## Exhibit 8.

## AN 12-04

## PROPOSED FINDINGS, REASONS FOR DECISION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the Findings in this Report, the Commission determines:

- 1. The Metro Code calls for consistency of the annexation with the Regional Framework Plan or any functional plan. The Commission concludes the annexation is not inconsistent with this criterion because there were no directly applicable criteria for boundary changes found in the Regional Framework Plan, the Urban Growth Management Function Plan, or the Regional Transportation Plan.
- Metro Code 3.09.050(d)(1) requires the Commission's findings to address consistency with applicable
  provisions of urban service agreements or annexation plans adopted pursuant to ORS 195. The
  Commission finds that there are no inconsistencies between these plans/agreements and this
  annexation.
- 3. The Metro Code, at 3.09.050(d)(3), requires the City's decision to be consistent with any "directly applicable standards or criteria for boundary changes contained in comprehensive land use plans and public facilities plans." The Clackamas County Comprehensive Plan also says annexation which converts Future Urban lands to Immediate Urban lands should ensure the "orderly, economic provision of public facilities and services." The property owner has demonstrated that the City can provide all necessary urban services. Nothing in the County Plan speaks directly to criteria for annexation. Therefore the Commission finds this proposal is consistent with the applicable plan as required Metro Code 3.09.050 (d)(3).
- 4. The Commission concludes that the annexation is consistent with the Oregon City Comprehensive Plan that calls for a full range of urban services to be available to accommodate new development as noted in the Findings above. The City operates and provides a full range of urban services.
- 5. The Commission notes that the Metro Code also calls for consistency of the annexation with urban planning area agreements. As stated in the Findings, the Oregon City-Clackamas County Urban Growth Management Agreement (UGMA) specifically provides for annexations by the City.
- 6. Metro Code 3.09.050(d)(5) states that another criterion to be addressed is "Whether the proposed change will promote or not interfere with the timely, orderly, and economic provision of public facilities and services." Based on the evidence in the Findings, the Commission concludes that the annexation will not interfere with the timely, orderly, and economic provision of services.
- 7. The Oregon City Code contains provisions on annexation processing. Section 6 of the ordinance requires that the City Commission consider seven factors if they are relevant. These factors are covered in the

- Staff Report Findings and on balance the Commission believes they are adequately addressed to justify approval of this annexation.
- 8. The City Commission concurs with Tri-City Service District's annexation of the subject property in the enacting City ordinance upon voter approval of the city annexation. Prior to the City approving a final zoning designation for the property, the applicant shall provide documentation that the property has been annexed into the Tri-City Service District.
- 9. The Commission determines that the property should be withdrawn from the Clackamas County Service District for Enhanced Law Enforcement as allowed by statute since the City will provide police services upon annexation.
- 10. The City Commission recognizes that the applicant has adequately addressed compliance with the Oregon Statewide Transportation Planning Rule OAR 660-012-0060.
- 11. The City Commission recognizes that the Urban Growth Management Agreement with Clackamas County requires that the annexation proposal shall include the adjacent road right-of-way of the property proposed for annexation and that the applicant shall provide a corrected map and legal description for the adjacent road-right-of way before the resolution forwarding the annexation to the voters is approved.
- 12. The City Commission concurs with the Clackamas River Water District (CRW) recommendation that the property be served by the City and withdrawn from CRW's service districts if any future water lines are constructed to serve the property by Oregon City on Maplelane Court or Maplelane Road.
- 13. The City Commission recognizes that the Applicant shall provide all necessary mapping and legal property descriptions for approval by the Oregon Department of Revenue to ensure completion of the annexation.

AN 12-04 Exhibit 8. Page 2