 | 0 | 1972 | 612 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 598 | 0 | 2757 | 198 | 0 | 1972 | 612 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 0.88 | | | 0.88 | | 0.91 | 1.00 | | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.85 | | | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 2760 | | | 2538 | | 4988 | 1521 | | 4893 | 1524 |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 2760 | | | 2538 | | 4988 | 1521 | | 4893 | 1524 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 616 | 0 | 2842 | 204 | 0 | 2033 | 631 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 603 | 0 | 2842 | 130 | 0 | 2033 | 513 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 3% | 3% | 3% | 12% | 12% | 12% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | | NA | Perm | | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | | | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 5 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 7.7 | | | 23.5 | | 56.5 | 56.5 | | 72.3 | 72.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 7.7 | | | 23.5 | | 56.5 | 56.5 | | 72.3 | 72.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.09 | | | 0.26 | | 0.63 | 0.63 | | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 238 | | | 670 | | 3166 | 965 | | 3974 | 1238 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | c0.57 | | | 0.42 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.03 | | | c0.24 | | | 0.09 | | | 0.34 |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.30 | | | 0.90 | | 0.90 | 0.13 | | 0.51 | 0.41 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 38.1 | | | 31.6 | | 13.8 | 6.5 | | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 0.7 | | | 14.9 | | 3.8 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | | | 38.8 | | | 46.5 | | 17.6 | 6.6 | | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Level of Service | | | D | | | D | | B | A | | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 38.8 | | | 46.5 | | | 16.9 | | | 2.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | B | | | A | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 14.3 | | | HCM 2000 Level of Service | | | B | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.90 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 89.0 | | | Sum of lost time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 81.7% | | | ICU Level of Service | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

13: OR-213 & Redland Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 807 | 187 | 147 | 2303 | 1703 | 568 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 807 | 187 | 147 | 2303 | 1703 | 568 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3400 | 1568 | 1736 | 3471 | 3471 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3400 | 1568 | 1736 | 3471 | 3471 | 1553 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 841 | 195 | 153 | 2399 | 1774 | 592 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 841 | 186 | 153 | 2399 | 1774 | 565 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 3% | 3% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Turn Type | Prot | pm+ov | Prot | NA | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | | 4 | | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 28.5 | 39.9 | 11.4 | 82.5 | 66.6 | 95.1 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 28.5 | 39.9 | 11.4 | 82.5 | 66.6 | 95.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.79 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 807 | 580 | 164 | 2386 | 1926 | 1288 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.25 | 0.03 | 0.09 | c0.69 | 0.51 | 0.10 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.09 | | | | 0.26 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.32 | 0.93 | 1.01 | 0.92 | 0.44 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 45.8 | 29.9 | 53.9 | 18.8 | 24.3 | 4.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 43.2 | 0.3 | 50.6 | 19.7 | 8.8 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | 88.9 | 30.2 | 104.6 | 38.5 | 33.1 | 4.2 |
| Level of Service | F | C | F | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 77.9 | | | 42.5 | 25.8 | |
| Approach LOS | E | | | D | C | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 42.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.06 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 94.2% | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

14: OR-213 & Beaver Creek Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | ↵↵ | ↕↵ | | ↵↵ | ↕↕ | ↕ | ↵ | ↕↕ | ↕ | ↵↵ | ↕↕ | ↕ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 507 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1071 | 133 | 614 | 637 | 796 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 507 | 443 | 21 | 112 | 592 | 0 | 44 | 1071 | 133 | 614 | 637 | 796 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | | 0.97 | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3273 | 3348 | | 3400 | 3505 | | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3273 | 3348 | | 3400 | 3505 | | 1719 | 3438 | 1538 | 3335 | 3438 | 1538 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 534 | 466 | 22 | 118 | 623 | 0 | 46 | 1127 | 140 | 646 | 671 | 838 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 534 | 485 | 0 | 118 | 623 | 0 | 46 | 1127 | 47 | 646 | 671 | 620 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 7% | 7% | 7% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.6 | 30.9 | | 8.2 | 20.5 | | 4.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 22.5 | 58.7 | 58.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 18.6 | 30.9 | | 8.2 | 20.5 | | 4.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 22.5 | 58.7 | 58.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.26 | | 0.07 | 0.17 | | 0.04 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 507 | 862 | | 232 | 598 | | 60 | 1157 | 517 | 625 | 1681 | 752 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.16 | 0.14 | | 0.03 | c0.18 | | 0.03 | c0.33 | | c0.19 | 0.20 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | | | | 0.03 | | | 0.40 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.56 | | 0.51 | 1.04 | | 0.77 | 0.97 | 0.09 | 1.03 | 0.40 | 0.82 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 50.7 | 38.7 | | 54.0 | 49.8 | | 57.4 | 39.3 | 27.2 | 48.8 | 19.5 | 26.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 54.7 | 0.8 | | 1.8 | 48.1 | | 43.5 | 20.9 | 0.3 | 44.9 | 0.7 | 10.0 |
| Delay (s) | 105.4 | 39.5 | | 55.7 | 97.9 | | 100.9 | 60.2 | 27.6 | 93.7 | 20.2 | 36.3 |
| Level of Service | F | D | | E | F | | F | E | C | F | C | D |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 74.0 | | | 91.2 | | | 58.2 | | | 48.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | E | | | F | | | E | | | D | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 61.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.01 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 92.9% | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

15: Holly Lane & Holcomb Boulevard

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 53 | 88 | 59 | 165 | 110 | 73 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 53 | 88 | 59 | 165 | 110 | 73 |
| Sign Control | Free | | | Free | Stop | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 62 | 104 | 69 | 194 | 129 | 86 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | None | | None | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | | | 166 | | 446 | 114 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | | | 166 | | 446 | 114 |
| tC, single (s) | | | 4.1 | | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | | | 2.2 | | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | | | 95 | | 76 | 91 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | | | 1394 | | 542 | 939 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | | | |
| Volume Total | 166 | 263 | 215 | | | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 69 | 129 | | | |
| Volume Right | 104 | 0 | 86 | | | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1394 | 652 | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.33 | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 4 | 36 | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 13.2 | | | |
| Lane LOS | | A | B | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 13.2 | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | B | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 5.4 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 40.7% | ICU Level of Service | | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 53 | 88 | 59 | 165 | 110 | 73 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 53 | 88 | 59 | 165 | 110 | 73 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 62 | 104 | 69 | 194 | 129 | 86 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|-------------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 447 114 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 114 - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 333 - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.15 | - | 6.42 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.245 | - | 3.518 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1394 | - | 569 939 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 911 - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 726 - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1394 | - | 538 939 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 538 - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 911 - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 686 - |

| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
|----------------------|----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2 | 13.3 |
| HCM LOS | | | B |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 648 | - | - | 1394 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.332 | - | - | 0.05 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.3 | - | - | 7.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 1.5 | - | - | 0.2 | - |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

16: Holly Lane & Redland Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 107 | 149 | 114 | 83 | 526 | 72 | 149 | 39 | 40 | 94 | 45 | 202 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 107 | 149 | 114 | 83 | 526 | 72 | 149 | 39 | 40 | 94 | 45 | 202 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 113 | 157 | 120 | 87 | 554 | 76 | 157 | 41 | 42 | 99 | 47 | 213 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 630 | | | 277 | | | 1446 | 1247 | 217 | 1272 | 1269 | 592 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 630 | | | 277 | | | 1446 | 1247 | 217 | 1272 | 1269 | 592 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.2 | | | 4.2 | | | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.3 | | | 2.3 | | | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 |
| p0 queue free % | 87 | | | 93 | | | 0 | 84 | 97 | 55 | 81 | 73 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 897 | | | 1258 | | | 126 | 254 | 1331 | 220 | 246 | 787 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 390 | 717 | 240 | 359 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 113 | 87 | 157 | 99 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 120 | 76 | 42 | 213 | | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 897 | 1258 | 167 | 394 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.13 | 0.07 | 1.44 | 0.91 | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 11 | 6 | 377 | 240 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 3.8 | 1.8 | 278.7 | 58.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | A | A | F | F | | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 3.8 | 1.8 | 278.7 | 58.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | F | F | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 53.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 74.0% | | ICU Level of Service | | | | D | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

* User Entered Value

| Intersection | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 48 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 107 | 149 | 114 | 83 | 526 | 72 | 149 | 39 | 40 | 94 | 45 | 202 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 107 | 149 | 114 | 83 | 526 | 72 | 149 | 39 | 40 | 94 | 45 | 202 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 14 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 113 | 157 | 120 | 87 | 554 | 76 | 157 | 41 | 42 | 99 | 47 | 213 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | | | Major2 | | | Minor1 | | | Minor2 | | |
|----------------------|--------|---|---|--------|---|---|--------|------|------|--------|------|-----|
| Conflicting Flow All | 629 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 1338 | 1246 | 217 | 1250 | 1268 | 592 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 442 | 442 | - | 766 | 766 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 896 | 804 | - | 484 | 502 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.24 | - | - | 4.17 | - | - | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.14 | 5.54 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.14 | 5.54 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.326 | - | - | 2.263 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 898 | - | - | 1258 | - | - | 274 | 313 | 1331 | 311 | 303 | 787 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 955 | 1028 | - | 601 | 683 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 496 | 648 | - | 902 | 955 | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 898 | - | - | 1258 | - | - | ~ 138 | 237 | 1331 | 214 | 229 | 787 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | ~ 138 | 237 | - | 214 | 229 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 811 | 873 | - | 510 | 609 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 298 | 578 | - | 707 | 811 | - |

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
|----------------------|-----|----|-----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.8 | 1 | 236 | 65.4 |
| HCM LOS | | | F | F |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 179 | 898 | - | - | 1258 | - | - | 382 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.341 | 0.125 | - | - | 0.069 | - | - | 0.94 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 236 | 9.6 | 0 | - | 8.1 | 0 | - | 65.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | A | - | A | A | - | F |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 14 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 10.2 |

Notes
 ~: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: OR-99E & I-205 SB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1255 | 435 | 1254 | 535 | 560 | 1607 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1255 | 435 | 1254 | 535 | 560 | 1607 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3400 | 1568 | 5085 | 1526 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3400 | 1568 | 5085 | 1526 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1294 | 448 | 1293 | 552 | 577 | 1657 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1294 | 448 | 1293 | 138 | 577 | 1657 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 6 | 6 | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | 1 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Prot | pm+ov | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | | 8 | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 29.5 | 54.0 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 51.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 29.5 | 54.0 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 51.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.57 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1114 | 1019 | 1271 | 381 | 481 | 2909 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.38 | 0.12 | c0.25 | | c0.33 | 0.33 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.17 | | 0.09 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.16 | 0.44 | 1.02 | 0.36 | 1.20 | 0.57 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 30.2 | 9.8 | 33.8 | 27.8 | 32.8 | 12.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 4.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 82.9 | 0.3 | 23.5 | 1.5 | 108.4 | 0.8 |
| Delay (s) | 113.1 | 10.1 | 65.7 | 137.2 | 141.2 | 13.0 |
| Level of Service | F | B | E | F | F | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | 86.6 | | 87.1 | | | 46.1 |
| Approach LOS | F | | F | | | D |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 71.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.13 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 102.3% | ICU Level of Service | G |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: OR-99E & I-205 NB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
|------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 615 | 520 | 1269 | 930 | 530 | 2332 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 615 | 520 | 1269 | 930 | 530 | 2332 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 5036 | 1510 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 5036 | 1510 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 628 | 531 | 1295 | 949 | 541 | 2380 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 331 | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 628 | 200 | 1295 | 507 | 541 | 2380 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | | 7 | 7 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 | | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | | 8 | | 2 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 22.5 | 53.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 22.5 | 53.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.59 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 540 | 483 | 1482 | 444 | 442 | 3022 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.35 | | 0.26 | | c0.31 | 0.47 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.13 | | c0.34 | | |
| v/c Ratio | 1.16 | 0.41 | 0.87 | 1.14 | 1.22 | 0.79 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 31.2 | 24.8 | 30.2 | 31.8 | 33.8 | 13.9 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.92 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 92.2 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 87.3 | 111.5 | 1.2 |
| Delay (s) | 123.5 | 25.4 | 37.6 | 119.1 | 141.6 | 14.0 |
| Level of Service | F | C | D | F | F | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | 78.6 | | 72.0 | | | 37.7 |
| Approach LOS | E | | E | | | D |

Intersection Summary


| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 57.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.17 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 99.2% | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

3: OR-99E & 15th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|----------------------|------|
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | | ↑↑↑ | | ↗ |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1897 | 18 | 0 | 2947 | 0 | 302 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 1897 | 18 | 0 | 2947 | 0 | 302 |
| Sign Control | Free | | | Free | Stop | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 1997 | 19 | 0 | 3102 | 0 | 318 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | 3 |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | 12.0 |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | 3.5 |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | 0 |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | None | | | None | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | 335 | | | 372 | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | 0.59 | 0.77 | 0.59 |
| vC, conflicting volume | | | | 2019 | 3044 | 1011 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | | | | 1332 | 183 | 0 |
| tC, single (s) | | | | 4.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | | | | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | | | | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | | | | 298 | 608 | 636 |
| Direction, Lane # | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 | NW 1 |
| Volume Total | 1331 | 685 | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 | 318 |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 636 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.78 | 0.40 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.50 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 |
| Lane LOS | | | | | | C |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | | 16.2 |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | C |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | | 0.9 | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | | 78.4% | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | | 15 | | |

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | | ↑↑↑ | | ↑ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1897 | 18 | 0 | 2947 | 0 | 302 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1897 | 18 | 0 | 2947 | 0 | 302 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1997 | 19 | 0 | 3102 | 0 | 318 |

Major/Minor

| | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - - - 1011 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - - - 6 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - - - 2.5 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - 0 | - 0 372 |
| Stage 1 | - | - 0 | - 0 - |
| Stage 2 | - | - 0 | - 0 - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - - - 371 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - - |

Approach

| | NB | SB | NW |
|----------------------|----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 51.6 |
| HCM LOS | | | F |


Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

| | NBT | NBRNWLn1 | SBT |
|-----------------------|-----|----------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - 371 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - 0.857 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - 51.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - F | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - 8.1 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

4: OR-99E & 14th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | ↑↑ | | ↵ | ↑↑ | ↵ | ↵ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1426 | 66 | 576 | 2371 | 91 | 489 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1426 | 66 | 576 | 2371 | 91 | 489 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3446 | | 1770 | 3539 | 1787 | 1599 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3446 | | 161 | 3539 | 1787 | 1599 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1470 | 68 | 594 | 2444 | 94 | 504 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1535 | 0 | 594 | 2444 | 94 | 500 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 4% | 4% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% |
| Turn Type | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Prot | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 6 | | | 4 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 41.8 | | 81.7 | 81.7 | 9.3 | 44.7 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 41.8 | | 81.7 | 81.7 | 9.3 | 44.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.09 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1440 | | 701 | 2891 | 166 | 786 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.45 | | 0.30 | c0.69 | 0.05 | c0.22 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.39 | | | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.07 | | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.57 | 0.64 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 29.1 | | 25.2 | 5.4 | 43.4 | 21.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 43.4 | | 9.3 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 1.7 |
| Delay (s) | 72.5 | | 34.5 | 7.9 | 47.8 | 23.1 |
| Level of Service | E | | C | A | D | C |
| Approach Delay (s) | 72.5 | | | 13.1 | 26.9 | |
| Approach LOS | E | | | B | C | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 32.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.96 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 100.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 89.7% | ICU Level of Service | E |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

5: Redland Road & Abernethy Road/Holcomb Boulevard

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | ↖ | ↗ | | ↖ | ↗ | ↖ | ↖ | ↗ | | ↖ | ↗ | ↖ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 197 | 259 | 73 | 131 | 254 | 101 | 430 | 70 | 410 | 761 | 87 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 197 | 259 | 73 | 131 | 254 | 101 | 430 | 70 | 410 | 761 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.91 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1675 | | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1824 | | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1675 | | 1787 | 1881 | 1599 | 1770 | 1824 | | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 77 | 207 | 273 | 77 | 138 | 267 | 106 | 453 | 74 | 432 | 801 | 92 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 417 | 0 | 77 | 138 | 191 | 106 | 519 | 0 | 432 | 801 | 41 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.9 | 18.1 | | 3.9 | 18.1 | 32.6 | 5.0 | 19.6 | | 14.5 | 29.1 | 33.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 3.9 | 18.1 | | 3.9 | 18.1 | 32.6 | 5.0 | 19.6 | | 14.5 | 29.1 | 33.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.24 | | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.26 | | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 93 | 409 | | 94 | 459 | 800 | 119 | 482 | | 346 | 731 | 801 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.04 | c0.25 | | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.28 | | c0.24 | c0.43 | 0.00 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | 0.07 | | | | | | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 1.02 | | 0.82 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.89 | 1.08 | | 1.25 | 1.10 | 0.05 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 34.8 | 28.0 | | 34.8 | 22.8 | 13.0 | 34.3 | 27.2 | | 29.8 | 22.5 | 11.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 42.7 | 49.7 | | 40.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 50.3 | 63.1 | | 133.7 | 62.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 77.5 | 77.7 | | 75.1 | 23.2 | 13.1 | 84.5 | 90.4 | | 163.5 | 85.0 | 11.7 |
| Level of Service | E | E | | E | C | B | F | F | | F | F | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 77.7 | | | 25.9 | | | 89.4 | | | 105.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | E | | | C | | | F | | | F | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 84.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.14 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 74.1 | Sum of lost time (s) | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 95.2% | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

6: Washington Street & Abernethy Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | ↕ | ↕ | ↕ | ↕ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 0 | 9 | 279 | 1 | 43 | 7 | 350 | 462 | 44 | 526 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 0 | 9 | 279 | 1 | 43 | 7 | 350 | 462 | 44 | 526 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frb, ped/bikes | | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.90 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.99 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1655 | | 1733 | 1526 | | 1785 | 1881 | 1561 | 1749 | 1844 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.96 | | 0.75 | 1.00 | | 0.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1612 | | 1367 | 1526 | | 555 | 1881 | 1561 | 878 | 1844 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 0 | 10 | 310 | 1 | 48 | 8 | 389 | 513 | 49 | 584 | 1 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 310 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 389 | 242 | 49 | 585 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | | 3 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 0% | 0% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 6 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | | | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 15.5 | | 15.5 | 15.5 | | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 15.5 | | 15.5 | 15.5 | | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.33 | | 0.33 | 0.33 | | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 539 | | 457 | 510 | | 261 | 885 | 734 | 413 | 868 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | 0.01 | | | 0.21 | | | | c0.32 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.00 | | c0.23 | | | 0.01 | | 0.15 | 0.06 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.01 | | 0.68 | 0.03 | | 0.03 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.67 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 10.3 | | 13.3 | 10.4 | | 6.6 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 9.5 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 0.0 | | 4.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 | |
| Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | 17.2 | 10.4 | | 6.6 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 11.6 | |
| Level of Service | | B | | B | B | | A | A | A | A | B | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 10.3 | | | 16.3 | | | 8.2 | | | 11.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | B | | | B | | | A | | | B | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-----|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 10.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.68 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 46.3 | Sum of lost time (s) | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 65.3% | ICU Level of Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Washington Street & 15th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↔ | | | ↔ | | ↗ | ↘ | | ↗ | ↘ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 58 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 163 | 39 | 43 | 715 | 76 | 56 | 627 | 153 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 58 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 163 | 39 | 43 | 715 | 76 | 56 | 627 | 153 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | 0.99 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 0.97 | | | 0.98 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.97 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.97 | | | 0.99 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1610 | | | 1799 | | 1787 | 1850 | | 1770 | 1797 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.61 | | | 0.94 | | 0.13 | 1.00 | | 0.13 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1011 | | | 1696 | | 242 | 1850 | | 240 | 1797 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 61 | 12 | 21 | 41 | 172 | 41 | 45 | 753 | 80 | 59 | 660 | 161 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 45 | 828 | 0 | 59 | 809 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 3 | | 4 | 4 | | 3 | 6 | | 3 | 3 | | 6 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 10% | 10% | 10% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 13.1 | | | 13.1 | | 34.0 | 31.1 | | 34.0 | 31.1 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 13.1 | | | 13.1 | | 34.0 | 31.1 | | 34.0 | 31.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.22 | | | 0.22 | | 0.56 | 0.51 | | 0.56 | 0.51 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 218 | | | 366 | | 209 | 949 | | 207 | 922 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | 0.01 | 0.45 | | c0.01 | c0.45 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.08 | | | c0.14 | | 0.11 | | | 0.15 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.36 | | | 0.66 | | 0.22 | 0.87 | | 0.29 | 0.88 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 20.2 | | | 21.7 | | 9.6 | 13.0 | | 10.0 | 13.1 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 1.0 | | | 4.5 | | 0.5 | 8.9 | | 0.8 | 9.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 21.2 | | | 26.2 | | 10.1 | 21.9 | | 10.7 | 22.5 | |
| Level of Service | | C | | | C | | B | C | | B | C | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 21.2 | | | 26.2 | | | 21.3 | | | 21.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | C | | | C | | | C | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 22.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.78 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 69.3% | ICU Level of Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

8: Washington Street & 14th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | ↕ | | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | | ↕ | ↕ | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 497 | 60 | 258 | 20 | 85 | 11 | 300 | 328 | 13 | 7 | 475 | 208 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 497 | 60 | 258 | 20 | 85 | 11 | 300 | 328 | 13 | 7 | 475 | 208 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Lane Util. Factor | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frbp, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | 0.96 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Frt | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | |
| Flt Protected | | 0.96 | 1.00 | | 0.99 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | 1795 | 1534 | | 1800 | | 1787 | 1868 | | 1746 | 1744 | |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.68 | 1.00 | | 0.64 | | 0.11 | 1.00 | | 0.55 | 1.00 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | 1277 | 1534 | | 1159 | | 206 | 1868 | | 1005 | 1744 | |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 523 | 63 | 272 | 21 | 89 | 12 | 316 | 345 | 14 | 7 | 500 | 219 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 586 | 96 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 316 | 357 | 0 | 7 | 700 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 2 | | 9 | 9 | | 2 | 5 | | 5 | 5 | | 5 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | 29.5 | 29.5 | | 29.5 | | 45.1 | 39.6 | | 33.1 | 32.1 | |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | 29.5 | 29.5 | | 29.5 | | 45.1 | 39.6 | | 33.1 | 32.1 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.35 | 0.35 | | 0.35 | | 0.54 | 0.47 | | 0.40 | 0.38 | |
| Clearance Time (s) | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | 450 | 541 | | 408 | | 271 | 884 | | 406 | 669 | |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | c0.12 | 0.19 | | 0.00 | 0.40 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | c0.46 | 0.06 | | 0.10 | | c0.51 | | | 0.01 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | 1.30 | 0.18 | | 0.29 | | 1.17 | 0.40 | | 0.02 | 1.05 | |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | 27.0 | 18.7 | | 19.5 | | 22.7 | 14.3 | | 15.3 | 25.7 | |
| Progression Factor | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | 151.5 | 0.2 | | 0.4 | | 107.2 | 0.3 | | 0.0 | 47.5 | |
| Delay (s) | | 178.5 | 18.8 | | 19.9 | | 129.9 | 14.6 | | 15.3 | 73.2 | |
| Level of Service | | F | B | | B | | F | B | | B | E | |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 127.9 | | | 19.9 | | | 68.6 | | | 72.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | B | | | E | | | E | |

Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 88.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.27 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 83.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 103.1% | ICU Level of Service | G |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |

c Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

9: Main Street & 14th Street

02/27/2018



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 34 | 565 | 39 | 94 | 551 | 16 | 27 | 27 | 212 | 3 | 23 | 32 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 34 | 565 | 39 | 94 | 551 | 16 | 27 | 27 | 212 | 3 | 23 | 32 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 36 | 595 | 41 | 99 | 580 | 17 | 28 | 28 | 223 | 3 | 24 | 34 |
| Pedestrians | | 1 | | | 7 | | | 9 | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | 12.0 | | | 12.0 | | | 12.0 | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | 0 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | 187 | | | 537 | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.90 | | | | | | 0.90 | 0.90 | | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| vC, conflicting volume | 597 | | | 645 | | | 1530 | 1492 | 632 | 1586 | 1504 | 590 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 496 | | | 645 | | | 1533 | 1491 | 632 | 1596 | 1504 | 488 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 96 | | | 89 | | | 50 | 70 | 53 | 89 | 74 | 94 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 965 | | | 932 | | | 56 | 94 | 470 | 28 | 94 | 525 |
| Direction, Lane # | SE 1 | SE 2 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 36 | 636 | 696 | 279 | 61 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 36 | 0 | 99 | 28 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 41 | 17 | 223 | 34 | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 965 | 1700 | 932 | 374 | 143 | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.43 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 3 | 0 | 9 | 147 | 47 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.9 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 42.6 | 47.7 | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | A | | A | E | E | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.5 | | 2.6 | 42.6 | 47.7 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | E | E | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 9.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 87.7% | | ICU Level of Service | | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

| Intersection | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 9.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 34 | 565 | 39 | 94 | 551 | 16 | 27 | 27 | 212 | 3 | 23 | 32 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 34 | 565 | 39 | 94 | 551 | 16 | 27 | 27 | 212 | 3 | 23 | 32 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 130 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 36 | 595 | 41 | 99 | 580 | 17 | 28 | 28 | 223 | 3 | 24 | 34 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | | | Major2 | | | Minor1 | | | Minor2 | | |
|----------------------|--------|---|---|--------|---|---|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----|
| Conflicting Flow All | 597 | 0 | 0 | 645 | 0 | 0 | 1512 | 1491 | 631 | 1494 | 1502 | 589 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 696 | 696 | - | 786 | 786 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 816 | 795 | - | 708 | 716 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.11 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.14 | 6.54 | 6.24 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.14 | 5.54 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.14 | 5.54 | - | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.209 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.536 | 4.036 | 3.336 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 985 | - | - | 940 | - | - | 97 | 122 | 477 | 102 | 123 | 512 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 429 | 440 | - | 388 | 406 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 368 | 397 | - | 429 | 437 | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 984 | - | - | 934 | - | - | 63 | 98 | 470 | 36 | 99 | 512 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 98 | - | 36 | 99 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 410 | 420 | - | 374 | 341 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 268 | 334 | - | 201 | 417 | - |

| Approach | SE | NW | NE | SW |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.5 | 1.3 | 42 | 43.3 |
| HCM LOS | | | E | E |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | NELn2 | NWL | NWT | NWR | SEL | SET | SERSWLn1 |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 77 | 470 | 934 | - | - | 984 | - | - 153 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.738 | 0.475 | 0.106 | - | - | 0.036 | - | - 0.399 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 130.7 | 19.4 | 9.3 | 0 | - | 8.8 | - | - 43.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | C | A | A | - | A | - | - E |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - 1.7 |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

101: OR-213 & I-205 SB Ramps

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 0 | 768 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 6 | 0 | 768 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| Sign Control | Stop | | | Free | Free | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 6 | 0 | 808 | 18 | 24 | 18 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | | | | None | None | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1658 | 24 | 24 | | | |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1658 | 24 | 24 | | | |
| tC, single (s) | *6.0 | 6.2 | 4.1 | | | |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | *2.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | | | |
| p0 queue free % | 93 | 100 | 49 | | | |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 85 | 1050 | 1578 | | | |

| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Volume Total | 6 | 808 | 18 | 24 | 18 |
| Volume Left | 6 | 808 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| cSH | 85 | 1578 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 6 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Control Delay (s) | 50.7 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane LOS | F | A | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 50.7 | 9.4 | | 0.0 | |
| Approach LOS | F | | | | |

| Intersection Summary | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|----------------------|---|--|
| Average Delay | | | 9.3 | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 59.2% | | ICU Level of Service | B | |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | | | |

* User Entered Value

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 9.4 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ↖ | | ↖ | ↗ | ↗ | ↖ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 768 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 768 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Free |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 0 | 808 | 18 | 24 | 18 |

| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 | Major2 | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------|--------|---|---|
| Conflicting Flow All | 1659 | - 24 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 24 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1635 | - - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6 | - 4.14 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.43 | - - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.43 | - - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2 | - 2.236 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 174 | 0 1578 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1748 | 0 - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 233 | 0 - | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 85 | - 1578 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 85 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1748 | - - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 114 | - - | - | - | - |

| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
|----------------------|------|-----|----|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 50.7 | 9.4 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | F | | |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | EBLn1 | SBT |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1578 | - | 85 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.512 | - | 0.074 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.7 | - | 50.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | F | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 3.1 | - | 0.2 | - |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

102: I-205 SB Ramps & OR-213

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|----------------------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↑↑ | | ↑↑ | ↑ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 3085 | 0 | 785 | 23 | 0 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 3085 | 0 | 785 | 23 | 0 |
| Sign Control | | Free | Free | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 3247 | 0 | 826 | 24 | 0 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | None | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 826 | | | 1624 | 0 | |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 826 | | | 1624 | 0 | |
| tC, single (s) | 4.2 | | | *6.5 | 6.9 | |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | *2.5 | 3.3 | |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 81 | 100 | |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 794 | | | 128 | 1091 | |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | |
| Volume Total | 1624 | 1624 | 413 | 413 | 24 | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 413 | 413 | 0 | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 128 | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.19 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.5 | |
| Lane LOS | | | | | E | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 39.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | E | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 0.2 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 95.3% | | ICU Level of Service | F |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | |

* User Entered Value

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ↑↑ | | ↑↑ | ↑ | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 3085 | 0 | 785 | 23 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 3085 | 0 | 785 | 23 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 3247 | 0 | 826 | 24 | 0 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor2 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |

| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
|----------------------|----|----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 39.6 |
| HCM LOS | | | E |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 128 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.189 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 39.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | E |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.7 |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
 12: OR-213 & Prairie Schooner Way/Clackamas River Drive

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | TT | | | TT | | TTT | T | | TTT | T |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 1985 | 179 | 0 | 3759 | 763 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 1985 | 179 | 0 | 3759 | 763 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | | | 0.88 | | | 0.88 | | 0.91 | 1.00 | | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.85 | | | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 | | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | | | 2814 | | | 2760 | | 5085 | 1583 | | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | | | 2814 | | | 2760 | | 5085 | 1583 | | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 | 2046 | 185 | 0 | 3875 | 787 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 540 | 0 | 2046 | 125 | 0 | 3875 | 627 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | | | Perm | | | Perm | | NA | Perm | | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | | | | | | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 5 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | | | 12.3 | | | 24.7 | | 70.9 | 70.9 | | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | | | 12.3 | | | 24.7 | | 70.9 | 70.9 | | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | 0.12 | | | 0.24 | | 0.68 | 0.68 | | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Clearance Time (s) | | | 4.5 | | | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | | | 3.0 | | | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | | | 330 | | | 651 | | 3446 | 1072 | | 4049 | 1260 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | | | | | | | | 0.40 | | | c0.76 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | 0.07 | | | c0.20 | | | 0.08 | | | 0.40 |
| v/c Ratio | | | 0.58 | | | 0.83 | | 0.59 | 0.12 | | 0.96 | 0.50 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | | | 43.7 | | | 37.9 | | 9.1 | 5.9 | | 9.1 | 3.6 |
| Progression Factor | | | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | | | 2.6 | | | 8.6 | | 0.3 | 0.0 | | 6.8 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | | | 46.3 | | | 46.6 | | 9.4 | 5.9 | | 15.9 | 3.9 |
| Level of Service | | | D | | | D | | A | A | | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 46.3 | | | 46.6 | | | 9.1 | | | 13.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | A | | | B | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | | | 15.9 | | | HCM 2000 Level of Service | | | B | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | | | 0.96 | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | | | 104.6 | | | Sum of lost time (s) | | | 9.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 88.5% | | | ICU Level of Service | | | E | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

13: OR-213 & Redland Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 566 | 241 | 165 | 1598 | 2892 | 1105 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 566 | 241 | 165 | 1598 | 2892 | 1105 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1752 | 3505 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 1752 | 3505 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 578 | 246 | 168 | 1631 | 2951 | 1128 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 578 | 244 | 168 | 1631 | 2951 | 1120 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Prot | pm+ov | Prot | NA | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | | 4 | | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 18.5 | 27.0 | 8.5 | 92.5 | 79.5 | 98.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 18.5 | 27.0 | 8.5 | 92.5 | 79.5 | 98.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.82 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 529 | 415 | 124 | 2701 | 2344 | 1352 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.17 | 0.04 | c0.10 | 0.47 | c0.83 | 0.13 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | 0.11 | | | | 0.58 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.09 | 0.59 | 1.35 | 0.60 | 1.26 | 0.83 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 50.8 | 41.5 | 55.8 | 5.9 | 20.2 | 6.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 66.7 | 2.1 | 203.2 | 1.0 | 120.2 | 4.3 |
| Delay (s) | 117.5 | 43.7 | 259.0 | 6.9 | 140.4 | 10.6 |
| Level of Service | F | D | F | A | F | B |
| Approach Delay (s) | 95.5 | | | 30.4 | 104.5 | |
| Approach LOS | F | | | C | F | |
































Intersection Summary

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------|
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 83.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.24 | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 13.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 116.5% | ICU Level of Service | H |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

14: OR-213 & Beaver Creek Road


02/27/2018

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |   |   | |   |   |  |  |   |  |   |   |   |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 491 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 560 | 55 | 712 | 130 | 1045 | 1427 | 661 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 491 | 945 | 70 | 90 | 700 | 560 | 55 | 712 | 130 | 1045 | 1427 | 661 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3467 | 3532 | | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1544 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3467 | 3532 | | 3467 | 3574 | 1577 | 1752 | 3505 | 1544 | 3433 | 3539 | 1555 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 506 | 974 | 72 | 93 | 722 | 577 | 57 | 734 | 134 | 1077 | 1471 | 681 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 506 | 1042 | 0 | 93 | 722 | 181 | 57 | 734 | 29 | 1077 | 1471 | 498 |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 1 | | 6 | 6 | | 1 | 3 | | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| Confl. Bikes (#/hr) | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | | 3 | 8 | | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 16.7 | 35.1 | | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 35.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 16.7 | 35.1 | | 5.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 4.0 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 35.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.29 | | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 482 | 1033 | | 147 | 699 | 308 | 58 | 768 | 338 | 1015 | 1704 | 748 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.15 | c0.29 | | 0.03 | 0.20 | | 0.03 | c0.21 | | c0.31 | 0.42 | |
| v/s Ratio Perm | | | | | | 0.12 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.32 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.05 | 1.01 | | 0.63 | 1.03 | 0.59 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.09 | 1.06 | 0.86 | 0.67 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 51.6 | 42.5 | | 56.5 | 48.2 | 43.9 | 58.0 | 46.3 | 37.3 | 42.2 | 27.6 | 23.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 54.7 | 30.1 | | 8.6 | 42.8 | 2.9 | 111.4 | 23.3 | 0.5 | 45.9 | 6.1 | 4.7 |
| Delay (s) | 106.3 | 72.5 | | 65.1 | 91.0 | 46.7 | 169.4 | 69.6 | 37.8 | 88.2 | 33.7 | 28.4 |
| Level of Service | F | E | | E | F | D | F | E | D | F | C | C |
| Approach Delay (s) | | 83.6 | | | 70.9 | | | 71.1 | | | 50.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | F | | | E | | | E | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 64.5 | | | HCM 2000 Level of Service | | | | E | | | | |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | | | Sum of lost time (s) | | | | 18.0 | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 97.9% | | | ICU Level of Service | | | | F | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| c Critical Lane Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

15: Holly Lane & Holcomb Boulevard

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | → | | | ← | ↙ | ↘ |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 212 | 113 | 63 | 159 | 89 | 52 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 212 | 113 | 63 | 159 | 89 | 52 |
| Sign Control | Free | | | Free | Stop | |
| Grade | 0% | | | 0% | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 236 | 126 | 70 | 177 | 99 | 58 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | |
| Median type | None | | None | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | | | 362 | | 616 | 299 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | | | 362 | | 616 | 299 |
| tC, single (s) | | | 4.1 | | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | | | 2.2 | | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | | | 94 | | 77 | 92 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | | | 1186 | | 427 | 741 |
| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | | | |
| Volume Total | 362 | 247 | 157 | | | |
| Volume Left | 0 | 70 | 99 | | | |
| Volume Right | 126 | 0 | 58 | | | |
| cSH | 1700 | 1186 | 506 | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.31 | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 5 | 33 | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 15.3 | | | |
| Lane LOS | | A | C | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 15.3 | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | C | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 4.0 | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | | | 48.0% | ICU Level of Service | A | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | |

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 3.9

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 212 | 113 | 63 | 159 | 89 | 52 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 212 | 113 | 63 | 159 | 89 | 52 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 236 | 126 | 70 | 177 | 99 | 58 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 615 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 298 |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 317 |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 4.14 | 6.42 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | 5.42 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 5.42 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 2.236 | 3.518 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 1187 | 455 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 753 |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 738 |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 1187 | 425 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | 425 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 753 |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 690 |

| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
|----------------------|----|-----|------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2.3 | 15.3 |
| HCM LOS | | | C |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 504 | - | - | 1187 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.311 | - | - | 0.059 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 15.3 | - | - | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 1.3 | - | - | 0.2 | - |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

16: Holly Lane & Redland Road

02/27/2018



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 238 | 674 | 226 | 21 | 309 | 79 | 53 | 46 | 10 | 62 | 35 | 156 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 238 | 674 | 226 | 21 | 309 | 79 | 53 | 46 | 10 | 62 | 35 | 156 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 251 | 709 | 238 | 22 | 325 | 83 | 56 | 48 | 11 | 65 | 37 | 164 |
| Pedestrians | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent Blockage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 408 | | | 947 | | | 1923 | 1782 | 828 | 1776 | 1860 | 366 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 408 | | | 947 | | | 1923 | 1782 | 828 | 1776 | 1860 | 366 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.1 | | | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 | *6.0 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 | *2.0 |
| p0 queue free % | 78 | | | 97 | | | 5 | 56 | 98 | 17 | 63 | 85 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1151 | | | 721 | | | 59 | 110 | 565 | 79 | 99 | 1080 |

| Direction, Lane # | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Volume Total | 1198 | 430 | 115 | 266 |
| Volume Left | 251 | 22 | 56 | 65 |
| Volume Right | 238 | 83 | 11 | 164 |
| cSH | 1151 | 721 | 82 | 196 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.22 | 0.03 | 1.41 | 1.35 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 21 | 2 | 224 | 381 |
| Control Delay (s) | 5.4 | 0.9 | 330.3 | 235.2 |
| Lane LOS | A | A | F | F |
| Approach Delay (s) | 5.4 | 0.9 | 330.3 | 235.2 |
| Approach LOS | | | F | F |

Intersection Summary

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Average Delay | 53.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 110.5% |
| ICU Level of Service | H |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

* User Entered Value

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh 127.7

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Configurations | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | | | ↕ | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 238 | 674 | 226 | 21 | 309 | 79 | 53 | 46 | 10 | 62 | 35 | 156 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 238 | 674 | 226 | 21 | 309 | 79 | 53 | 46 | 10 | 62 | 35 | 156 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 251 | 709 | 238 | 22 | 325 | 83 | 56 | 48 | 11 | 65 | 37 | 164 |

| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 | Minor2 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Conflicting Flow All | 408 | 0 | 0 | 947 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.13 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | 2.227 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1151 | - | - | 721 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1151 | - | - | 721 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----------|----------|
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.9 | 0.5 | \$ 682.2 | \$ 660.5 |
| HCM LOS | | | F | F |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|----------|
| Capacity (veh/h) | 54 | 1151 | - | - | 721 | - | - | 117 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 2.125 | 0.218 | - | - | 0.031 | - | - | 2.276 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | \$ 682.2 | 9 | 0 | - | 10.2 | 0 | - | \$ 660.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | A | - | B | A | - | F |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | 11.4 | 0.8 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 23 |

Notes

~: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon